

9th Advanced School on PARALLEL COMPUTING

Evolution of OpenMP

Marco Rorro- m.rorro@cineca.it SuperComputing Applications and Innovation Department



February 11 - 15, 2013



Outline

- Task parallelism in OpenMP
 - task construct
 - data scoping
 - synchronization
 - task switching
 - optimizations
- The future of OpenMP
 - atomic
 - SIMD
 - affinity
 - user defined reductions
 - support for accelerators





History

- Born to satisfy the need of unification of proprietary solutions
- The ancient past
 - October 1997 Fortran version 1.0
 - October 1998 C/C++ version 1.0
 - November 1999 Fortran version 1.1 (interpretations)
 - November 2000 Fortran version 2.0
 - March 2002 C/C++ version 2.0
 - May 2005 combined C/C++ and Fortran version 2.5
- The recent past (currently supported by most of compilers)
 - May 2008 version 3.0 (task!)
 - July 2011 version 3.1
- The present and next future
 - November 2012 TR1 on Directives for Attached Accelerators
 - November 2012 version 4.0 public RC1





Pointer chasing in OpenMP 2.5

```
#pragma omp parallel private(p)
    p=head;
    while ( p ) {
        #pragma omp single nowait
            process(p->item);
            p = p->next;
     }
```

- Transformation to a "canonical" loop can be very labourintensive/inefficient
- The main drawback of the **single nowait** solution is that it is not composable
- Recall that worksharing construct can not be nested





Tree traversal in OpenMP 2.5

```
void preorder(node *p) {
   process(p->data);
   #pragma omp parallel sections num threads(2)
      #pragma omp section
         if (p->left)
            preorder(p->left);
      #pragma omp section
         if (p->right)
            preorder(p->right);
}
```

- You need to set OMP_NESTED to true
- But stressing nested parallelism so much is not a good idea... extra overheads, extra synchronizations ...





Task parallelism

- Better solution for those problems
- Main addition to OpenMP 3.0 enhanced in 3.1 and 4.0
- Allow to parallelize irregular problems
 - Unbounded loop
 - Recursive algorithms
 - Producer/consumer schemes
 - Multiblock grids, Adaptive Mesh Refinement
 - •

Evolution of OpenMP



First and foremost tasking construct

```
#pragma omp parallel [clauses]
{
    // structured block
}
```

- Creates both threads and tasks
- These tasks are "implicit"
- Each one is immediately executed by one thread
- Each of them is tied to the assigned thread



New tasking construct

```
#pragma omp task [clauses]
{
    // structured block
}
```

```
where clauses is:
```

```
private, firstprivate, shared, default, if, untied
final, mergeable
```

- Immediately creates a new task but no a new thread
- This task is "explicit"
- It will be executed by a thread in the current team
- It can be deferred until a thread is available to execute
- The data environment is built at creation time





Pointer chasing using task

```
#pragma omp parallel private(p)
  #pragma omp single
  {
    p = head;
    while(p) {
        #pragma omp task
            process(p);
            p=p->next;
        }
    }
}
```

- One thread creates task
 - packages code and data environment
- When it finishes, it reaches the implicit barrier and starts to execute the task
- The other threads reach straight the implicit barrier and start to execute task





Data scoping in explicit tasks

- private and firstprivate: business as usual
- **shared**: same business, from a new perspective
 - shared among all tasks ("horizontal")
 - shared among a task and a descendant ("vertical")
 - different synchronizations are required in the two cases
- Most implicitly determined variables: firstprivate!
 - safety by default, programmers have full control
 - spares programmers a lot of keystrokes
 - can be altered with a **default** clause





- The default for tasks is usually firstprivate, because the task may not be executed until later (and variables may have gone out of scope)
- Variables that are shared in all constructs starting from the inner most enclosing parallel construct are shared, because the barrier guarantees task completion





Load balancing on lists with tasks

```
#pragma omp parallel
   #pragma omp for private(p)
   for (i=0; i<num lists; i++) {</pre>
      p = heads[i];
      while(p) {
      #pragma omp task
         process(p);
      p=p->next;
```

- Assign one list per thread could be unbalanced
- Multiple threads create tasks
- All the team cooperates executing them





Tree traversal with task

```
void preorder(node *p) {
    process(p->data);
    if (p->left)
    #pragma omp task
        preorder(p->left);
    if (p->right)
    #pragma omp task
        preorder(p->right);
}
```

- Tasks are composable
- It isn't a worksharing construct
- But what about postorder traversal?



```
Evolution of OpenMP
```



Postorder tree traversal

```
void postorder(node *p) {
    if (p->left)
        #pragma omp task
        postorder(p->left);
    if (p->right)
        #pragma omp task
        postorder(p->right);
    #pragma omp taskwait
        process(p->data);
}
```

• Parent task suspended until children tasks complete





"Vertical" sharing

```
int fibonacci(int n) {
    int i, j;
    if (n<2) return n;
    #pragma omp task shared(i)
        i = fibonacci(n-1);
    #pragma omp task shared(j)
        j = fibonacci(n-2);
    #pragma omp taskwait
    return i+j;</pre>
```

• Allow results to be returned to parent



}



When/where explicit tasks complete?

- #pragma omp taskwait
 - applies only to tasks generated in the current task, not to descendants
- #pragma omp taskgroup

```
create_a_group_of_task(could_create_nested_task)
}
```

- at the end of the region current task is suspended until all child tasks generated in the region and their descendants complete execution (version 4.0RC1)
- #pragma omp barrier
 - applies to all tasks generated in the current parallel region up to the barrier
 - matches user expectation
 - obviously applies to implicit barriers too





Enter task switching

- What: the ability of a thread to suspend a task and execute another one before resuming
- Where:
 - at task scheduling points: task, taskwait, barrier directives, and implicit barriers
 - at a taskyield construct
- When:
 - whenever is needed or useful
 - up to the implementation
- Why:
 - to lift pressure on runtime data structures
 - because it can't be dispensed with completely
- Consequence: locks owned by tasks!





Lifting pressure on runtime

```
#pragma omp single
{
   for (i=0; i<ONEZILLION; i++)
     #pragma omp task
     process(item[i]);
}</pre>
```

- Too many tasks generated in an eye-blink
- Generating task will have to suspend for a while
- With task switching, the executing thread can:
 - execute an already generated task (draining the "task pool")
 - dive into the encountered task (could be very cache-friendly)





Enter thread switching

```
#pragma omp single
{
    #pragma omp task untied
    for (i=0; i<ONEZILLION; i++)
    #pragma omp task
    process(item[i]);
}</pre>
```

- Eventually, too many tasks are generated
- Generating task is suspended and executing thread switches to a long and boring task
- Other threads get rid of all already generated tasks, and start starving...
- With thread switching, the generating task can be resumed by a different thread, and starvation is over
- Too unsafe to be the default, the programmer is responsible!



The if clause



- When the **if** clause argument is false
 - the encountered task is executed immediately by the encountering thread, and the enclosing task is suspended up to its end
 - the data environment is still local to the new task
 - and it's still a different task wrt. synchronization
- It's a user directed optimization
 - when the cost of the task is comparable to the runtime overhead
 - to control cache and memory affinity





The final clause

- When the final clause argument is true
 - the generated task will be a final task
 - all task encountered during execution of a final task will generate included task
 - an included task is a task for which execution is sequentially included in the generating task region; that is, it is undeferred and executed immediately by the encountering threads
- It's another user directed optimization
- omp_in_final() returns true if the enclosing task
 region is final. Otherwise, it returns false



Example: if and final



```
#pragma omp task if(0) // This is undeferred
{
  #pragma omp task // This is a regular task
     for(i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
       #pragma omp task // This is a regular task
         bar();
     }
#pragma omp task final(1) // This is a regular task
{
  #pragma omp task // This is included
    for(i=0;i<3;i++) {</pre>
      #pragma omp task // This is also included
        bar();
```

School on PARALLEL COMPUTING February 11 - 15, 2013 - BOLOGNA

The mergeable clause

- When the mergeable clause is present on a task construct, and the generated task is undeferred or included, the implementation may generate a merged task instead
 - a merged task is a task whose data environments, inclusive of ICVs, is the same as that of its generating task region
- It is still another optimization ...
 - pragma omp task final(d > LIMIT) mergeable

Conclusions on task



- Tasks allow to express a lot of irregular parallelism
- The tasking concept opens up opportunities to parallelize a wider range of applications
- Some issues can be improved in the language
 - reductions, data capturing, dependencies, ...
- Some extension are already planned for RC2
 - point to point dependencies

- ...





atomic enhancements

- The atomic construct was extended (in 3.1) to include read, write, and capture forms, and an update clause was added to apply the already existing form of the atomic construct.
- The allowed instruction differ between Fortran and C/C++
 - refer to the standard for their list
- Added seq_cst clause for atomics in 4.0; removes need for flush ...
 - seq_cst stand for sequentially consistent
- Any **atomic** construct with a **seq_cst** clause forces the atomically performed operation to include an implicit flush operation without a list.



9th Advanced School on PARALLEL COMPUTING February 11 - 15, 2013 - BOLOGNA

atomic examples

#pragma omp atomic update

x += n*mass; // x is updated atomically
#pragma omp atomic read

v = x; // x is read atomically

#pragma omp atomic write

x = n*mass; // x is written atomically
#pragma omp atomic capture

v = x++; //atomically update x,

//but capture original value in v
#pragma omp atomic capture

v = ++x; //atomically update x,

//then capture that value



Transactional Memory in 4.0?

- Transactional memory attempts to simplify parallel programming by grouping read and write operations and running them like a single operation.
- Hardware techniques track temporary results and then commit them if no conflict has occurred
- The existing hardware cache coherency mechanism is used to track read and write sets, usually tagged with a special transactional bit.
- This mechanism also effectively limits the size of transactions to a cache size
- The BG/Q compute chip includes a versioning L2 cache that can associate version numbers with cache tags. Thus, the cache can contain multiple instances of the same address. This mechanism allows the BG/Q compiler and runtime to implement TM support.



Transactional Memory on BG/Q



- Transactional memory is enabled with the "-qtm" compiler option, and requires thread safe compilation mode (mpixlc_r)
- Transactions are implemented through regions of code that you can designate to be single operations for the system. The regions of code that implement the transactions are called transactional atomic regions:

#pragma tm_atomic [safe_mode] { structured block }

- The **safe_mode** clause asserts to the compiler that the TM region does not contain irrevocable actions such as I/O or writing to device memory space. Using the **safe_mode** clause reduces overhead and increases performance.
- However, if **safe_mode** is specified, irrevocable actions are not checked at runtime. The run result is undefined if an irrevocable action occurs during the execution.





TM on BG/Q: execution mode

- **Speculation mode** (default)
 - Under speculation mode, Kernel address space, devices I/Os, and most memory-mapped I/Os are protected from the irrevocable actions except when the **safe_mode** clause is specified. The transaction goes into irrevocable mode if such an action occurs to guarantee the correct result.

Irrevocable mode

- System calls, irrevocable operations such as I/O operations, and OpenMP constructs trigger transactions to go into irrevocable mode, which serializes transactions. Transactions are also running in irrevocable mode when the maximum number of transaction rollbacks has been reached.
- Under irrevocable mode, each memory update of a thread is committed instantaneously instead of at the end of the transaction. Therefore, memory updates are immediately visible to other threads. If the transaction becomes irrevocable, the threads run nonspeculatively.





TM on BG/Q: example

```
#pragma omp parallel for private(block,fbeg,fend,face,ii,jj)
schedule(static)
   for (block = 0; block < numblocks; block++) {</pre>
      if (block < leftover) {</pre>
        fbeg = block*(blocksize + 1);
        fend = fbeg + blocksize + 1;
      }
      else {
        fbeg = leftover + block*blocksize;
        fend = fbeg + blocksize;
      }
   #pragma tm atomic
         for (face=fbeg; face<fend; face++) {</pre>
             ii = ii list[face];
             jj = jj list[face];
             y[ii] += A[face] * x[jj];
             y[jj] += A[face] * x[ii];
```



SIMD constructs in OpenMP 4.0

#pragma omp simd [clauses]
 for loops

where *clauses* is:

safelen, linear, aligned, private, lastprivate, reduction, collapse

- Executes iterations of the associated loops in SIMD chunks
 - SIMD chunk is set of iterations executed concurrently by a SIMD lanes
- Clauses control data environment, how loop is partitioned
 - **safelen** (length) limits the number of iterations in a SIMD chunk
 - linear lists variables with a linear relationship to the iteration space
 - **aligned** specifies byte alignments of a list of variables
 - private, lastprivate, reduction and collapse have usual meanings



SIMD functions in OpenMP 4.0

#pragma omp declare simd [clauses]
function definition or declaration

where *clauses* is:

safelen, linear, aligned, uniform, private, reduction, inbranch, notinbranch

- Enable the creation of a function that can process multiple arguments using SIMD instructions from a single invocation from a SIMD loop.
- Clauses control data environment, how function is used
 - simdlen(length) specifies the number of concurrent arguments
 - uniform lists invariant arguments across concurrent SIMD invocations
 - inbranch and notinbranch imply always/never invoked in a conditional statement
 - linear, aligned, reduction are similar to simd clauses





loop and parallel loop SIMD

#pragma omp for simd [clauses]
 for loops

- Loop is first divided into SIMD chunks
- SIMD chunks are divided across implicit tasks
- clause can be any of the clauses accepted by the for or simd directives with identical meanings
- **parallel** and **for simd** construct can be combined:

#pragma omp parallel for simd [clauses]
for loops



Affinity support



- Request binding of threads to places (in OpenMP 3.1)
 export OMP_PROC_BIND=true
- New extensions (4.0) specify thread locations
 - Increased choices for OMP_PROC_BIND
 - Can still specify true or false
 - Can now provide a list (possible item values: master, close or spread) to specify how to bind implicit tasks of parallel regions
 - Assign threads to same place as master
 - Assign threads close in place list to parent thread
 - Assign threads to maximize **spread** across places
- Added **OMP_PLACES** environment variable
 - Can specify abstract names including threads, cores and sockets
 - Can specify an explicit ordered list of places
- Added a new clause to the parallel construct proc bind(master | close | spread)







#pragma omp declare reduction (reduction-identifier :
typename-list : combiner) [identity(identy-expr)]

- reduction-identifier gives a name to the operator
- typename-list is a list of types to which it applies
- **combiner** expression specifies how to combine values
- identity can specify the identity value operator

UDR example



```
using namespace std;
```

```
#pragma omp declare reduction (merge : vector<int> :
omp_out.insert(omp_out.end(), omp_in.begin(),
omp_in.end()))
void schedule (vector<int> &v, vector<int> &w)
{
    #pragma omp parallel for reduction (merge : w)
    for (vector<int>::iterator it = v.begin();
        it < v.end(); it++)
        if ( filter(*it) ) w.push_back(*it);
}</pre>
```

- omp_out refers to private copy that holds combined value
- omp_in refers to the value to be combined

OpenMP in a heterogeneous world



- Hardware
 - GPUs (NVIDIA and AMD)
 - Intel Xeon PHI
 - FPGA
 - DSP
- Application Programming Interfaces
 - CUDA, CUDA Fortran (PGI)
 - OpenCL
 - OpenACC
 - HMPP
- The OpenACC API is based on directives and its experience will be the base approach for integration in OpenMP





OpenMP on accelerators

- New directives
 - target
 - target data
 - target update target mirror target linkable
- New runtime functions
 - omp_get_device_num
 - omp_set_device_num
- New environment variable
 - OMP_DEVICE_NUM
- It's only a Technical Report





targed

#pragma omp target [clauses]
parallel-loop-construct|parallel-sections-construct

where *clauses* is:

device, map, mapto, mapfrom, scratch, num_threads, if

- Create a device data environment and execute the construct on the same device.
- Example:

```
sum = 0;
#pragma omp target device(acc0) map(B,C)
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum)
for (i=0; i<N; i++)
  sum += B[i] + C[i];
```





targed update

#pragma omp target update [clauses]

where *clauses* is:

device, mapto, mapfrom, if

- Update(to) a variable from the data environment of the current task to the enclosing device data environment, or update(from) a variable from the enclosing device data environment to the data environment of the current task.
- Example:

#pragma omp target update mapfrom(A,B)
#pragma omp target update mapto(C,D)





declare targed

#pragma omp declare target
function-definition or declaration

• The **declare target** construct can be applied to a function to enable the creation of a device specific version that can be called from a target region.

#pragma omp declare target mirror (list)

• Map a global variable to a device for the duration of the program

#pragma omp declare target linkable(list)

• Assert that the user has mapped a global variable to a device





Conclusions and credits

- OpenMP is evolving fast
 - support for accelerators
 - error handling
 - thread affinity
 - tasking extension
 - support for Fortran 2003
- Stay tuned: www.openmp.org
- Credits
 - Federico Massaioli
 - Ruud van der Pas
 - Alejandro Duran
 - Bronis R. de Supinski
 - Tim Mattson and Larry Meadows
 - James Beyer and Eric Stotzer

