**LABORATORY OF BIOLOGICAL STRUCTURE MECHANICS**







*www.labsmech.polimi.it*

# **Computer simulations of bench testing for the investigation of coronary bifurcation stenting**

Claudio Chiastra

Milan – June  $20<sup>th</sup>$ , 2017

### **Coronary heart disease**

- Every year > 1.8 million deaths in the European Union
- **Coronary artery atherosclerosis**





### **Coronary artery stenting**

#### ■ **Most commonly used technique** to treat coronary atherosclerotic lesions

■ In-stent **restenosis** is a major complication





Cross-section

### **Coronary artery stenting**

- **Most commonly used technique** to treat coronary atherosclerotic lesions
- In-stent **restenosis** is a major complication





Cross-section

### **Coronary bifurcation lesions**

### ■ **Challenging** for interventional cardiologists<sup>\*</sup>

Lower success rate Higher restenosis rate



■ Several issues:

- $\triangleright$  No optimal stenting technique
- Critical assessment of lesion severity by FFR
- Plaque/carina shift



\*Lassen et al. *Eurointervention*, 2014

### **Coronary bifurcation lesions**



Lower success rate Higher restenosis rate



■ Several issues:

- $\triangleright$  No optimal stenting technique
- **▶ Critical assessment of** lesion severity by FFR
- $\triangleright$  Plaque/carina shift



\*Lassen et al. *Eurointervention*, 2016

### **Biomechanical impact of stenting**

#### **SOLID MECHANICS ELUID DYNAMICS**





#### ■ Vessel wall damage

#### ■ Influence on tissue regrowth

■ Influence on tissue regrowth

### **Biomechanical impact of stenting**

#### **SOLID MECHANICS FLUID DYNAMICS**





#### ■ Vessel wall damage

#### ■ Influence on tissue regrowth

#### ■ Influence on tissue regrowth

### **Biomechanical analysis of coronary stents**



Antoniadis et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol Interv*, 2015



### **Idealized and patient-specific studies**

#### ■ Side branch compromise after main vessel stenting (study 1)

**Iannaccone, Chiastra et al.**  *EuroInterv***, 2017**



### ■ Computational replication of stenting procedure for the treatment of **two real clinical cases** (study 2)



### **Idealized and patient-specific studies**

#### ■ Side branch compromise after main vessel stenting (study 1)

**Iannaccone, Chiastra et al.**  *EuroInterv***, 2017**



■ Computational replication of stenting procedure for the treatment of **two real clinical cases** (study 2)



### **Research group**

#### **Erasmus MC**

Jolanda J. Wentzel, PhD

Frank Gijsen, PhD

Evelyn Regar, PhD, MD

Antonios Karanasos, PhD, MD





**European Bifurcation Club**

**POLIMI**



Prof. Francesco Migliavacca

Prof. Gabriele Dubini

Claudio Chiastra, PhD



#### **Gent University UNIVERSITEIT**

Prof. Benedict Verhegghe

Prof. Patrick Segers

Matthieu De Beule, PhD

Francesco Iannaccone, PhD



**GENT** 

 $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ 



### **Clinical problem: plaque / carina shift**

## Lateral dislocation of plaque/carina during stent implantation Possible occlusion of the side branch



### **Clinical problem: plaque / carina shift**

## Lateral dislocation of plaque/carina during stent implantation Possible occlusion of the side branch



### **Aim**

To investigate the **influence of distal angle / plaque composition on side branch compromise** because of **main branch stenting**

2 bifurcation geometries with **different distal angles**\* are investigated



*\* Distal angle = 57.3° ± 10.0° calculated on LAD, RCA, LCX (mainly LAD, 92.2%) (n = 153 patients) by Elsaban et al. 2013 Elsaban et al. J Invasive Cardiol 2013*

### **Aim**

To investigate the **influence of distal angle / plaque composition on side branch compromise** because of **main branch stenting**

- 2 bifurcation geometries with **different distal angles**\* are investigated
	- different types of plaques



### ■ **LAD / D1 bifurcation parametric model** (Chiastra et al. 2016)

- **Diameters** defined according to **Finet's law**:



**17 claudio.chiastra@polimi.it**

#### ■ **LAD / D1 bifurcation parametric model** (Chiastra et al. 2016)

#### - **Angles:**



Elsaban et al. *J Invasive Cardiol*, 2013

#### ■ **LAD / D1 bifurcation parametric model** (Chiastra et al. 2016)

- **Stenosis:** PMB 60% DMB 60% SB 60%
- **Plaque length:**



Chiastra et al. *Biomed Eng Online*, 2016

#### ■ **LAD / D1 bifurcation parametric model** (Chiastra et al. 2016)

- **Curvature:** bifurcation placed on a sphere with radius R representing the heart, R= 56.25 (Pvikin 2005)



Chiastra et al. *Biomed Eng Online*, 2016 Pvikin et al. *J Biomech*, 2005









**Intima + Media thickness = 20 % lumen radius Distance between two consecutive cross-sections = 1 mm**

### **Methods: vessel material properties**

**Isotropic hyperelastic** behavior with ideal plasticity to mimic vessel damage

#### ■ **Arterial wall** ■ **Fibrous / lipid / calcium plaque**

**Isotropic hyperelastic** behavior with ideal plasticity to mimic plaque rupture





Loree et al. *J Biomech*, 1994 Holzapfel et al. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 2005

### **Methods: stent and balloon**

### ■ **Multi-Link 8** (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA)

- Bare-metal stent, Co-Cr alloy
- Size: 3x18 mm

### ■ **Balloon:**

- Modeled as a straight tube using a simplified approach\*
- Calibrated using the manufacturer compliance chart from 10 atm to 14 atm

(nominal pressure = 10 atm, burst pressure = 18 atm)





Kiousis et al. *Int J Num Methods Eng*, 2008

### **Provisional side branch stenting**



### **Provisional side branch stenting**





#### ■ **Marginal change for lipid and fibrous cases**

more influence on lumen shape



#### ■ **Marginal change for lipid and fibrous** cases

more influence on lumen shape

#### ■ Angiographic pictures depending on the angle can mislead interpretation of the

**outcomes**  $\Box$  good FFR values even when the angiographic result is not optimal

**Simulation (fibrous plaque - 70°)**



**Xu et al. 2012**



Xu et al. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*, 2012



#### ■ Significant change for cases with calcium plaques



### **Results: Side branch compromise**

#### ■ **Volumetric analysis**



**SB compromise\*** = lumen volume decrease in the SB segment after MB stenting

\* Xu et al. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*, 2012

### **Results: Side branch compromise**

#### ■ **Volumetric analysis: 15 versus 9 mm long post-dilation balloon**



**SB compromise\*** = lumen volume decrease in the SB segment after MB stenting

\* Xu et al. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*, 2012

### **Conclusions (study 1)**

■ Development of a parametric model of a coronary bifurcation to investigate side **branch compromise after main branch stenting**

#### *CLINICAL CONCLUSIONS*

- Change in side branch ostium shape after stenting but its area remains similar **for lipid and fibrous cases**
	- $\triangleright$  possible misleading interpretation of the outcomes from angiography
- Side branch compromise depends mainly on plaque composition
- Side branch compromise is reduced if a shorter post-dilation balloon is used



### **Idealized and patient-specific studies**

#### ■ Side branch compromise after main vessel stenting (study 1)

**Iannaccone, Chiastra et al.**  *EuroInterv***, 2017**



■ **Computational replication of stenting procedure for the treatment of two real clinical cases** (study 2)



### **Research group**

**POLIMI** (Milan, Italy)





Prof. Francesco Migliavacca

Prof. Gabriele Dubini

Claudio Chiastra, PhD

Wei Wu, PhD



**Marquette University** (Milwaukee, WI, USA)

Prof. John LaDisa Jr.

Ali Aleiou

Benjamin Dickerhoff





**Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine** (Kobe, Japan)

Hiromasa Otake, MD





### **Aims**



**1.** Investigation of the **reliability of finite element analyses** in predicting post-operative geometry

**2. Pre-operative virtual planning** to test:

- different stent designs
- **E** different stent positioning





### **Aims**



- **1.** Investigation of the **reliability of finite element analyses** in predicting post-operative geometry
- **2. Pre-operative virtual planning** to test:
- different stent designs
- different stent positioning





### **Investigated cases**

- Pre / post operative data:
	- $\triangleright$  Angiography
	- Computed tomography (CT)
	- Optical coherence tomography (OCT)



**Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine** (Kobe, Japan)

**Case 1** Left anterior descending / diagonal (LAD/D1) bifurcation **Case 2** Left circumflex artery with two branches

### **Methods: Vessel lumen model**

#### ■ Locating OCT pullback path and reconstructing pre-stenting geometry



- A. Position orthogonal sets of coplanar transducer candidate points within coarse volume from CT
- B. Segment OCT images into lumen (white) contours containing candidate points (green)
- C. Create spatial diagram of a vessel and its graph diagram. Determine the wire pathway with minimum bending energy
- D. Register OCT segments (purple) in 3D space and create the vessel lumen model

Ellwein et al. *Cardiovasc Eng Tech*. 2011

### **Methods: Vessel solid model**

■ Wall thickness defined according to ex vivo measurements  $*$ 



\* Holzapfel et al. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 2005

### **Methods: Vessel solid model mesh**



### **Methods: Plaque identification**

■ Method by Morlacchi et al. (2013)<sup>\*</sup>





\* Morlacchi et al. Med Eng Phys, 2013

### **Methods: Plaque identification**

■ Method by Morlacchi et al. (2013)<sup>\*</sup>



![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

\* Morlacchi et al. Med Eng Phys, 2013

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Methods: Plaque identification**

■ Method by Morlacchi et al. (2013)<sup>\*</sup>

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

\* Morlacchi et al. Med Eng Phys, 2013

### **Methods: Plaque identification (Case 1)**

#### ■ **Physician-guided delineation of plaque components**

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Methods: Plaque identification (Case 2)**

#### ■ **Physician-guided delineation of plaque components**

OCT analysis (26.9 mm)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Methods: Material properties**

**Isotropic hyperelastic** constitutive law based on a sixth order polynomial strain energy density function **200** 2005) (Holzapfel et al., 2005) **MEDIA** 800 (Holzapfel et al., **STRESS [kPa] STRESS [kPa] 150** Tensile Stress O<sub>g</sub> (kPa) 600 **100** 400 200 **50**  $0.0$  $0.1$  $0.2$  $0.3$ **0 0.2 0.4 STRAIN**

#### ■ **Arterial wall** ■ **Soft / stiff plaque**

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

Loree et al. *J Biomech*, 1994 Holzapfel et al. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 2005

### **Methods: Stent**

#### ■ **XIENCE PRIME**® (Abbott Vascular, USA)

Length  $= 18$  mm Diameter =  $2.5$  mm (Case 1) = 3.5 mm (Case 2) Strut thickness = **81 μm**

**Material:** L-605 Co-Cr alloy elasto-plastic with kinematic hardening

**Mesh:** highly regular hexahedral mesh, ≈100,000 volume C3D8R elements

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Methods: Stent**

#### ■ **NOBORI®** (Terumo, Japan)

Length  $= 18$  mm Diameter =  $2.5$  mm (Case 1) = 3.5 mm (Case 2) Strut thickness = **125 μm**

**Material:** L-605 Co-Cr alloy elasto-plastic with kinematic hardening

**Mesh:** highly regular hexahedral mesh, ≈100,000 volume C3D8R elements

![](_page_47_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_7.jpeg)

### **Stenting procedure (Case 1)**

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Stenting procedure (Case 2)**

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **3. Provisional technique**  (3.5x18 mm NOBORI stent insertion)

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **4. Provisional technique**  (stent expansion)

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **From structural to fluid dynamics simulations**

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Fluid dynamics methods**

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Aimed Pressure**

**[mmHg]** Systolic - 77 Mean - 68 Diastolic - 59

#### **Additional details:**

- $\mu$  = 4.0 cP  $\rho = 1.06$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- Vessel walls assumed to be rigid after stenting\*

nVascular

![](_page_51_Picture_175.jpeg)

\*LaDisa et al. *J Appl Physiol*, 2002 \*\*Van Huis et al. *AJP - Heart*, 1987

### **Fluid dynamics methods**

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

Systolic - 77 Mean - 68 Diastolic - 59

#### **Additional details:**

- $\mu$  = 4.0 cP  $\rho = 1.06$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- Vessel walls assumed to be rigid after stenting\*

**imVascular** 

![](_page_52_Picture_179.jpeg)

\*LaDisa et al. *J Appl Physiol*, 2002 \*\*Van Huis et al. *AJP - Heart*, 1987

![](_page_52_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **Validation of the structural model**

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Pre-clinical planning: optimal stent choice (Case 1)**

#### ■ **Malapposition**

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Pre-clinical planning: optimal stent choice (Case 1)**

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Pre-clinical planning: optimal stent choice (Case 2)**

#### ■ **Malapposition**

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_3.jpeg)

*Malapp. = 0.9 %*

## **Pre-clinical planning: optimal stent choice (Case 2)**

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Pre-clinical planning: stent positioning (Case 2)**

#### ■ **Malapposition**

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

Flow<br>

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

Malapp.  $= 2.4 \%$ 

Malapp.  $= 1.3 \%$ 

### **Conclusions (study 2)**

- Creation of **coronary bifurcation models from CT** and OCT, including **plaque composition**
- **Virtual stenting methodology** able to replicate **real clinical cases**
- **Reasonable agreement** between the **post-operative geometry** obtained after **virtual expansion** and the one created from **patient images**
- **Pre-clinical planning** using a sequential method (mechanical + fluid dynamics simulations) to find
	- $\triangleright$  the best stent design
	- $\triangleright$  the best stent position
	- the best stenting technique

### **Overall conclusions**

- **Computer simulations** (mechanical + fluid dynamics analyses)
	- **powerful tool for investigating coronary stents**

![](_page_60_Figure_3.jpeg)

### ■ **High-performance computing fundamental** for running those simulations efficiently, reliably and fast

### **POLITECNICO MILANO 1863**  $^{\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime}$

#### **LABORATORY OF BIOLOGICAL STRUCTURE MECHANICS**

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

*www.labsmech.polimi.it*

# *Thank you for your attention*

- claudio.chiastra@polimi.it -

#### **claudio.chiastra@polimi.it**

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Validation of numerical simulations**

**Simulation** 

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_8.jpeg)

P = 12 atm

![](_page_63_Figure_9.jpeg)

Chiastra et al. *Eurointervention*, 2015

### **Validation of numerical simulations**

■ Comparison between the geometrical results of the experimental data and of the structural analysis

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_4.jpeg)

**STRUT OPENING** AFTER SB ACCESS

**STENT DISTORTION** AFTER SB ACCESS

GEOMETRICAL CONFIGURATION AFTER FINAL KISSING BALLOON

![](_page_64_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_10.jpeg)

Morlacchi et al. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol*, 2010

![](_page_64_Picture_14.jpeg)

### **Validation of numerical simulations**

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

Raben et al. *J Appl Biomater Funct Mater*, 2014