



# Introduction to modern Fortran

F. Salvatore I. Baccarelli G. Amati

CINECA Roma - SCAI Department

Roma, 8-11 March 2016






# Part I

## A Fortran Survey 1

Program main unit, source formats, comments, declarations and instructions. Fundamental operators, expressions, conditional constructs, loops, functions: arguments passing, intent, interface, intrinsic and external functions. Modules: contains and use. Intrinsic types: integer, real, complex, logical, and parameter. I/O base.



Introduction


Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics


Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks



- ▶ Developed in the 50s among the earliest high level languages (HLL)
- ▶ Widely and rapidly adopted in the area of numerical, scientific, engineering and technical applications
- ▶ First standard in 1966: Fortran 66
  - ▶ The first of all programming language standards
- ▶ Second standard in 1978: Fortran 77
- ▶ Third standard in 1991: Fortran 90
  - ▶ Adds new, modern features such as structured constructs, array syntax and ADT
  - ▶ Extended and revised in 1997: Fortran 95
  - ▶ Further extended with published Technical Reports
- ▶ Fourth standard in 2004: Fortran 2003
  - ▶ Major revision, incorporates TRs, adds many new features (OO!), still not fully supported
- ▶ Fifth standard in 2010: Fortran 2008




- ▶ Strongly oriented to *number crunching*
- ▶ Efficient language, highly optimized code
  - ▶ Basic data types and operators mapping "naturally" to CPUs
  - ▶ Translated by a compiler to machine language
  - ▶ Language rules allow for aggressive, automatic optimization
  - ▶ Facilities to build new data types from the basic ones
  - ▶ Flexible flow control structures mapping the most common numerical computing use cases
- ▶ Scientific computing specialized syntax
  - ▶ A wealth of math data types and functions available as intrinsics of the language
  - ▶ Compact, readable array syntax to operate on many values as a whole



► Why Fortran is bad

- Current standard embodies four different language versions,...
- ... all of them still alive in legacy codes
- Non-numeric computing in Fortran is a real pain
- There are more C than Fortran programmers
- GUI and DB accesses are best programmed in C
- C99 partly addressed numerical computing needs




## ▶ Why Fortran is bad


- ▶ Current standard embodies four different language versions,...
- ▶ ... all of them still alive in legacy codes
- ▶ Non-numeric computing in Fortran is a real pain
- ▶ There are more C than Fortran programmers
- ▶ GUI and DB accesses are best programmed in C
- ▶ C99 partly addressed numerical computing needs

## ▶ Why Fortran is good


- ▶ Fortran is highly tuned for numerical computation
- ▶ Fortran is older and more “rigid” than C, compilers optimize better
- ▶ Much better than C at managing user defined data types
- ▶ Object-oriented features are now part of the language
- ▶ Provides facilities for interoperability with C and other languages




- ▶ Teach you the fundamentals of modern Fortran
- ▶ For both reading (old and new) and writing (new) programs
- ▶ Showing common idioms
- ▶ Illustrating and demonstrating many of the extensions introduced in the more recent standards
- ▶ Illustrating best practices
- ▶ Blaming bad ones
- ▶ Making you aware of the typical traps



- ▶ Teach you the fundamentals of modern Fortran
- ▶ For both reading (old and new) and writing (new) programs
- ▶ Showing common idioms
- ▶ Illustrating and demonstrating many of the extensions introduced in the more recent standards
- ▶ Illustrating best practices
- ▶ Blaming bad ones
- ▶ Making you aware of the typical traps
- ▶ You'll happen to encounter things we didn't cover, but it will be easy for you to learn more... or to attend a more advanced course!
- ▶ A course is not a substitute for a reference manual or a good book!



- ▶ Teach you the fundamentals of modern Fortran
- ▶ For both reading (old and new) and writing (new) programs
- ▶ Showing common idioms
- ▶ Illustrating and demonstrating many of the extensions introduced in the more recent standards
- ▶ Illustrating best practices
- ▶ Blaming bad ones
- ▶ Making you aware of the typical traps
- ▶ You'll happen to encounter things we didn't cover, but it will be easy for you to learn more... or to attend a more advanced course!
- ▶ A course is not a substitute for a reference manual or a good book!
- ▶ **Neither a substitute for personal practice**



Introduction

Fortran Basics

My First Fortran Program

Compiling and Linking Your First Program

Making Choices

More Types and Choices


Wrapping it Up 1

More Fortran Basics

Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks



Introduction

Fortran Basics

**My First Fortran Program**

Compiling and Linking Your First Program

Making Choices

More Types and Choices


Wrapping it Up 1

More Fortran Basics

Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients


```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta
  real :: x1, x2
  real :: a, b, c

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c:'
  read (*,*) a, b, c

  delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c) ! square root of discriminant
  x1 = -b + delta
  x2 = -b - delta
  x1 = x1/(2.0*a)
  x2 = x2/(2.0*a)

  write(*,*) 'Real roots:', x1, x2

end program second_degree_eq
```



**! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients**


```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta
  real :: x1, x2
  real :: a, b, c

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c:'
  read (*,*) a, b, c


  delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c) ! square root of discriminant
  x1 = -b + delta
  x2 = -b - delta
  x1 = x1/(2.0*a)
  x2 = x2/(2.0*a)

  write(*,*) 'Real roots:', x1, x2


end program second_degree_eq
```




- ▶ Text following ! is ignored up to the end of current line



- ▶ Text following ! is ignored up to the end of current line
- ▶ Best practice: do comment your code!
  - ▶ Variable contents
  - ▶ Algorithms
  - ▶ Assumptions
  - ▶ Tricks



- ▶ Text following ! is ignored up to the end of current line
- ▶ Best practice: do comment your code!
  - ▶ Variable contents
  - ▶ Algorithms
  - ▶ Assumptions
  - ▶ Tricks
- ▶ Best practice: do not over-comment your code!
  - ▶ Obvious comments obfuscate code and annoy readers
  - ▶ ! **square root of discriminant** is a bad example



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients


```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta
  real :: x1, x2
  real :: a, b, c

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c:'
  read (*,*) a, b, c


  delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c)
  x1 = -b + delta
  x2 = -b - delta
  x1 = x1/(2.0*a)
  x2 = x2/(2.0*a)

  write(*,*) 'Real roots:', x1, x2


end program second_degree_eq
```




- ▶ Fortran code is organized in program units
  - ▶ Main program
  - ▶ Procedures (subroutines and functions)
  - ▶ Modules
  - ▶ More on this later...



- ▶ Fortran code is organized in program units
  - ▶ Main program
  - ▶ Procedures (subroutines and functions)
  - ▶ Modules
  - ▶ More on this later...
  
- ▶ The main program (one, and only one!) can't be dispensed with
  - ▶ It's called automatically to execute the program
  - ▶ An optional `program program-name` can appear at the beginning
  - ▶ An `end` statement must terminate it, optionally followed by `program` or `program program-name`



- ▶ Fortran code is organized in program units
  - ▶ Main program
  - ▶ Procedures (subroutines and functions)
  - ▶ Modules
  - ▶ More on this later...
- ▶ The main program (one, and only one!) can't be dispensed with
  - ▶ It's called automatically to execute the program
  - ▶ An optional **program program-name** can appear at the beginning
  - ▶ An **end** statement must terminate it, optionally followed by **program** or **program program-name**
- ▶ Best practice: always mark unit beginning and ending with its type and name
  - ▶ Makes your readers (including you) happier



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients


```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta
  real :: x1, x2
  real :: a, b, c

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c:'
  read (*,*) a, b, c


  delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c)
  x1 = -b + delta
  x2 = -b - delta
  x1 = x1/(2.0*a)
  x2 = x2/(2.0*a)

  write(*,*) 'Real roots:', x1, x2


end program second_degree_eq
```




- ▶ **real :: x1, x2** declares two variables
  - ▶ Named memory locations where values can be stored
  - ▶ Declared by specifying a data type, an optional attribute list, and a comma-separated list of names
  - ▶ On most CPUs (notably x86), **real** means that **x1** and **x2** host IEEE single precision (i.e. 32 bits) floating point values




- ▶ **real :: x1, x2** declares two variables
  - ▶ Named memory locations where values can be stored
  - ▶ Declared by specifying a data type, an optional attribute list, and a comma-separated list of names
  - ▶ On most CPUs (notably x86), **real** means that **x1** and **x2** host IEEE single precision (i.e. 32 bits) floating point values
- ▶ A legal *name* must be used for a variable:
  - ▶ Permitted characters: **a-z, A-Z, 0-9, \_**
  - ▶ The first one cannot be a digit (e.g. **x1** is a valid name, **1x** is not)
  - ▶ At most 31 characters are permitted (63 in Fortran 2003)
  - ▶ A good advice: do not exceed 31 characters in a name




- ▶ **real :: x1, x2** declares two variables
  - ▶ Named memory locations where values can be stored
  - ▶ Declared by specifying a data type, an optional attribute list, and a comma-separated list of names
  - ▶ On most CPUs (notably x86), **real** means that **x1** and **x2** host IEEE single precision (i.e. 32 bits) floating point values
- ▶ A legal *name* must be used for a variable:
  - ▶ Permitted characters: **a-z, A-Z, 0-9, \_**
  - ▶ The first one cannot be a digit (e.g. **x1** is a valid name, **1x** is not)
  - ▶ At most 31 characters are permitted (63 in Fortran 2003)
  - ▶ A good advice: do not exceed 31 characters in a name
- ▶ Beware: Fortran is CaSe insenSITIVE!




- ▶ By default, Fortran assumes that variables not appearing in any declaration statement are implicitly declared as follows:




- ▶ By default, Fortran assumes that variables not appearing in any declaration statement are implicitly declared as follows:
  - ▶ Variables whose name starts with **A - H** and **O - Z** are reals



- ▶ By default, Fortran assumes that variables not appearing in any declaration statement are implicitly declared as follows:
  - ▶ Variables whose name starts with **A - H** and **O - Z** are reals
  - ▶ Variables whose name starts with **I, J, K, L, M, N** are integers



- ▶ By default, Fortran assumes that variables not appearing in any declaration statement are implicitly declared as follows:
  - ▶ Variables whose name starts with **A - H** and **O - Z** are reals
  - ▶ Variables whose name starts with **I, J, K, L, M, N** are integers
- ▶ Best practice: it is strongly recommended to turn off implicit declarations with **implicit none**, at the beginning of each program unit
  - ▶ Improves readability and clarity: each variable has its type declared
  - ▶ Mistyped names can be caught by the compiler as undeclared variables



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients


```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta
  real :: x1, x2
  real :: a, b, c

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c:'
  read (*,*) a, b, c


  delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c)
  x1 = -b + delta
  x2 = -b - delta
  x1 = x1/(2.0*a)
  x2 = x2/(2.0*a)

  write(*,*) 'Real roots:', x1, x2


end program second_degree_eq
```




- ▶ The bare minimum: textual input output from/to the user terminal
  - ▶ `read(*,*)` and `read *`, `read`
  - ▶ `write(*,*)` and `print *`, `write`




- ▶ The bare minimum: textual input output from/to the user terminal
  - ▶ `read(*,*)` and `read *`, `read`
  - ▶ `write(*,*)` and `print *`, `write`
- ▶ These very common idioms perform formatted, list directed I/O
  - ▶ *Formatted* means that translation from/to user readable text to/from internal binary formats is performed
  - ▶ *List directed* means that external and internal formats are chosen according to the type of each variable or value on the list




- ▶ The bare minimum: textual input output from/to the user terminal
  - ▶ `read(*,*)` and `read *`, `read`
  - ▶ `write(*,*)` and `print *`, `write`
- ▶ These very common idioms perform formatted, list directed I/O
  - ▶ *Formatted* means that translation from/to user readable text to/from internal binary formats is performed
  - ▶ *List directed* means that external and internal formats are chosen according to the type of each variable or value on the list
- ▶ `read(*,*)` and `read *`, are equivalent



- ▶ The bare minimum: textual input output from/to the user terminal
  - ▶ `read(*,*)` and `read *`, `read`
  - ▶ `write(*,*)` and `print *`, `write`
- ▶ These very common idioms perform formatted, list directed I/O
  - ▶ *Formatted* means that translation from/to user readable text to/from internal binary formats is performed
  - ▶ *List directed* means that external and internal formats are chosen according to the type of each variable or value on the list
- ▶ `read(*,*)` and `read *`, are equivalent
- ▶ `write(*,*)` and `print *`, are equivalent



- ▶ The bare minimum: textual input output from/to the user terminal
  - ▶ `read(*,*)` and `read *`, `read`
  - ▶ `write(*,*)` and `print *`, `write`
- ▶ These very common idioms perform formatted, list directed I/O
  - ▶ *Formatted* means that translation from/to user readable text to/from internal binary formats is performed
  - ▶ *List directed* means that external and internal formats are chosen according to the type of each variable or value on the list
- ▶ `read(*,*)` and `read *`, are equivalent
- ▶ `write(*,*)` and `print *`, are equivalent
- ▶ Enough for now, disregard details



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients


```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta
  real :: x1, x2
  real :: a, b, c

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c:'
  read (*,*) a, b, c


  delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c)
  x1 = -b + delta
  x2 = -b - delta
  x1 = x1/(2.0*a)
  x2 = x2/(2.0*a)

  write(*,*) 'Real roots:', x1, x2


end program second_degree_eq
```




- ▶ Most of program work takes place in statements and expressions




- ▶ Most of program work takes place in statements and expressions
- ▶ Operators compute values from terms
  - ▶  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$  (multiplication), and  $/$  behave like in “human” arithmetic
  - ▶ So do unary  $-$ ,  $($ , and  $)$
  - ▶  $**$  is the exponentiation operator




- ▶ Most of program work takes place in statements and expressions
- ▶ Operators compute values from terms
  - ▶  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$  (multiplication), and  $/$  behave like in “human” arithmetic
  - ▶ So do unary  $-$ ,  $($ , and  $)$
  - ▶  $**$  is the exponentiation operator
- ▶ **sqrt ( )** is an intrinsic function returning the square root of its argument



- ▶ Most of program work takes place in statements and expressions
- ▶ Operators compute values from terms
  - ▶  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$  (multiplication), and  $/$  behave like in “human” arithmetic
  - ▶ So do unary  $-$ ,  $($ , and  $)$
  - ▶  $**$  is the exponentiation operator
- ▶ `sqrt ()` is an intrinsic function returning the square root of its argument
- ▶ `x1 = x1 + delta` is a statement assigning the value of expression `x1 + delta` to variable `x1`



- ▶ Most of program work takes place in statements and expressions
- ▶ Operators compute values from terms
  - ▶  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$  (multiplication), and  $/$  behave like in “human” arithmetic
  - ▶ So do unary  $-$ ,  $($ , and  $)$
  - ▶  $**$  is the exponentiation operator
- ▶ `sqrt ()` is an intrinsic function returning the square root of its argument
- ▶ `x1 = x1 + delta` is a statement assigning the value of expression `x1 + delta` to variable `x1`
- ▶ By the way, expressions can be passed as argument to functions, as to `sqrt ()`: their value will be computed and passed to the function



Introduction

**Fortran Basics**

My First Fortran Program

**Compiling and Linking Your First Program**

Making Choices

More Types and Choices


Wrapping it Up 1

More Fortran Basics


Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks




- ▶ Fortran lets you write programs in a high-level, human-readable language
- ▶ Computer CPUs do not directly understand this language
- ▶ You need to translate your code into machine-level instructions for your CPU architecture
- ▶ **Compilers take care of that translation and generate machine code that can be actually executed by a CPU**




- ▶ Compilers are sophisticated tools, made up of many components
- ▶ When compiler is invoked to generate executable code, three main steps are performed:
  1. parsing of source files, various kinds of analysis and transformations, optimization and *assembly* files creation
  2. machine-code generation and object file creation
    - ▶ an object file is an organized collection of all symbols (variables, functions...) used or referenced in the code
  3. linking and executable creation
- ▶ Options are provided to execute each step separately, take a look at the manual of your favourite compiler, there's a lot to learn!

# Compile your first Fortran program !




- ▶ GNU compiler collection includes **gfortran** compiler, supporting Fortran 95 and several features of the 2003 standard (GNU 4.8)

# Compile your first Fortran program !




- ▶ GNU compiler collection includes **gfortran** compiler, supporting Fortran 95 and several features of the 2003 standard (GNU 4.8)
- ▶ Many more available on the market (Intel, PGI, Pathscale, IBM XL Fortran, Sun Studio Fortran, Lahey, NAG, etc)

# Compile your first Fortran program !



- ▶ GNU compiler collection includes **gfortran** compiler, supporting Fortran 95 and several features of the 2003 standard (GNU 4.8)
- ▶ Many more available on the market (Intel, PGI, Pathscale, IBM XL Fortran, Sun Studio Fortran, Lahey, NAG, etc)
- ▶ Let's use **gfortran** to compile and run our examples and exercises

# Compile your first Fortran program !




- ▶ GNU compiler collection includes **gfortran** compiler, supporting Fortran 95 and several features of the 2003 standard (GNU 4.8)
- ▶ Many more available on the market (Intel, PGI, Pathscale, IBM XL Fortran, Sun Studio Fortran, Lahey, NAG, etc)
- ▶ Let's use **gfortran** to compile and run our examples and exercises
  - ▶ Compile with:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran second_degree_eq.f90
```

An executable file named **a.out** (**a.exe** under Windows) will be generated

# Compile your first Fortran program !



- ▶ GNU compiler collection includes **gfortran** compiler, supporting Fortran 95 and several features of the 2003 standard (GNU 4.8)
- ▶ Many more available on the market (Intel, PGI, Pathscale, IBM XL Fortran, Sun Studio Fortran, Lahey, NAG, etc)
- ▶ Let's use **gfortran** to compile and run our examples and exercises
  - ▶ Compile with:

```
user@cinca$> gfortran second_degree_eq.f90
```


An executable file named **a.out** (**a.exe** under Windows) will be generated

- ▶ Run the program under GNU/Linux with:

```
user@cinca$> ./a.out
```


or under Windows with:

```
C:\Documents and Settings\user> a.exe
```



```

File Edit Search View Document Project Build Tools Help
Build the current file
second_degree_eq.f90 x
Symbols Documents
Module
  second_degree
    a [7]
    b [7]
    c [7]
    delta [5]
    x1 [6]
    x2 [6]
1 | roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients
2
3 program second_degree_eq
4   implicit none
5   real :: delta
6   real :: x1, x2
7   real :: a, b, c
8
9   print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c:'
10  read (*,*) a, b, c
11
12  delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c)
13  x1 = -b + delta
14  x2 = -b - delta
15  x1 = x1/(2.0*a)
16  x2 = x2/(2.0*a)
17
18  write(*,*) 'Real roots:', x1, x2
19
20 end program second_degree_eq
21
Status gfortran -Wall -o "second_degree_eq" "second_degree_eq.f90" (in directory: /home/orroro)
Compiler Compilation finished successfully.
Messages
Scribble
Terminal
line: 1 col: 0 sel: 0 INS TAB mode: Unix (LF) encoding: UTF-8 filetype: Fortran scope: unknown
  
```



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients


```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta
  real :: x1, x2
  real :: a, b, c

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c:'
  read (*,*) a, b, c

  delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c)
  x1 = -b + delta
  x2 = -b - delta
  x1 = x1/(2.0*a)
  x2 = x2/(2.0*a)

  write(*,*) 'Real roots:', x1, x2

end program second_degree_eq
```



Introduction

**Fortran Basics**

My First Fortran Program

Compiling and Linking Your First Program

**Making Choices**

More Types and Choices


Wrapping it Up 1

More Fortran Basics


Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks




- ▶ User wants to solve  $x^2 + 1 = 0$




- ▶ User wants to solve  $x^2 + 1 = 0$ 
  - ▶ Enters: 1, 0, 1



- ▶ User wants to solve  $x^2 + 1 = 0$ 
  - ▶ Enters: 1, 0, 1
  - ▶ Gets: **Real roots: NaN, NaN**



- ▶ User wants to solve  $x^2 + 1 = 0$ 
  - ▶ Enters: 1, 0, 1
  - ▶ Gets: **Real roots: NaN, NaN**
- ▶ Discriminant is negative, its square root is Not A Number, NaN




- ▶ User wants to solve  $x^2 + 1 = 0$ 
  - ▶ Enters: 1, 0, 1
  - ▶ Gets: **Real roots: NaN, NaN**
- ▶ Discriminant is negative, its square root is Not A Number, NaN
- ▶ Let's avoid this, by changing from:

```
delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c)
```

to:

```
delta = b**2 - 4.0*a*c  
if (delta < 0.0) then  
    stop  
end if  
delta = sqrt(delta)
```

- ▶ Try it now!




- ▶ User wants to solve  $x^2 + 1 = 0$ 
  - ▶ Enters: 1, 0, 1
  - ▶ Gets: **Real roots: NaN, NaN**
- ▶ Discriminant is negative, its square root is Not A Number, NaN
- ▶ Let's avoid this, by changing from:

```
delta = sqrt(b**2 - 4.0*a*c)
```

to:


```
delta = b**2 - 4.0*a*c  
if (delta < 0.0) then  
    stop  
end if  
delta = sqrt(delta)
```

- ▶ Try it now!
- ▶ Did you check that normal cases still work? Good.



▶ **if** (*logical-condition*) **then**  
*block of statements*  
**end if**

- ▶ Executes *block of statements* only if *logical-condition* is true
- ▶ Comparison operators: == (equal), /= (not equal), >, <, >=, <=
- ▶ When *block* is made up by a single statement, you can use one-liner **if** (*logical-condition*) *statement* instead



- ▶ **if** (*logical-condition*) **then**  
    *block of statements*  
**end if**

- ▶ Executes *block of statements* only if *logical-condition* is true
- ▶ Comparison operators: == (equal), /= (not equal), >, <, >=, <=
- ▶ When *block* is made up by a single statement, you can use one-liner **if** (*logical-condition*) *statement* instead


- ▶ But let's be more polite by changing from:

```
if (delta < 0.0) then  
    stop  
endif
```

to:

```
if (delta < 0.0) stop 'No real roots!'
```

- ▶ Try it now!



- ▶ **if** (*logical-condition*) **then**  
    *block of statements*  
**end if**

- ▶ Executes *block of statements* only if *logical-condition* is true
- ▶ Comparison operators: == (equal), /= (not equal), >, <, >=, <=
- ▶ When *block* is made up by a single statement, you can use one-liner **if** (*logical-condition*) *statement* instead


- ▶ But let's be more polite by changing from:

```
if (delta < 0.0) then  
    stop  
endif
```

to:

```
if (delta < 0.0) stop 'No real roots!'
```


- ▶ Try it now!
- ▶ Did you check that normal cases still work? Good.



- ▶ Some folks prefer this:

```
if (delta < 0.0) stop 'No real roots!'
```

and it's OK



- ▶ Some folks prefer this:


```
if (delta < 0.0) stop 'No real roots!'
```

and it's OK

- ▶ Other folks prefer this:

```
if (delta < 0.0) then  
  stop 'No real roots!'  
end if
```

and it's OK



- ▶ Some folks prefer this:

```
if (delta < 0.0) stop 'No real roots!'
```

and it's OK

- ▶ Other folks prefer this:


```
if (delta < 0.0) then  
  stop 'No real roots!'  
end if
```

and it's OK

- ▶ Sloppy guys write:

```
if (delta < 0.0) then  
stop 'No real roots!'  
end if
```

but this is not that good...



- ▶ Some folks prefer this:

```
if (delta < 0.0) stop 'No real roots!'
```

and it's OK

- ▶ Other folks prefer this:

```
if (delta < 0.0) then  
  stop 'No real roots!'  
end if
```


and it's OK

- ▶ Sloppy guys write:

```
if (delta < 0.0) then  
stop 'No real roots!'  
end if
```

but this is not that good...

- ▶ In general, Fortran disregards white space, but proper indentation visualizes program control flow



Introduction

**Fortran Basics**

My First Fortran Program

Compiling and Linking Your First Program

Making Choices

**More Types and Choices**


Wrapping it Up 1

More Fortran Basics

Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients

```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta
  real :: rp
  real :: a, b, c

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c: '
  read(*,*) a, b, c


  delta = b*b - 4.0*a*c
  if (delta < 0.0) stop 'No real roots!'
  delta = sqrt(delta)/(2.0*a)

  rp = -b/(2.0*a)

  print *, 'Real roots: ', rp+delta, rp-delta

end program second_degree_eq
```

# And Now Make it More Complex!




! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients


```

program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta, rp, a, b, c
  logical :: rroots


  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c: '
  read(*,*) a, b, c
  delta = b*b - 4.0*a*c
  rroots = .true.
  if (delta < 0.0) then
    delta = -delta
    rroots = .false.
  end if
  delta = sqrt(delta)/(2.0*a)
  rp = -b/(2.0*a)
  if (rroots) then
    print *, 'Real roots: ', rp+delta, rp-delta
  else
    print *, 'Complex roots: ', rp, '+', delta, 'i ', &
      rp, '-', delta, 'i'
  end if
end program second_degree_eq
  
```



- ▶ **logical** type represents logical values
  - ▶ Can be `.true.` or `.false.`




- ▶ **logical** type represents logical values
  - ▶ Can be `.true.` or `.false.`
- ▶ **else** has to appear inside an `if () then/end if` pair, and the following statements up to `end if` are executed when the logical condition is false
- ▶ Allows for choosing between alternative paths



- ▶ **logical** type represents logical values
  - ▶ Can be `.true.` or `.false.`
- ▶ **else** has to appear inside an `if () then/end if` pair, and the following statements up to `end if` are executed when the logical condition is false
- ▶ Allows for choosing between alternative paths
- ▶ Again, use proper indentation


# And Now Make it More Complex!




! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients

```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta, rp, a, b, c
  logical :: roots

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c: '
  read(*,*) a, b, c
  delta = b*b - 4.0*a*c
  roots = .true.
  if (delta < 0.0) then
    delta = -delta
    roots = .false.
  end if
  delta = sqrt(delta)/(2.0*a)
  rp = -b/(2.0*a)
  if (roots) then
    print *, 'Real roots: ', rp+delta, rp-delta
  else
    print *, 'Complex roots: ', rp, '+', delta, 'i ', &
           rp, '-', delta, 'i'
  end if
end program second_degree_eq
```




- ▶ **logical** type represents logical values
  - ▶ Can be `.true.` or `.false.`
- ▶ **else** has to appear inside an `if () then/end if` pair, and the following statements up to `end if` are executed when the logical condition is false
- ▶ Allows for choosing between alternative paths
- ▶ Again, use proper indentation
- ▶ And Fortran statements cannot exceed one line, unless it ends with an `&`



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients

```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  real :: delta, rp, a, b, c
  logical :: roots

  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c: '
  read(*,*) a, b, c
  delta = b*b - 4.0*a*c
  roots = .true.
  if (delta < 0.0) then
    delta = -delta
    roots = .false.
  end if
  delta = sqrt(delta)/(2.0*a)
  rp = -b/(2.0*a)
  if (roots) then
    print *, 'Real roots: ', rp+delta, rp-delta
  else
    print *, 'Complex roots: ', rp, '+', delta, 'i ', &
           rp, '-', delta, 'i'
  end if
end program second_degree_eq
```



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients

```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  complex :: delta
  complex :: z1, z2
  real    :: a, b, c


  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c: '
  read(*,*) a, b, c

  delta = b*b - 4.0*a*c
  delta = sqrt(delta)


  z1 = (-b+delta)/(2.0*a)
  z2 = (-b-delta)/(2.0*a)

  print *, 'Roots: ', z1, z2


end program second_degree_eq
```




- ▶ Fortran has **complex** type:
  - ▶ hosting two real values, real and imaginary parts




- ▶ Fortran has **complex** type:
  - ▶ hosting two real values, real and imaginary parts
- ▶ Most math functions like **sqrt ()** work for complex type too!
  - ▶ Returning correct results, instead of NaNs



- ▶ Fortran has **complex** type:
  - ▶ hosting two real values, real and imaginary parts
- ▶ Most math functions like **sqrt ()** work for complex type too!
  - ▶ Returning correct results, instead of NaNs
- ▶ And so do **read**, **write**, and **print**



- ▶ Fortran has **complex** type:
  - ▶ hosting two real values, real and imaginary parts
- ▶ Most math functions like **sqrt ()** work for complex type too!
  - ▶ Returning correct results, instead of NaNs
- ▶ And so do **read**, **write**, and **print**
- ▶ **(1.5, 2.3)** is *Fortranese* for  $1.5 + 2.3i$



! roots of a 2nd degree equation with real coefficients

```
program second_degree_eq
  implicit none
  complex :: delta
  complex :: z1, z2
  real    :: a, b, c


  print *, 'Solving ax^2+bx+c=0, enter a, b, c: '
  read(*,*) a, b, c

  delta = b*b - 4.0*a*c
  delta = sqrt(delta)


  z1 = (-b+delta)/(2.0*a)
  z2 = (-b-delta)/(2.0*a)

  print *, 'Roots: ', z1, z2

end program second_degree_eq
```




- ▶ What if user inputs zeroes for  $a$  or  $a$  and  $b$ ?



- ▶ What if user inputs zeroes for  $a$  or  $a$  and  $b$ ?
- ▶ Let's prevent these cases, inserting right after input:


```
if (a == 0.0) then
  if (b == 0.0) then
    if (c == 0.0) then
      write(0,*) 'A trivial identity!'
    else
      write(0,*) 'Plainly absurd!'
    end if
  else
    write(0,*) 'Too simple problem!'
  end if
stop
end if
```



- ▶ What if user inputs zeroes for  $a$  or  $a$  and  $b$ ?
- ▶ Let's prevent these cases, inserting right after input:

```
if (a == 0.0) then
  if (b == 0.0) then
    if (c == 0.0) then
      write(0,*) 'A trivial identity!'
    else
      write(0,*) 'Plainly absurd!'
    end if
  else
    write(0,*) 'Too simple problem!'
  end if
stop
end if
```


- ▶ Can you see the program logic?



- ▶ What if user inputs zeroes for  $a$  or  $a$  and  $b$ ?
- ▶ Let's prevent these cases, inserting right after input:

```
if (a == 0.0) then
  if (b == 0.0) then
    if (c == 0.0) then
      write(0,*) 'A trivial identity!'
    else
      write(0,*) 'Plainly absurd!'
    end if
  else
    write(0,*) 'Too simple problem!'
  end if
stop
end if
```


- ▶ Can you see the program logic?
- ▶ Try it now!




- ▶ What if user inputs zeroes for  $a$  or  $a$  and  $b$ ?
- ▶ Let's prevent these cases, inserting right after input:

```
if (a == 0.0) then
  if (b == 0.0) then
    if (c == 0.0) then
      write(0,*) 'A trivial identity!'
    else
      write(0,*) 'Plainly absurd!'
    end if
  else
    write(0,*) 'Too simple problem!'
  end if
stop
end if
```


- ▶ Can you see the program logic?
- ▶ Try it now!
- ▶ Did you check that normal cases still work? Good.




- ▶ Nested `ifs` can be a problem
  - ▶ `else` marries innermost `if () then/end if` pair
  - ▶ Proper indentation is almost mandatory to sort it out



- ▶ Nested **ifs** can be a problem
  - ▶ **else** marries innermost **if () then/end if** pair
  - ▶ Proper indentation is almost mandatory to sort it out
- ▶ What's this **write(0,\*)** stuff?
  - ▶ **write()** and **read()** let you specify an output (input) file 'handle' called a unit
  - ▶ Unit 0 is usually connected to a special file, mandatory for error messages to the terminal (e.g. UNIX standard error)
  - ▶ By the way, **write(\*,\*)** is a system independent idiom for what you'll often find written as **write(6,\*)**
  - ▶ And **read(\*,\*)** is a system independent idiom for what you'll often find written as **read(5,\*)**
  - ▶ And **stop error-message** is equivalent to: **write(0,\*) error-message**  
**stop**




- ▶ Nested **ifs** can be a problem
  - ▶ **else** marries innermost **if () then/end if** pair
  - ▶ Proper indentation is almost mandatory to sort it out
- ▶ What's this **write(0,\*)** stuff?
  - ▶ **write()** and **read()** let you specify an output (input) file 'handle' called a unit
  - ▶ Unit 0 is usually connected to a special file, mandatory for error messages to the terminal (e.g. UNIX standard error)
  - ▶ By the way, **write(\*,\*)** is a system independent idiom for what you'll often find written as **write(6,\*)**
  - ▶ And **read(\*,\*)** is a system independent idiom for what you'll often find written as **read(5,\*)**
  - ▶ And **stop error-message** is equivalent to: **write(0,\*) error-message**  
**stop**
- ▶ Best practice: if your program has to fail, always have it fail in a controlled way



- ▶ Let's give names to `if` constructs:


```
no2nd: if (a == 0.0) then
  nolst: if (b == 0.0) then
    no0th: if (c == 0.0) then
      write(0,*) 'A trivial identity!'
    else no0th
      write(0,*) 'Plainly absurd!'
    end if no0th
  else nolst
    write(0,*) 'Too simple problem!'
  end if nolst
  stop
end if no2nd
```



- ▶ Let's give names to `if` constructs:

```
no2nd: if (a == 0.0) then
  nolst: if (b == 0.0) then
    no0th: if (c == 0.0) then
      write(0,*) 'A trivial identity!'
    else no0th
      write(0,*) 'Plainly absurd!'
    end if no0th
  else nolst
    write(0,*) 'Too simple problem!'
  end if nolst
  stop
end if no2nd
```


- ▶ Giving names to constructs makes program logic more explicit



- ▶ Let's give names to `if` constructs:

```
no2nd: if (a == 0.0) then
  nolst: if (b == 0.0) then
    no0th: if (c == 0.0) then
      write(0,*) 'A trivial identity!'
    else no0th
      write(0,*) 'Plainly absurd!'
    end if no0th
  else nolst
    write(0,*) 'Too simple problem!'
  end if nolst
  stop
end if no2nd
```

- ▶ Giving names to constructs makes program logic more explicit
- ▶ Names are for readability purposes only, do not enforce pairing rules




- ▶ Let's give names to `if` constructs:


```
no2nd: if (a == 0.0) then
  nolst: if (b == 0.0) then
    no0th: if (c == 0.0) then
      write(0,*) 'A trivial identity!'
    else no0th
      write(0,*) 'Plainly absurd!'
    end if no0th
  else nolst
    write(0,*) 'Too simple problem!'
  end if nolst
  stop
end if no2nd
```

- ▶ Giving names to constructs makes program logic more explicit
- ▶ Names are for readability purposes only, do not enforce pairing rules
- ▶ Best practice: always give names to constructs which span many lines of code or are deeply nested

## Fortran Code, in the Beginning of Times



- ▶ The one on the left, is the statement  $Z(I) = Y + W(I)$
- ▶ The one in the middle, is an IBM punch card reader
- ▶ The one on the right, is a complete Fortran source program
- ▶ But you'll only encounter these in museums, nowadays



## C ROOTS OF A 2ND DEGREE EQUATION WITH REAL COEFFICIENTS

```
PROGRAM EQ2DEG
```

```
IMPLICIT NONE
```

```
REAL DELTA
```

```
REAL RP
```

```
REAL A, B, C
```

```
PRINT *, 'SOLVING AX^2+BX+C=0, ENTER A, B, C: '
```

```
READ(*,*) A, B, C
```

```
DELTA = B*B - 4.0*A*C
```


```
IF (DELTA.LT.0.0) STOP 'NO REAL ROOTS!'
```

```
DELTA = SQRT(DELTA) / (2.0*A)
```

```
RP = -B / (2.0*A)
```


```
PRINT *, 'REAL ROOTS: ', RP+DELTA, RP-DELTA
```

```
END
```



- ▶ Code is all capitals
  - ▶ First computers had only uppercase letters
- ▶ Fixed source form
  - ▶ The legacy of punch cards
  - ▶ Comment lines must be marked with a `C` or `*` in first column
  - ▶ First six columns on each line are reserved for labels and to mark continuation lines
  - ▶ Columns after the 72nd are ignored (cause of really nasty bugs!)
- ▶ No double colon on variable declarations
  - ▶ And no way to initialize a variable at declaration, for that matter
  - ▶ More on this later
- ▶ And this example is not that different...


# A Bottle of Fortran, Vintage Year 1963




```

C      SOLUTION OF QUADRATIC EQUATION
C      (P. 122 OF A FORTRAN PRIMER BY E. ORGANICK)
1 READ INPUT TAPE 5, 51, ANAME, N
51 FORMAT(A6,I2)
   WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,52, ANAME
52 FORMAT(1H1,33HROOTS OF QUADRATIC EQUATIONS FROM A6)
   DO 21 I = 1, N
   READ INPUT TAPE 5, 53, A, B, C
53 FORMAT(3F10.2)
   WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,54, I, A, B, C
54 FORMAT(1H0,8HSET NO. I2/5H A = F8.2,12X,4HB = F8.2,12X,4HC = F8.2)
   IF(A) 10, 7, 10
   7 RLIN = -C/B
   WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6, 55, RLIN
55 FORMAT(7H LINEAR,25X,4HX = F10.3)
   GO TO 21
10 D = B**2 - 4.*A*C
   IF(D) 12, 17, 17
12 COMPR = -B/(2.*A)
   COMP1 = SQRTF(-D)/(2.*A)
   COMP2= -COMP1
   WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6, 56, COMPR, COMP1, COMP2
56 FORMAT(8H COMPLEX,21X,7HR(X1)= F10.3,11X,7HI(X1)= F10.3,/1H ,28X,
17HR(X2)= F10.3,11X,7HI(X2)= F10.3)
16 GO TO 21
17 REAL1 = (-B + SQRTF(D))/(2.*A)
   REAL2 = (-B - SQRTF(D))/(2.*A)
20 WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6, 57, REAL1, REAL2
57 FORMAT(6H REAL 25X,5HX1 = F10.3,13X,5HX2 = F10.3)
21 CONTINUE
   WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6, 58, ANAME
58 FORMAT(8HOEND OF A6)
   GO TO 1
   END
    
```

# Best Practice: Free Yourself



- ▶ Write new code in free source form
  - ▶ No limits on beginning of program statements
  - ▶ Each line may contain up to 132 default characters
  - ▶ Comments can be added at end of line
  - ▶ And it comes for free: just give your source file name an `.f90` extension
- ▶ Use new language features
  - ▶ Like new styles for declarations
  - ▶ Or naming of constructs
  - ▶ They are more powerful and readable
- ▶ We'll focus on modern Fortran programming style
  - ▶ Making you aware of differences you are most likely to encounter
  - ▶ Look at compiler manuals or reference books to tame very old codes



Introduction

**Fortran Basics**

My First Fortran Program

Compiling and Linking Your First Program

Making Choices

More Types and Choices

**Wrapping it Up 1**


More Fortran Basics

Integer Types and Iterating


More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks


# A Fortran Program is Made of: I




- ▶ **Comments**
  - ▶ Compiler disregards them, but humans do not
  - ▶ Please, use them
  - ▶ Do not abuse them, please
- ▶ **Program units**
  - ▶ One, at least: **program**
  - ▶ Some of them (functions) are intrinsic to the language
- ▶ **Variables**
  - ▶ Named memory location you can store values into
  - ▶ Must be declared
- ▶ **Variables declarations**
  - ▶ Give name to memory location you can store values into
  - ▶ An initial value can be specified



- ▶ Expressions
  - ▶ Compute values to store in variables
  - ▶ Compute values to pass to functions and statements
- ▶ Statements
  - ▶ Units of executable work
  - ▶ Whose execution can be controlled by other constructs
- ▶ **if** statements and constructs
  - ▶ Allow for conditional and alternative execution
  - ▶ For both single statements and blocks of



- ▶ Use free source form
- ▶ **implicit none** statement
  - ▶ Turn off implicit declarations
- ▶ Use proper indentation
  - ▶ Compilers don't care about
  - ▶ Readers visualize flow control
- ▶ Give names to complex control structures, readers will appreciate
- ▶ Do non-regression testing
  - ▶ Whenever functionalities are added
  - ▶ Whenever you rewrite a code in a different way
- ▶ Fail in a controlled way
  - ▶ Giving feedback to humans



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

My First Fortran Functions

Making it Correct

Making it Robust


Copying with Legacy

Wrapping it Up 2

Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

**My First Fortran Functions**

Making it Correct

Making it Robust


Copying with Legacy

Wrapping it Up 2

Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)

  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```

```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real :: x

  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```


```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)

  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```


```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```

# Functions and their Definition



- ▶ Functions are program units
  - ▶ Function name must be a legal Fortran name
  - ▶ Functions specialty is performing computations and returning a value



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)


  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```

```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```




- ▶ Functions are program units
  - ▶ Function name must be a legal Fortran name
  - ▶ Functions specialty is performing computations and returning a value
- ▶ Type of returned value must be declared
  - ▶ In the definition and in each unit calling them
  - ▶ Same as a variable declaration
  - ▶ Could be declared on the function heading, but it's less flexible and less readable
  - ▶ More on this later...



- ▶ Functions are program units
  - ▶ Function name must be a legal Fortran name
  - ▶ Functions specialty is performing computations and returning a value
- ▶ Type of returned value must be declared
  - ▶ In the definition and in each unit calling them
  - ▶ Same as a variable declaration
  - ▶ Could be declared on the function heading, but it's less flexible and less readable
  - ▶ More on this later...
- ▶ How to return a value
  - ▶ Just assign it to the function name, as if it were a variable
  - ▶ But this doesn't force function termination
  - ▶ Multiple assignments can be done
  - ▶ The last assigned value before function execution is complete will be returned

# My First Fortran Functions



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)


  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```

```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta


  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```




- ▶ Functions have arguments
  - ▶ Declared like variables inside the function
  - ▶ Arguments are termed *dummy arguments* inside the function
  - ▶ The arguments passed to a function by a calling unit are termed *actual arguments*



- ▶ Functions have arguments
  - ▶ Declared like variables inside the function
  - ▶ Arguments are termed *dummy arguments* inside the function
  - ▶ The arguments passed to a function by a calling unit are termed *actual arguments*
- ▶ What if two functions have arguments with identical names?
  - ▶ No conflicts of sort, they are completely independent



- ▶ Functions have arguments
  - ▶ Declared like variables inside the function
  - ▶ Arguments are termed *dummy arguments* inside the function
  - ▶ The arguments passed to a function by a calling unit are termed *actual arguments*
- ▶ What if two functions have arguments with identical names?
  - ▶ No conflicts of sort, they are completely independent
- ▶ What if a dummy argument has the same name of a variable elsewhere in the program?
  - ▶ No conflicts of sort, they are completely independent



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)

  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```


```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```



- ▶ Functions have arguments
  - ▶ Declared like variables inside the function
  - ▶ Arguments are termed *dummy arguments* inside the function
  - ▶ The arguments passed to a function by a calling unit are termed *actual arguments*
- ▶ What if two functions have arguments with identical names?
  - ▶ No conflicts of sort, they are completely independent
- ▶ What if a dummy argument has the same name of a variable elsewhere in the program?
  - ▶ No conflicts of sort, they are completely independent
- ▶ Variables can be defined inside functions
  - ▶ Again, they are local, thus completely independent from the rest of the program

# My First Fortran Functions



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)


  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```

```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta


  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```



- ▶ Fortran sports a wealth (over a hundred!) of predefined functions and procedures
- ▶ These are termed *intrinsic*
  - ▶ **acos** (**x**) returns the arc cosine of  $x$  such that  $|x| \leq 1$  in the range  $0 \leq \arccos(x) \leq \pi$
  - ▶ **sin** (**x**) returns the sine function value of  $x$  in radians
  - ▶ **abs** (**x**) returns the absolute value of  $x$



- ▶ Fortran sports a wealth (over a hundred!) of predefined functions and procedures
- ▶ These are termed *intrinsic*
  - ▶ **acos** (**x**) returns the arc cosine of  $x$  such that  $|x| \leq 1$  in the range  $0 \leq \arccos(x) \leq \pi$
  - ▶ **sin** (**x**) returns the sine function value of  $x$  in radians
  - ▶ **abs** (**x**) returns the absolute value of  $x$
- ▶ What's this **external** keyword?
- ▶ It's one of the many attributes you can give to something you define
  - ▶ **external** tells the compiler **theta** is an external (i.e. non intrinsic) function
  - ▶ So the compiler is not forced to guess what it is from its use
  - ▶ And that way, masters can override intrinsic functions



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)


  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```

```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta


  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```




- ▶ The `parameter` attribute is used to declare named constants
  - ▶ i.e. variables that cannot be modified after initialization (compiler will bark if you try)




- ▶ The `parameter` attribute is used to declare named constants
  - ▶ i.e. variables that cannot be modified after initialization (compiler will bark if you try)
- ▶ In initialization expressions:




- ▶ The `parameter` attribute is used to declare named constants
  - ▶ i.e. variables that cannot be modified after initialization (compiler will bark if you try)
- ▶ In initialization expressions:
  - ▶ only constants (possibly other `parameters`) can be used



- ▶ The `parameter` attribute is used to declare named constants
  - ▶ i.e. variables that cannot be modified after initialization (compiler will bark if you try)
- ▶ In initialization expressions:
  - ▶ only constants (possibly other `parameters`) can be used
  - ▶ only intrinsic operators or functions are allowed



- ▶ The `parameter` attribute is used to declare named constants
  - ▶ i.e. variables that cannot be modified after initialization (compiler will bark if you try)
- ▶ In initialization expressions:
  - ▶ only constants (possibly other `parameters`) can be used
  - ▶ only intrinsic operators or functions are allowed
- ▶ Best practice: always give name to constants
  - ▶ Particularly if unobvious, like `1.0/137.0`
  - ▶ It also helps to centralize updates (well, not for  $\pi$ )



Introduction

Fortran Basics

**More Fortran Basics**

My First Fortran Functions

**Making it Correct**

Making it Robust


Copying with Legacy

Wrapping it Up 2


Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks



- ▶ Let's put the code in a file named `dsp.f90`
- ▶ Best practice: always put different groups of related functions in different files
  - ▶ Helps to tame complexity
  - ▶ You can always pass all source files to the compiler
  - ▶ And you'll learn to do better ...



- ▶ Let's put the code in a file named `dsp.f90`
- ▶ Best practice: always put different groups of related functions in different files
  - ▶ Helps to tame complexity
  - ▶ You can always pass all source files to the compiler
  - ▶ And you'll learn to do better ...
- ▶ And let's write a program to test all functions
  - ▶ And be wary, check again actual arguments after all function calls
- ▶ Best practice: always write a special purpose program to test each subset of functions
  - ▶ Best to include in the program automated testing of all relevant cases
  - ▶ Let's do by hand with I/O for now, to make it short



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)


  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```

```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```




- ▶ We have collected DSP functions in `dsp.f90` source file




- ▶ We have collected DSP functions in `dsp.f90` source file
- ▶ We want to test these functions

# DSP test program



- ▶ We have collected DSP functions in `dsp.f90` source file
- ▶ We want to test these functions
- ▶ Let's write a `dsp_test.f90` program:




- ▶ We have collected DSP functions in `dsp.f90` source file
- ▶ We want to test these functions
- ▶ Let's write a `dsp_test.f90` program:

```
program dsp_test
```

```
    real :: i,j,k
```


```
end program dsp_test
```



- ▶ We have collected DSP functions in `dsp.f90` source file
- ▶ We want to test these functions
- ▶ Let's write a `dsp_test.f90` program:

```
program dsp_test  
  
  real :: i,j,k  
  real :: rtheta, rsinc, rrect  
  real, external :: theta, sinc, rect
```

```
end program dsp_test
```




- ▶ We have collected DSP functions in `dsp.f90` source file
- ▶ We want to test these functions
- ▶ Let's write a `dsp_test.f90` program:

```
program dsp_test

  real :: i,j,k
  real :: rtheta, rsinc, rrect
  real, external :: theta, sinc, rect

  print *, 'Enter i, j, k:'
  read(*,*) i, j, k

end program dsp_test
```



- ▶ We have collected DSP functions in `dsp.f90` source file
- ▶ We want to test these functions
- ▶ Let's write a `dsp_test.f90` program:


```
program dsp_test

  real :: i,j,k
  real :: rtheta, rsinc, rrect
  real, external :: theta, sinc, rect

  print *, 'Enter i, j, k:'
  read(*,*) i, j, k

  rtheta = theta(i)
  rsinc = sinc(i)
  rrect = rect(j, k)

end program dsp_test
```



- ▶ We have collected DSP functions in `dsp.f90` source file
- ▶ We want to test these functions
- ▶ Let's write a `dsp_test.f90` program:

```
program dsp_test


  real :: i,j,k
  real :: rtheta, rsinc, rrect
  real, external :: theta, sinc, rect

  print *, 'Enter i, j, k:'
  read(*,*) i, j, k

  rtheta = theta(i)
  rsinc = sinc(i)
  rrect = rect(j, k)

  write(*,*) 'theta(', i, ')= ', rtheta
  write(*,*) 'sinc(', i, ')= ', rsinc
  write(*,*) 'rect(', j, ', ', k, ')= ', rrect


end program dsp_test
```



- ▶ Let's build our test program putting all together:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90 -o dsp_test
```

- ▶ `-o` option specifies the name `dsp_test` for the executable




- ▶ Let's build our test program putting all together:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90 -o dsp_test
```

- ▶ `-o` option specifies the name `dsp_test` for the executable

- ▶ Now run the program:

```
user@cineca$> ./dsp_test  
Enter i, j, k:
```




- ▶ Let's build our test program putting all together:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90 -o dsp_test
```

- ▶ `-o` option specifies the name `dsp_test` for the executable

- ▶ Now run the program:

```
user@cineca$> ./dsp_test  
Enter i, j, k:  
-1 0 1
```



- ▶ Let's build our test program putting all together:


```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90 -o dsp_test
```

- ▶ `-o` option specifies the name `dsp_test` for the executable

- ▶ Now run the program:

```
user@cineca$> ./dsp_test
Enter i, j, k:
-1 0 1

theta( -3.1415927 ) = 0.0000000
sinc( -3.1415927 ) = -2.78275341E-08
rect( 0.0000000 , 1.0000000 ) = 1.0000000
```



- ▶ Let's build our test program putting all together:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90 -o dsp_test
```


- ▶ `-o` option specifies the name `dsp_test` for the executable

- ▶ Now run the program:


```
user@cineca$> ./dsp_test
Enter i, j, k:
-1 0 1

theta( -3.1415927 ) = 0.0000000
sinc( -3.1415927 ) = -2.78275341E-08
rect( 0.0000000 , 1.0000000 ) = 1.0000000
```

- ▶ Something is going wrong, isn't it?
  - ▶ Seems like one function changed its actual argument!



- ▶ Let's put the code in a file named `dsp.f90`
- ▶ Best practice: always put different groups of related functions in different files
  - ▶ Helps to tame complexity
  - ▶ You can always pass all source files to the compiler
  - ▶ And you'll learn to do better ...
- ▶ And let's write a program to test all functions
  - ▶ And be wary, check again actual arguments after all function calls
- ▶ Best practice: always write a special purpose program to test each subset of functions
  - ▶ Best to include in the program automated testing of all relevant cases
  - ▶ Let's do by hand with I/O for now, to make it short



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real, intent(in) :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc
  real, intent(in) :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)


  x = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (x /= 0.0) sinc = sin(x)/x
end function sinc
```

```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```




- ▶ Try to recompile `dsp`. £90...



- ▶ Try to recompile `dsp.f90`...
- ▶ Now compiler will check if you respect your stated intents:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran -o dsp_test dsp_test.f90 dsp.f90
dsp.f90:16.2:

    x = x*pi
    1
Error: Cannot assign to INTENT(IN) variable 'x' at (1)
```




- ▶ Try to recompile `dsp.f90`...
- ▶ Now compiler will check if you respect your stated intents:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran -o dsp_test dsp_test.f90 dsp.f90
dsp.f90:16.2:

   x = x*pi
   1
Error: Cannot assign to INTENT(IN) variable 'x' at (1)
```


- ▶ Got a compiler error message? Good!

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations
  - ▶ (And if you pass a constant or expression, an unnamed variable is created for you)

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations
  - ▶ (And if you pass a constant or expression, an unnamed variable is created for you)
  - ▶ When a dummy argument is assigned to, the actual argument is assigned to

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations
  - ▶ (And if you pass a constant or expression, an unnamed variable is created for you)
  - ▶ When a dummy argument is assigned to, the actual argument is assigned to
  - ▶ This is a great feature, but a source of bugs too (particularly for C programmers)

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations
  - ▶ (And if you pass a constant or expression, an unnamed variable is created for you)
  - ▶ When a dummy argument is assigned to, the actual argument is assigned to
  - ▶ This is a great feature, but a source of bugs too (particularly for C programmers)
  - ▶ And it's one possible *side effect* you'll have to watch over

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations
  - ▶ (And if you pass a constant or expression, an unnamed variable is created for you)
  - ▶ When a dummy argument is assigned to, the actual argument is assigned to
  - ▶ This is a great feature, but a source of bugs too (particularly for C programmers)
  - ▶ And it's one possible *side effect* you'll have to watch over
- ▶ Best practice: always give dummy arguments the proper attribute

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations
  - ▶ (And if you pass a constant or expression, an unnamed variable is created for you)
  - ▶ When a dummy argument is assigned to, the actual argument is assigned to
  - ▶ This is a great feature, but a source of bugs too (particularly for C programmers)
  - ▶ And it's one possible *side effect* you'll have to watch over
- ▶ Best practice: always give dummy arguments the proper attribute
  - ▶ **intent (in)** for those you only plan to read values from

# It's Pass by Reference!




- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations
  - ▶ (And if you pass a constant or expression, an unnamed variable is created for you)
  - ▶ When a dummy argument is assigned to, the actual argument is assigned to
  - ▶ This is a great feature, but a source of bugs too (particularly for C programmers)
  - ▶ And it's one possible *side effect* you'll have to watch over
- ▶ Best practice: always give dummy arguments the proper attribute
  - ▶ **intent (in)** for those you only plan to read values from
  - ▶ **intent (out)** for those you only plan to write values to

# It's Pass by Reference!



- ▶ Arguments are passed *by reference* in Fortran
  - ▶ Dummy and actual arguments share the same memory locations
  - ▶ (And if you pass a constant or expression, an unnamed variable is created for you)
  - ▶ When a dummy argument is assigned to, the actual argument is assigned to
  - ▶ This is a great feature, but a source of bugs too (particularly for C programmers)
  - ▶ And it's one possible *side effect* you'll have to watch over
- ▶ Best practice: always give dummy arguments the proper attribute
  - ▶ **intent (in)** for those you only plan to read values from
  - ▶ **intent (out)** for those you only plan to write values to
  - ▶ **intent (inout)** (default) for those you plan to do both

# My First Fortran Functions Fixed!



```
function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: theta
  real, intent(in) :: x


  theta = 1.0
  if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
end function theta
```

```
function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: sinc, xpi
  real, intent(in) :: x
  real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)

  xpi = x*pi
  sinc = 1.0
  if (xpi /= 0.0) sinc = sin(xpi)/xpi
end function sinc
```


```
function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau
  real, external :: theta

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```



► Way much better!


```
user@cineca$> gfortran -o dsp_test dsp_test.f90 dsp.f90
user@cineca$> ./dsp_test
Enter i, j, k:
-1 0 1
theta( -1.0000000 ) = 0.0000000
sinc( -1.0000000 ) = -2.78275341E-08
rect( 0.0000000 , 1.0000000 ) = 1.0000000
```



- ▶ Way much better!

```
user@cineca$> gfortran -o dsp_test dsp_test.f90 dsp.f90
user@cineca$> ./dsp_test
Enter i, j, k:
-1 0 1
theta( -1.0000000 ) = 0.0000000
sinc( -1.0000000 ) = -2.78275341E-08
rect( 0.0000000 , 1.0000000 ) = 1.0000000
```

- ▶ Now comment out `real :: i, j, k` in `dsp_test.f90`, recompile and rerun




- ▶ Way much better!

```

user@cineca$> gfortran -o dsp_test dsp_test.f90 dsp.f90
user@cineca$> ./dsp_test
Enter i, j, k:
-1 0 1
theta( -1.0000000 ) = 0.0000000
sinc( -1.0000000 ) = -2.78275341E-08
rect( 0.0000000 , 1.0000000 ) = 1.0000000
    
```

- ▶ Now comment out `real :: i, j, k` in `dsp_test.f90`, recompile and rerun
- ▶ Now add `implicit none` to `dsp_test.f90` and do it again



Introduction

Fortran Basics

**More Fortran Basics**

My First Fortran Functions

Making it Correct

**Making it Robust**


Copying with Legacy

Wrapping it Up 2


Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks




- ▶ Try to pass **integer** variables as actual arguments to **theta()**, **sinc()**, and **rect()**




- ▶ Try to pass **integer** variables as actual arguments to **theta()**, **sinc()**, and **rect()**
- ▶ Got some surprising behavior?



- ▶ Try to pass **integer** variables as actual arguments to **theta()**, **sinc()**, and **rect()**
- ▶ Got some surprising behavior?
- ▶ Our testing program doesn't know enough about external functions it is calling
  - ▶ It is knowledgeable about return types
  - ▶ It is totally ignorant about argument types



- ▶ Try to pass **integer** variables as actual arguments to **theta()**, **sinc()**, and **rect()**
- ▶ Got some surprising behavior?
- ▶ Our testing program doesn't know enough about external functions it is calling
  - ▶ It is knowledgeable about return types
  - ▶ It is totally ignorant about argument types
- ▶ We can make it aware using **interface** blocks



```
program dsp

  implicit none


  real :: i,j,k

  real, external :: theta, sinc, rect

  print *, 'Enter i, j, k:'
  read(*,*) i, j, k

  write(*,*) 'theta(', i, ')= ', theta(i)
  write(*,*) 'sinc(', i, ')= ', sinc(i)
  write(*,*) 'rect(', j, ', ', k, ')= ', rect(j,k)

end program dsp
```



```
program dsp

  implicit none

  real :: i,j,k

  interface
    function theta(x)
      real :: theta, x
    end function theta
  end interface


  interface
    function sinc(x)
      real :: sinc, x
    end function sinc
  end interface

  interface
    function rect(t, tau)
      real :: rect, t, tau
    end function rect
  end interface


  print *, 'Enter i, j, k:'
  read(*,*) i, j, k

  write(*,*) 'theta(', i, ')= ', theta(i)
  write(*,*) 'sinc(', i, ')= ', sinc(i)
  write(*,*) 'rect(', j, ', ', k, ')= ', rect(j,k)


end program dsp
```




- ▶ Try to pass **integer** variables as actual arguments to **theta()**, **sinc()**, and **rect()**
- ▶ Got some surprising behavior?
- ▶ Our testing program doesn't know enough about external functions it is calling
  - ▶ It is knowledgeable about return types
  - ▶ It is totally ignorant about argument types
- ▶ We can make it aware using **interface** blocks
  - ▶ Just type it in each program unit calling dsp functions



- ▶ Try to pass **integer** variables as actual arguments to **theta()**, **sinc()**, and **rect()**
- ▶ Got some surprising behavior?
- ▶ Our testing program doesn't know enough about external functions it is calling
  - ▶ It is knowledgeable about return types
  - ▶ It is totally ignorant about argument types
- ▶ We can make it aware using **interface** blocks
  - ▶ Just type it in each program unit calling dsp functions
  - ▶ Or, if your life is too short for typing, copy and paste it




- ▶ Try to pass **integer** variables as actual arguments to **theta()**, **sinc()**, and **rect()**
- ▶ Got some surprising behavior?
- ▶ Our testing program doesn't know enough about external functions it is calling
  - ▶ It is knowledgeable about return types
  - ▶ It is totally ignorant about argument types
- ▶ We can make it aware using **interface** blocks
  - ▶ Just type it in each program unit calling dsp functions
  - ▶ Or, if your life is too short for typing, copy and paste it
  - ▶ But life is too short to modify interfaces spread around 56 program units




- ▶ Try to pass **integer** variables as actual arguments to **theta()**, **sinc()**, and **rect()**
- ▶ Got some surprising behavior?
- ▶ Our testing program doesn't know enough about external functions it is calling
  - ▶ It is knowledgeable about return types
  - ▶ It is totally ignorant about argument types
- ▶ We can make it aware using **interface** blocks
  - ▶ Just type it in each program unit calling dsp functions
  - ▶ Or, if your life is too short for typing, copy and paste it
  - ▶ But life is too short to modify interfaces spread around 56 program units
  - ▶ Good, but still error prone, no better way?

## use Modules, Instead!



- ▶ Modules are the Fortran way to complete and robust management of sets of related routines and more



```
module dsp
  implicit none
contains
  function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
    real :: theta
    real, intent(in) :: x

    theta = 1.0
    if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
  end function theta


  function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
    real :: sinc, xpi
    real, intent(in) :: x
    real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)

    xpi = x*pi
    sinc = 1.0
    if (xpi /= 0.0) sinc = sin(xpi)/xpi
  end function sinc

  function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
    real :: rect
    real, intent(in) :: t, tau
    real :: abs_t, half_tau

    abs_t = abs(t)
    half_tau = 0.5*tau
    rect = 0.5
    if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
  end function rect
end module dsp
```

# My First Module



```
module dsp
  implicit none
  contains
  function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
    real :: theta
    real, intent(in) :: x

    theta = 1.0
    if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
  end function theta


  function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
    real :: sinc, xpi
    real, intent(in) :: x
    real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)

    xpi = x*pi
    sinc = 1.0
    if (xpi /= 0.0) sinc = sin(xpi)/xpi
  end function sinc

  function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
    real :: rect
    real, intent(in) :: t, tau
    real :: abs_t, half_tau


    abs_t = abs(t)
    half_tau = 0.5*tau
    rect = 0.5
    if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
  end function rect
end module dsp
```

## use Modules, Instead!




- ▶ Modules are the Fortran way to complete and robust management of sets of related routines and more
- ▶ Interfaces are automatically defined for each procedure a module contains
- ▶ To use **theta ()**, **sinc ()**, and **rect ()** in a program unit:
  - ▶ just add a **use dsp** statement
  - ▶ before you declare anything else in the unit

## **use** Modules, Instead!



- ▶ Modules are the Fortran way to complete and robust management of sets of related routines and more
- ▶ Interfaces are automatically defined for each procedure a module contains
- ▶ To use **theta ()**, **sinc ()**, and **rect ()** in a program unit:
  - ▶ just add a **use dsp** statement
  - ▶ before you declare anything else in the unit
- ▶ Try it now!



```
module dsp
  implicit none
contains
  function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
    real :: theta
    real, intent(in) :: x

    theta = 1.0
    if (x < 0.0 ) theta = 0.0
  end function theta


  function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
    real :: sinc, xpi
    real, intent(in) :: x
    real, parameter :: pi = acos(-1.0)

    xpi = x*pi
    sinc = 1.0
    if (xpi /= 0.0) sinc = sin(xpi)/xpi
  end function sinc

  function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
    real :: rect
    real, intent(in) :: t, tau
    real :: abs_t, half_tau


    abs_t = abs(t)
    half_tau = 0.5*tau
    rect = 0.5
    if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
  end function rect
end module dsp
```

## use Modules, Instead!




- ▶ Modules are the Fortran way to complete and robust management of sets of related routines and more
- ▶ Interfaces are automatically defined for each procedure a module contains
- ▶ To use **theta ()**, **sinc ()**, and **rect ()** in a program unit:
  - ▶ just add a **use dsp** statement
  - ▶ before you declare anything else in the unit
- ▶ Try it now!
- ▶ Best practices

# use Modules, Instead!




- ▶ Modules are the Fortran way to complete and robust management of sets of related routines and more
- ▶ Interfaces are automatically defined for each procedure a module contains
- ▶ To use **theta ()** , **sinc ()** , and **rect ()** in a program unit:
  - ▶ just add a **use dsp** statement
  - ▶ before you declare anything else in the unit
- ▶ Try it now!
- ▶ Best practices
  - ▶ If you have a set of related procedures, always make a module

# use Modules, Instead!




- ▶ Modules are the Fortran way to complete and robust management of sets of related routines and more
- ▶ Interfaces are automatically defined for each procedure a module contains
- ▶ To use **theta ()**, **sinc ()**, and **rect ()** in a program unit:
  - ▶ just add a **use dsp** statement
  - ▶ before you declare anything else in the unit
- ▶ Try it now!
- ▶ Best practices
  - ▶ If you have a set of related procedures, always make a module
  - ▶ If you have a single procedure, just to tame code complexity, called by a single program unit, a module could be overkill

## use Modules, Instead!




- ▶ Modules are the Fortran way to complete and robust management of sets of related routines and more
- ▶ Interfaces are automatically defined for each procedure a module contains
- ▶ To use **theta ()**, **sinc ()**, and **rect ()** in a program unit:
  - ▶ just add a **use dsp** statement
  - ▶ before you declare anything else in the unit
- ▶ Try it now!
- ▶ Best practices
  - ▶ If you have a set of related procedures, always make a module
  - ▶ If you have a single procedure, just to tame code complexity, called by a single program unit, a module could be overkill
- ▶ But there is a lot more to say about modules

# Modules Give You Fine Control




- ▶ A nice colleague handed you the `dsp` module...
- ▶ but you prefer your own version of `rect ()`, which returns 1 on borders:
  - ▶ don't change the module source


# Modules Give You Fine Control




- ▶ A nice colleague handed you the `dsp` module...
- ▶ but you prefer your own version of `rect ()`, which returns 1 on borders:
  - ▶ don't change the module source
  - ▶ **use `dsp, only : theta, sinc`** and keep using your own `rect ()`




- ▶ A nice colleague handed you the `dsp` module...
- ▶ but you prefer your own version of `rect ()`, which returns 1 on borders:
  - ▶ don't change the module source
  - ▶ **use `dsp, only : theta, sinc`** and keep using your own `rect ()`
- ▶ or you already have a function called `theta ()`, called all over your code, and don't want to change it:




- ▶ A nice colleague handed you the `dsp` module...
- ▶ but you prefer your own version of `rect ()`, which returns 1 on borders:
  - ▶ don't change the module source
  - ▶ `use dsp, only : theta, sinc`  
and keep using your own `rect ()`
- ▶ or you already have a function called `theta ()`, called all over your code, and don't want to change it:
  - ▶ rename the `theta ()` function in `dsp` like this:  
`use dsp, heaviside=>theta`



- ▶ A nice colleague handed you the `dsp` module...
- ▶ but you prefer your own version of `rect ()`, which returns 1 on borders:
  - ▶ don't change the module source
  - ▶ `use dsp, only : theta, sinc`  
and keep using your own `rect ()`
- ▶ or you already have a function called `theta ()`, called all over your code, and don't want to change it:
  - ▶ rename the `theta ()` function in `dsp` like this:  
`use dsp, heaviside=>theta`
- ▶ or maybe both:




- ▶ A nice colleague handed you the `dsp` module...
- ▶ but you prefer your own version of `rect ()`, which returns 1 on borders:
  - ▶ don't change the module source
  - ▶ `use dsp, only : theta, sinc`  
and keep using your own `rect ()`
- ▶ or you already have a function called `theta ()`, called all over your code, and don't want to change it:
  - ▶ rename the `theta ()` function in `dsp` like this:  
`use dsp, heaviside=>theta`
- ▶ or maybe both:
  - ▶ `use dsp, only : heaviside=>theta, sinc`



```
function rect(t, tau)
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```


- ▶ What if `rect ()` is passed a negative argument for `tau`?



```
function rect(t, tau)
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```


- ▶ What if `rect ()` is passed a negative argument for `tau`?
  - ▶ Wrong results



```
function rect(t, tau)
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```

- ▶ What if `rect ()` is passed a negative argument for `tau`?
  - ▶ Wrong results
- ▶ Taking the absolute value of `tau` it's a possibility



```
function rect(t, tau)
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```


- ▶ What if `rect ()` is passed a negative argument for `tau`?
  - ▶ Wrong results
- ▶ Taking the absolute value of `tau` it's a possibility
- ▶ But not a good one, because:
  - ▶ a negative rectangle width is nonsensical
  - ▶ probably flags a mistake in the calling code
  - ▶ and a zero rectangle width is also a problem


```
function rect(t, tau)
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  if (tau <= 0.0) stop 'rect() non positive second argument'
  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```

- ▶ A known approach...

## Failing Predictably






```
function rect(t, tau)
  implicit none
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  if (tau <= 0.0) stop 'rect() non positive second argument'
  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
```

- ▶ A known approach...
- ▶ but too rude!
  - ▶ No clue at the argument value
  - ▶ No clue at which call to `rect()` was wrong
  - ▶ And stopping a program in a procedure, called by another procedure, called by another procedure, ..., is widely reputed bad programming practice




```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer :: dsp_info
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains
  function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function theta

  function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function sinc

  function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    dsp_info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```




```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer :: dsp_info
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains
  function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function theta

  function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function sinc

  function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau


  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    dsp_info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```




- ▶ Yes, a module can define variables, too

## More Module Power, and More Types



- ▶ Yes, a module can define variables, too
- ▶ And they will be accessible to all program units using it




```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer :: dsp_info
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains
  function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function theta

  function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function sinc


  function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    dsp_info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```



- ▶ Yes, a module can define variables, too
- ▶ And they will be accessible to all program units using it
- ▶ And yes, **integer** it's another Fortran type
  - ▶ For variables hosting integer numerical values
  - ▶ More on this later...




```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer :: dsp_info
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains
  function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function theta

  function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function sinc


  function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    dsp_info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```



- ▶ Yes, a module can define variables, too
- ▶ And they will be accessible to all program units using it
- ▶ And yes, **integer** it's another Fortran type
  - ▶ For variables hosting integer numerical values
  - ▶ More on this later...
- ▶ And yes, **return** forces function execution to terminate and return to calling unit




```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer :: dsp_info
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains
  function theta(x) !Heaviside function, useful in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function theta

  function sinc(x) !sinc function as used in DSP
! code as in previous examples...
  end function sinc


  function rect(t, tau) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    dsp_info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```




- ▶ Set a module variable to a constant corresponding to the error class
- ▶ And return a sensible result



- ▶ Set a module variable to a constant corresponding to the error class
- ▶ And return a sensible result
- ▶ Then a wise user would do something like this:


```
dsp_info = 0
r = rect(x, width)
if (dsp_info == DSPERR_DOMAIN) then
  ! take corrective action or fail gracefully
end if
```



- ▶ Set a module variable to a constant corresponding to the error class
- ▶ And return a sensible result
- ▶ Then a wise user would do something like this:

```
dsp_info = 0
r = rect(x, width)
if (dsp_info == DSPERR_DOMAIN) then
  ! take corrective action or fail gracefully
end if
```

- ▶ Note: even if Fortran ignores case, constants are often highlighted using all capitals




```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains

! ...

function rect(t, tau, info) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  integer, intent(out) :: info
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  info = 0
  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```




```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains

! ...


function rect(t, tau, info) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  integer, intent(out) :: info
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  info = 0
  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```




- ▶ Set a dedicated argument to a constant corresponding to the error class
- ▶ And return a sensible result



- ▶ Set a dedicated argument to a constant corresponding to the error class
- ▶ And return a sensible result
- ▶ Then a wise user would do something like this:


```
r = rect(x, width, rect_info)
if (rect_info == DSPERR_DOMAIN) then
    ! take corrective action or fail gracefully
end if
```



- ▶ Set a dedicated argument to a constant corresponding to the error class
- ▶ And return a sensible result
- ▶ Then a wise user would do something like this:

```
r = rect(x, width, rect_info)
if (rect_info == DSPERR_DOMAIN) then
    ! take corrective action or fail gracefully
end if
```

- ▶ But this is annoying when the arguments are guaranteed to be correct
  - ▶ **info** can be given the **optional** attribute
  - ▶ and omitted when you feel it's safe: **rect(x, 5.0)**




```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains

! ...

function rect(t, tau, info) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  integer, intent(out), optional :: info
  real :: abs_t, half_tau

  if (present(info)) info = 0
  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    if (present(info)) info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```



```
module dsp
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: DSPERR_DOMAIN = 1
contains


! ...

function rect(t, tau, info) !generalized rectangular function, useful in DSP
  real :: rect
  real, intent(in) :: t, tau
  integer, intent(out), optional :: info
  real :: abs_t, half_tau


  if (present(info)) info = 0
  if (tau <= 0.0) then
    if (present(info)) info = DSPERR_DOMAIN
    rect = 0.0
    return
  end if

  abs_t = abs(t)
  half_tau = 0.5*tau
  rect = 0.5
  if (abs_t /= half_tau) rect = theta(half_tau-abs_t)
end function rect
end module dsp
```


## Total Robustness




- ▶ Your platform could support IEEE floating point standard
  - ▶ Most common ones do, at least in a good part




- ▶ Your platform could support IEEE floating point standard
  - ▶ Most common ones do, at least in a good part
- ▶ This means more bad cases:
  - ▶ one of the arguments is a NaN
  - ▶ both arguments are infinite (they are not ordered!)



- ▶ Your platform could support IEEE floating point standard
  - ▶ Most common ones do, at least in a good part
- ▶ This means more bad cases:
  - ▶ one of the arguments is a NaN
  - ▶ both arguments are infinite (they are not ordered!)
- ▶ Best strategy: return a NaN and set `dsp_info` in these bad cases
  - ▶ And do it also for non positive values of `tau`
  - ▶ But then the floating point environment configuration should be checked, proper floating point exceptions set...



- ▶ Your platform could support IEEE floating point standard
  - ▶ Most common ones do, at least in a good part
- ▶ This means more bad cases:
  - ▶ one of the arguments is a NaN
  - ▶ both arguments are infinite (they are not ordered!)
- ▶ Best strategy: return a NaN and set `dsp_info` in these bad cases
  - ▶ And do it also for non positive values of `tau`
  - ▶ But then the floating point environment configuration should be checked, proper floating point exceptions set...
- ▶ Being absolutely robust is difficult
  - ▶ Too advanced stuff to cover in this course
  - ▶ But not an excuse, some robustness is better than none
  - ▶ It's a process to do in steps
  - ▶ Always comment in your code bad cases you don't cover yet!



Introduction

Fortran Basics

**More Fortran Basics**

My First Fortran Functions

Making it Correct

Making it Robust


**Copying with Legacy**

Wrapping it Up 2

Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks



```
FUNCTION SINC(X)
  IMPLICIT NONE
  REAL SINC, X, XPI
  REAL PI
  PARAMETER (PI = 3.1415926)


  XPI = X*PI
  SINC = 1.0
  IF (XPI .NE. 0.0) SINC = SIN(XPI)/XPI
END

FUNCTION RECT(T, TAU)
  IMPLICIT NONE
  REAL RECT, T, TAU
  REAL ABS_T, HALF_TAU
  REAL THETA
  EXTERNAL THETA
  INTEGER DSPINFO
  COMMON /DSP/ DSPINFO

  IF (TAU .LE. 0.0) THEN
    DSPINFO = 1
    RECT = 0.0;
    RETURN
  END IF


  ABS_T = ABS(T)
  HALF_TAU = 0.5*TAU
  RECT = 0.5
  IF (ABS_T .NE. HALF_TAU) RECT = THETA(HALF_TAU-ABS_T)
END
```

# Many Things are Missing




- ▶ Strange looking relational operators
- ▶ No attributes
  - ▶ Declarations spread over many lines, error prone
- ▶ No initialization expressions
  - ▶ You had to type in the actual number
- ▶ No **intent** i.e. no defense from subtle bugs
- ▶ No **interface**

# Many Things are Missing




- ▶ Strange looking relational operators
- ▶ No attributes
  - ▶ Declarations spread over many lines, error prone
- ▶ No initialization expressions
  - ▶ You had to type in the actual number
- ▶ No **intent** i.e. no defense from subtle bugs
- ▶ No **interface**
- ▶ No easy way to share variables among program units
  - ▶ To share you had to use **common** statements
  - ▶ And type in variable types and **common** statements in each unit
  - ▶ And the smallest mistake can turn into a nightmare


# Many Things are Missing




- ▶ Strange looking relational operators
- ▶ No attributes
  - ▶ Declarations spread over many lines, error prone
- ▶ No initialization expressions
  - ▶ You had to type in the actual number
- ▶ No **intent** i.e. no defense from subtle bugs
- ▶ No **interface**
- ▶ No easy way to share variables among program units
  - ▶ To share you had to use **common** statements
  - ▶ And type in variable types and **common** statements in each unit
  - ▶ And the smallest mistake can turn into a nightmare
- ▶ Bottom line:
  - ▶ Is **common** good or bad? The jury is still out
  - ▶ We'll not cover them, but you'll encounter them
  - ▶ Read the fine print, or better switch to modules, they are **way much better**




- ▶ You are lucky, and inherit a 4000 lines of code library, coming from the dark ages
  - ▶ Tested and tried



- ▶ You are lucky, and inherit a 4000 lines of code library, coming from the dark ages
  - ▶ Tested and tried
- ▶ But no interface
  - ▶ Thus no compiler checks when you call it
  - ▶ And rewriting a working code in modern language is soooo dangerous...



- ▶ You are lucky, and inherit a 4000 lines of code library, coming from the dark ages
  - ▶ Tested and tried
- ▶ But no interface
  - ▶ Thus no compiler checks when you call it
  - ▶ And rewriting a working code in modern language is soooo dangerous...
- ▶ Modules come to rescue
  - ▶ They don't need to include the actual code
  - ▶ But they can publish an interface for code which is elsewhere
  - ▶ And then you can use the module in calling program units



```
module dspmod


  implicit none

  interface
    function theta(x)
      real :: theta
      real, intent(in) :: x
    end function theta
  end interface

  interface
    function sinc(x)
      real :: sinc
      real, intent(in) :: x
    end function sinc
  end interface

  interface
    function rect(t, tau)
      real :: rect
      real, intent(in) :: t, tau
    end function rect
  end interface

end module dspmod
```



Introduction

Fortran Basics

**More Fortran Basics**

My First Fortran Functions

Making it Correct

Making it Robust


Copying with Legacy

**Wrapping it Up 2**


Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks



- ▶ A program can be subdivided in more source files
- ▶ Functions and their arguments
- ▶ Arguments are passed to functions by reference
- ▶ **intent** attribute is precious to prevent subtle bugs
- ▶ Intrinsic and external procedures are two different things
- ▶ **parameter** variables
- ▶ Explicit interfaces
- ▶ Modules allow complete management of procedures
- ▶ Modules allow access to variables from many program units
- ▶ Modules can be used to make proper use of legacy, reliable codes



- ▶ Always name constants
- ▶ Test every function you write
  - ▶ Writing specialized programs to do it
- ▶ Use language support and compiler to catch mistakes
- ▶ Use explicit interfaces
- ▶ Use modules
- ▶ Describe all attributes of a variable at declaration
- ▶ Anticipate causes of problems
  - ▶ Find a rational way to react
  - ▶ Fail predictably and in a user friendly way
  - ▶ Robustness it's a long way to do in steps
  - ▶ Comment in your code issues still to address



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

Integer Types and Iterating

Play it Again, Please

Testing and Fixing it

Hitting Limits


Wider Integer Types

How Bad it Used to Be

Wrapping it Up 3

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks



## ► Euclid's Algorithm

1. Take two integers  $a$  and  $b$
2. Let  $r \leftarrow a \bmod b$
3. Let  $a \leftarrow b$
4. Let  $b \leftarrow r$
5. If  $b$  is not zero, go back to step 2
6.  $a$  is the GCD

## ► Let's implement it and learn some more Fortran




```
module number_theory
  implicit none
  contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t

    gcd = a
    gb = b

    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd

  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b

    lcm = a*b/gcd(a,b)
  end function lcm
end module number_theory
```



```
module number_theory
  implicit none
  contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t


    gcd = a
    gb = b

    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd

  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b


    lcm = a*b/gcd(a,b)
  end function lcm
end module number_theory
```

# The Integer Type




- ▶ As we said, **integer** means that a value is an integer
  - ▶ Only integer values, positive, negative or zero
  - ▶ On most platforms, **integer** means a 32 bits value, ranging from  $-2^{31}$  to  $2^{31} - 1$

# The Integer Type




- ▶ As we said, **integer** means that a value is an integer
  - ▶ Only integer values, positive, negative or zero
  - ▶ On most platforms, **integer** means a 32 bits value, ranging from  $-2^{31}$  to  $2^{31} - 1$
- ▶ Want to know the actual size?
  - ▶ The standard is absolutely generic on this

# The Integer Type




- ▶ As we said, **integer** means that a value is an integer
  - ▶ Only integer values, positive, negative or zero
  - ▶ On most platforms, **integer** means a 32 bits value, ranging from  $-2^{31}$  to  $2^{31} - 1$
- ▶ Want to know the actual size?
  - ▶ The standard is absolutely generic on this
  - ▶ But we'll tell you a secret...


# The Integer Type




- ▶ As we said, **integer** means that a value is an integer
  - ▶ Only integer values, positive, negative or zero
  - ▶ On most platforms, **integer** means a 32 bits value, ranging from  $-2^{31}$  to  $2^{31} - 1$
- ▶ Want to know the actual size?
  - ▶ The standard is absolutely generic on this
  - ▶ But we'll tell you a secret...
  - ▶ ...on all platforms we know of, the intrinsic function **kind()** will return the size in bytes of any integer expression you'll pass as an argument




- ▶ As we said, **integer** means that a value is an integer
  - ▶ Only integer values, positive, negative or zero
  - ▶ On most platforms, **integer** means a 32 bits value, ranging from  $-2^{31}$  to  $2^{31} - 1$
- ▶ Want to know the actual size?
  - ▶ The standard is absolutely generic on this
  - ▶ But we'll tell you a secret...
  - ▶ ...on all platforms we know of, the intrinsic function **kind()** will return the size in bytes of any integer expression you'll pass as an argument
  - ▶ Try with **kind(0)**, to know the size of a normal **integer**




- ▶ As we said, **integer** means that a value is an integer
  - ▶ Only integer values, positive, negative or zero
  - ▶ On most platforms, **integer** means a 32 bits value, ranging from  $-2^{31}$  to  $2^{31} - 1$
- ▶ Want to know the actual size?
  - ▶ The standard is absolutely generic on this
  - ▶ But we'll tell you a secret...
  - ▶ ...on all platforms we know of, the intrinsic function **kind()** will return the size in bytes of any integer expression you'll pass as an argument
  - ▶ Try with **kind(0)**, to know the size of a normal **integer**
  - ▶ And works for real values too, or values of any type, for that matter



- ▶ As we said, **integer** means that a value is an integer
  - ▶ Only integer values, positive, negative or zero
  - ▶ On most platforms, **integer** means a 32 bits value, ranging from  $-2^{31}$  to  $2^{31} - 1$
- ▶ Want to know the actual size?
  - ▶ The standard is absolutely generic on this
  - ▶ But we'll tell you a secret...
  - ▶ ...on all platforms we know of, the intrinsic function **kind()** will return the size in bytes of any integer expression you'll pass as an argument
  - ▶ Try with **kind(0)**, to know the size of a normal **integer**
  - ▶ And works for real values too, or values of any type, for that matter
  - ▶ More on this later



- ▶ As we said, **integer** means that a value is an integer
  - ▶ Only integer values, positive, negative or zero
  - ▶ On most platforms, **integer** means a 32 bits value, ranging from  $-2^{31}$  to  $2^{31} - 1$
- ▶ Want to know the actual size?
  - ▶ The standard is absolutely generic on this
  - ▶ But we'll tell you a secret...
  - ▶ ...on all platforms we know of, the intrinsic function **kind()** will return the size in bytes of any integer expression you'll pass as an argument
  - ▶ Try with **kind(0)**, to know the size of a normal **integer**
  - ▶ And works for real values too, or values of any type, for that matter
  - ▶ More on this later
- ▶ Want to know more?
  - ▶ Intrinsic function **huge(0)** returns the greatest positive value an **integer** can assume
  - ▶ Again, we'll be back at this



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

Integer Types and Iterating

Play it Again, Please

Testing and Fixing it

Hitting Limits


Wider Integer Types

How Bad it Used to Be

Wrapping it Up 3

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks




```
module number_theory
  implicit none
contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t

    gcd = a
    gb = b

    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd

  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b

    lcm = a*b/gcd(a,b)
  end function lcm
end module number_theory
```




► `do`

*block of statements*

`end do`

1. Executes again and again the *block of statements*
2. And does this forever...
3. ... unless `exit` is executed, forcing execution to proceed at code following `end do`



► `do`


*block of statements*

`end do`

1. Executes again and again the *block of statements*
2. And does this forever...
3. ... unless `exit` is executed, forcing execution to proceed at code following `end do`

► In this specific example:

# Iterating with `do . . . end do`



▶ `do`


*block of statements*

`end do`

1. Executes again and again the *block of statements*
2. And does this forever...
3. ... unless `exit` is executed, forcing execution to proceed at code following `end do`

▶ In this specific example:

- ▶ the code following `end do` is the end of the function
- ▶ thus, we could use `return` instead of `exit`, which is legal,
- ▶ but generally regarded bad practice



▶ **do**

*block of statements*


**end do**

1. Executes again and again the *block of statements*
2. And does this forever...
3. ... unless **exit** is executed, forcing execution to proceed at code following **end do**

▶ In this specific example:

- ▶ the code following **end do** is the end of the function
- ▶ thus, we could use **return** instead of **exit**, which is legal,
- ▶ but generally regarded bad practice

▶ Best practice: do not bail out of a function from inside a loop, particularly a long one



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

**Integer Types and Iterating**

Play it Again, Please

**Testing and Fixing it**

Hitting Limits


Wider Integer Types

How Bad it Used to Be


Wrapping it Up 3

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks



- ▶ Put the code in file `numbertheory.f90`
- ▶ Write a program to test both `gcd()` and `lcm()` on a pair of integer numbers
- ▶ Test it:
  - ▶ with pairs of small positive integers
  - ▶ with the following pairs: 15, 18; -15, 18; 15, -18; -15, -18; 0, 15; 15, 0; 0, 0




```
module number_theory
  implicit none
  contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t

    gcd = a
    gb = b

    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd

  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b


    lcm = a*b/gcd(a,b)
  end function lcm
end module number_theory
```




- ▶ Put the code in file `numbertheory.f90`
- ▶ Write a program to test both `gcd()` and `lcm()` on a pair of integer numbers
- ▶ Test it:
  - ▶ with pairs of small positive integers
  - ▶ with the following pairs: 15, 18; -15, 18; 15, -18; -15, -18; 0, 15; 15, 0; 0, 0
- ▶ In some cases, we get wrong results or runtime errors
  - ▶ Euclid's algorithm is only defined for positive integers

## Let's Generalize to the Whole Integer Set

- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is non negative, even if  $a$  or  $b$  is less than zero
  - ▶ Taking the absolute value of  $a$  and  $b$  using `abs ()` will do





```

module number_theory
  implicit none
contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t

    gcd = abs(a)
    gb = abs(b)

    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd


  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b


    lcm = a*b/gcd(a,b)
  end function lcm
end module number_theory

```

## Let's Generalize to the Whole Integer Set

- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is non negative, even if  $a$  or  $b$  is less than zero
  - ▶ Taking the absolute value of  $a$  and  $b$  using `abs ()` will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, 0)$  is  $|a|$ 
  - ▶ Conditional statements will do





```
module number_theory
  implicit none
contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t

    gcd = abs(a)
    gb = abs(b)


    if (a == 0) gcd = gb
    if (a == 0 .or. b == 0) return

    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd


  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b

    lcm = a*b/gcd(a,b)
  end function lcm
end module number_theory
```

## Let's Generalize to the Whole Integer Set



- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is non negative, even if  $a$  or  $b$  is less than zero
  - ▶ Taking the absolute value of  $a$  and  $b$  using `abs()` will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, 0)$  is  $|a|$ 
  - ▶ Conditional statements will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(0, 0)$  is 0
  - ▶ Already covered by the previous item, but let's pay attention to `lcm()`



```

module number_theory
  implicit none
contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t

    gcd = abs(a)
    gb = abs(b)

    if (a == 0) gcd = gb
    if (a == 0 .or. b == 0) return


    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd

  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b

    if (a == 0 .and. b == 0) then
      lcm = 0 ; return
    end if


    lcm = a*b/gcd(a,b)
  end function lcm
end module number_theory
  
```

## Let's Generalize to the Whole Integer Set




- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is non negative, even if  $a$  or  $b$  is less than zero
  - ▶ Taking the absolute value of  $a$  and  $b$  using `abs()` will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, 0)$  is  $|a|$ 
  - ▶ Conditional statements will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(0, 0)$  is 0
  - ▶ Already covered by the previous item, but let's pay attention to `lcm()`
- ▶ By the way:
  - ▶ `.and.` and `.or.` combine two logical conditions
  - ▶ `;` makes for two statements on the same line: but its use is only justified when space is at a premium, like in slides

## Let's Generalize to the Whole Integer Set



- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is non negative, even if  $a$  or  $b$  is less than zero
  - ▶ Taking the absolute value of  $a$  and  $b$  using `abs()` will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, 0)$  is  $|a|$ 
  - ▶ Conditional statements will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(0, 0)$  is 0
  - ▶ Already covered by the previous item, but let's pay attention to `lcm()`
- ▶ By the way:
  - ▶ `.and.` and `.or.` combine two logical conditions
  - ▶ `;` makes for two statements on the same line: but its use is only justified when space is at a premium, like in slides
- ▶ Try and test it:
  - ▶ with pairs of small positive integers
  - ▶ with the following pairs: 15, 18; -15, 18; 15, -18; -15, -18; 0, 15; 15, 0; 0, 0



```
module number_theory
  implicit none
contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t

    gcd = abs(a)
    gb = abs(b)

    if (a == 0) gcd = gb
    if (a == 0 .or. b == 0) return


    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd

  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b


    if (a == 0 .and. b == 0) then
      lcm = 0 ; return
    end if

    lcm = a*b/gcd(a,b)
  end function lcm
end module number_theory
```

## Let's Generalize to the Whole Integer Set



- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is non negative, even if  $a$  or  $b$  is less than zero
  - ▶ Taking the absolute value of  $a$  and  $b$  using `abs()` will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(a, 0)$  is  $|a|$ 
  - ▶ Conditional statements will do
- ▶  $\text{gcd}(0, 0)$  is 0
  - ▶ Already covered by the previous item, but let's pay attention to `lcm()`
- ▶ By the way:
  - ▶ `.and.` and `.or.` combine two logical conditions
  - ▶ `;` makes for two statements on the same line: but its use is only justified when space is at a premium, like in slides
- ▶ Try and test it:
  - ▶ with pairs of small positive integers
  - ▶ with the following pairs: 15, 18; -15, 18; 15, -18; -15, -18; 0, 15; 15, 0; 0, 0
  - ▶ and with the pair: 1000000, 1000000



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

**Integer Types and Iterating**

Play it Again, Please

Testing and Fixing it

**Hitting Limits**

Wider Integer Types


How Bad it Used to Be

Wrapping it Up 3

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks

# Beware of Type Ranges




- ▶  $a*b/\text{gcd}(a,b)$  same as  $(a*b) / \text{gcd}(a,b)$


# Beware of Type Ranges



- ▶  $a*b/gcd(a,b)$  same as  $(a*b)/gcd(a,b)$
- ▶ What if the result of a calculation cannot be represented in the given type?
  - ▶ Technically, you get an arithmetic *overflow*
  - ▶ To Fortran, it's your fault: you are on your own
  - ▶ Best practice: be very careful of intermediate results



- ▶  $a*b/\text{gcd}(a, b)$  same as  $(a*b) / \text{gcd}(a, b)$
- ▶ What if the result of a calculation cannot be represented in the given type?
  - ▶ Technically, you get an arithmetic *overflow*
  - ▶ To Fortran, it's your fault: you are on your own
  - ▶ Best practice: be very careful of intermediate results
- ▶ Easy fix:  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is an exact divisor of  $b$



```
module number_theory
  implicit none
contains
  function gcd(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    integer :: gcd
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b
    integer :: gb, t

    gcd = abs(a)
    gb = abs(b)


    if (a == 0) gcd = gb
    if (a == 0 .or. b == 0) return

    do
      t = mod(gcd,gb)
      gcd = gb
      if (t == 0) exit
      gb = t
    end do
  end function gcd


  function lcm(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
    integer :: lcm
    integer, intent(in) :: a, b

    if (a == 0 .and. b == 0) then
      lcm = 0 ; return
    end if


    lcm = a*(b/gcd(a,b))
  end function lcm
end module number_theory
```



- ▶  $a*b/\text{gcd}(a, b)$  same as  $(a*b) / \text{gcd}(a, b)$
- ▶ What if the result of a calculation cannot be represented in the given type?
  - ▶ Technically, you get an arithmetic *overflow*
  - ▶ To Fortran, it's your fault: you are on your own
  - ▶ Best practice: be very careful of intermediate results
- ▶ Easy fix:  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is an exact divisor of  $b$
- ▶ Try and test it:
  - ▶ with pairs of small positive integers
  - ▶ on the following pairs: 15, 18; -15, 18; 15, -18; -15, -18; 0, 15; 15, 0; 0, 0
  - ▶ with the pair: 1000000, 1000000



- ▶  $a*b/\text{gcd}(a, b)$  same as  $(a*b) / \text{gcd}(a, b)$
- ▶ What if the result of a calculation cannot be represented in the given type?
  - ▶ Technically, you get an arithmetic *overflow*
  - ▶ To Fortran, it's your fault: you are on your own
  - ▶ Best practice: be very careful of intermediate results
- ▶ Easy fix:  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is an exact divisor of  $b$
- ▶ Try and test it:
  - ▶ with pairs of small positive integers
  - ▶ on the following pairs: 15, 18; -15, 18; 15, -18; -15, -18; 0, 15; 15, 0; 0, 0
  - ▶ with the pair: 1000000, 1000000
  - ▶ and let's test also with: 1000000, 1000001



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

**Integer Types and Iterating**

Play it Again, Please

Testing and Fixing it

Hitting Limits


**Wider Integer Types**

How Bad it Used to Be


Wrapping it Up 3

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks




- ▶ On most nowadays platforms:




- ▶ On most nowadays platforms:
  - ▶ integers have 32 bits and `huge(0)` returns 2147483647




- ▶ On most nowadays platforms:
  - ▶ integers have 32 bits and `huge(0)` returns 2147483647
  - ▶ `range(0)` returns 9, i.e. you can store  $10^9$  in an integer




- ▶ On most nowadays platforms:
  - ▶ integers have 32 bits and `huge(0)` returns 2147483647
  - ▶ `range(0)` returns 9, i.e. you can store  $10^9$  in an integer
  - ▶ but 64 bits wide integers can safely host  $10^{18}$




- ▶ On most nowadays platforms:
  - ▶ integers have 32 bits and `huge(0)` returns 2147483647
  - ▶ `range(0)` returns 9, i.e. you can store  $10^9$  in an integer
  - ▶ but 64 bits wide integers can safely host  $10^{18}$
- ▶ `selected_int_kind(n)`:



- ▶ On most nowadays platforms:
  - ▶ integers have 32 bits and `huge(0)` returns 2147483647
  - ▶ `range(0)` returns 9, i.e. you can store  $10^9$  in an integer
  - ▶ but 64 bits wide integers can safely host  $10^{18}$
- ▶ `selected_int_kind(n)`:
  - ▶ returns a *kind type parameter* corresponding to an internal representation capable to host the value  $10^n$




- ▶ On most nowadays platforms:
  - ▶ integers have 32 bits and `huge(0)` returns 2147483647
  - ▶ `range(0)` returns 9, i.e. you can store  $10^9$  in an integer
  - ▶ but 64 bits wide integers can safely host  $10^{18}$
- ▶ `selected_int_kind(n)`:
  - ▶ returns a *kind type parameter* corresponding to an internal representation capable to host the value  $10^n$
  - ▶ or `-1` if none is wide enough



- ▶ On most nowadays platforms:
  - ▶ integers have 32 bits and `huge(0)` returns 2147483647
  - ▶ `range(0)` returns 9, i.e. you can store  $10^9$  in an integer
  - ▶ but 64 bits wide integers can safely host  $10^{18}$
- ▶ `selected_int_kind(n)`:
  - ▶ returns a *kind type parameter* corresponding to an internal representation capable to host the value  $10^n$
  - ▶ or `-1` if none is wide enough
- ▶ `integer` accepts an optional *kind type parameter*
  - ▶ `integer(kind=selected_int_kind(9)) :: di` usually makes `di` a 32 bits wide variable
  - ▶ `integer(kind=selected_int_kind(18)) :: wi` makes `wi` a 64 bits wide variable
  - ▶ `integer(selected_int_kind(18)) :: wi` will also do

# GCD & LCM: Let's Make Kind Explicit



```

module number_theory
  implicit none
  contains
    function gcd9(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
      integer(selected_int_kind(9)) :: gcd9
      integer(selected_int_kind(9)), intent(in) :: a, b
      integer(selected_int_kind(9)) :: gb, t

      gcd9 = abs(a)
      gb = abs(b)

      if (a == 0) gcd9 = gb
      if (a == 0 .or. b == 0) return


      do
        t = mod(gcd9, gb)
        gcd9 = gb
        if (t == 0) exit
        gb = t
      end do
    end function gcd9


    function lcm9(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
      integer(selected_int_kind(9)) :: lcm9
      integer(selected_int_kind(9)), intent(in) :: a, b

      if (a == 0 .and. b == 0) then
        lcm9 = 0 ; return
      end if

      lcm9 = a*(b/gcd9(a,b))
    end function lcm9
  end module number_theory
    
```

- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range





```
! add right after: end function lcm9

function gcd18(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
  integer(selected_int_kind(18)) :: gcd18
  integer(selected_int_kind(18)), intent(in) :: a, b
  integer(selected_int_kind(18)) :: gb, t

  gcd18 = abs(a)
  gb = abs(b)


  if (a == 0) gcd18 = gb
  if (a == 0 .or. b == 0) return

  do
    t = mod(gcd18,gb)
    gcd18 = gb
    if (t == 0) exit
    gb = t
  end do
end function gcd18


function lcm18(a, b) ! Least Common Multiple
  integer(selected_int_kind(18)) :: lcm18
  integer(selected_int_kind(18)), intent(in) :: a, b

  if (a == 0 .and. b == 0) then
    lcm18 = 0 ; return
  end if


  lcm18 = a*(b/gcd18(a,b))
end function lcm18
```




- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic `abs ()`, it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?



- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic `abs ()`, it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, we can do better!



- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic `abs ()`, it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, we can do better!
  - ▶ `interface` blocks come to rescue



```
module number_theory
  implicit none

  private gcd9, lcm9, gcd18, lcm18

  interface gcd
    module procedure gcd9, gcd18
  end interface

  interface lcm
    module procedure lcm9, lcm18
  end interface

contains


  function gcd9(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
  ! code as before
  end function gcd9

  function lcm9(a,b) ! Least Common Multiple
  ! code as before
  end function lcm9


  function gcd18(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
  ! code as before
  end function gcd18

  function lcm18(a,b) ! Least Common Multiple
  ! code as before
  end function lcm18

end module number_theory
```



- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic **abs ( )** , it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, we can do better!
  - ▶ **interface** blocks come to rescue
  - ▶ Beware: specific functions under a same generic interface must differ in type of at least one argument



```
module number_theory
  implicit none

  private gcd9, lcm9, gcd18, lcm18

  interface gcd
    module procedure gcd9, gcd18
  end interface

  interface lcm
    module procedure lcm9, lcm18
  end interface

contains


  function gcd9(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    ! code as before
  end function gcd9

  function lcm9(a,b) ! Least Common Multiple
    ! code as before
  end function lcm9


  function gcd18(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    ! code as before
  end function gcd18

  function lcm18(a,b) ! Least Common Multiple
    ! code as before
  end function lcm18

end module number_theory
```



- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic **abs ()**, it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, we can do better!
  - ▶ **interface** blocks come to rescue
  - ▶ Beware: specific functions under a same generic interface must differ in type of at least one argument
  - ▶ and **module procedure** spares us typing and inconsistencies



```
module number_theory
  implicit none

  private gcd9, lcm9, gcd18, lcm18

  interface gcd
    module procedure gcd9, gcd18
  end interface

  interface lcm
    module procedure lcm9, lcm18
  end interface

contains


  function gcd9(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    ! code as before
  end function gcd9

  function lcm9(a,b) ! Least Common Multiple
    ! code as before
  end function lcm9


  function gcd18(a, b) ! Greatest Common Divisor
    ! code as before
  end function gcd18

  function lcm18(a,b) ! Least Common Multiple
    ! code as before
  end function lcm18


end module number_theory
```




- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic **abs ()**, it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, we can do better!
  - ▶ **interface** blocks come to rescue
  - ▶ Beware: specific functions under a same generic interface must differ in type of at least one argument
  - ▶ and **module procedure** spares us typing and inconsistencies
  - ▶ and **private** allows us to hide implementation details




- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic **abs ()**, it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, we can do better!
  - ▶ **interface** blocks come to rescue
  - ▶ Beware: specific functions under a same generic interface must differ in type of at least one argument
  - ▶ and **module procedure** spares us typing and inconsistencies
  - ▶ and **private** allows us to hide implementation details
- ▶ Best practices for robustness:



- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic **abs ()**, it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, we can do better!
  - ▶ **interface** blocks come to rescue
  - ▶ Beware: specific functions under a same generic interface must differ in type of at least one argument
  - ▶ and **module procedure** spares us typing and inconsistencies
  - ▶ and **private** allows us to hide implementation details
- ▶ Best practices for robustness:
  - ▶ write generic procedures, whenever possible



- ▶ And let's add support for a wider integer range
- ▶ Wait!
  - ▶ Now we have to remember to call the right function, depending on the integer kind
  - ▶ But this is not Fortran style: we didn't have to change the call to intrinsic **abs ()**, it's name is generic
  - ▶ Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, we can do better!
  - ▶ **interface** blocks come to rescue
  - ▶ Beware: specific functions under a same generic interface must differ in type of at least one argument
  - ▶ and **module procedure** spares us typing and inconsistencies
  - ▶ and **private** allows us to hide implementation details
- ▶ Best practices for robustness:
  - ▶ write generic procedures, whenever possible
  - ▶ hide implementation details, whenever possible



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

**Integer Types and Iterating**

Play it Again, Please

Testing and Fixing it

Hitting Limits


Wider Integer Types

**How Bad it Used to Be**

Wrapping it Up 3

More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks



```
FUNCTION GCD18(A, B)
  INTEGER*8 GCD18, A, B
  INTEGER*8 GB, T


  GCD18 = A
  GB = B

1   T = MOD(GCD18,GB)
   GCD18 = GB
   IF (T .EQ. 0) GO TO 2
   GB = T
   GO TO 1


2   CONTINUE
END

FUNCTION LCM18(A, B)
  INTEGER*8 LCM18, A, B
  INTEGER*8 GCD18
  EXTERNAL GCD18

  LCM18 = A*B/GCD18(A,B)
END
```



- ▶ No structured endless loops
  - ▶ Labels and `GO TO`s where used instead
- ▶ **CONTINUE** was a no-op
  - ▶ Used to mark destination of jumps
  - ▶ No comment
- ▶ **INTEGER\*8** was used to declare an 8 bytes integer variable
  - ▶ Absolutely non standard
  - ▶ As are **INTEGER\*1**, **INTEGER\*2**, **INTEGER\*4**, **REAL\*4**, **REAL\*8**, **COMPLEX\*8**, **COMPLEX\*16**
- ▶ Many dialects
  - ▶ Many proprietary extensions used to be developed
  - ▶ And then copied among vendors for compatibility reasons
  - ▶ Many extensions were eventually standardized
  - ▶ But not all of them!
  - ▶ They still lurk around, and can be tempting: resist!



Introduction

Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

**Integer Types and Iterating**

Play it Again, Please

Testing and Fixing it

Hitting Limits


Wider Integer Types

How Bad it Used to Be


**Wrapping it Up 3**

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks



- ▶ There are many integer types
  - ▶ With implementation dependent ranges
  - ▶ Selectable by kind type parameters
  - ▶ Whose limits can be devised using **huge ()** or **range ()**
- ▶ Library functions have generic names, good for most types
- ▶ And you can write your own generic interfaces
- ▶ Behavior on integer overflow is implementation defined
  - ▶ Some control is possible using parentheses
- ▶ Blocks of statements can be iterated forever...
  - ▶ ... and **exit** gets off the roundabout
- ▶ Logical conditions can be combined using **.or.** and **.and.** operators



- ▶ Do not rely on type sizes, they are implementation dependent
- ▶ Do not leave a function from inside a loop
- ▶ Think of intermediate results in expressions: they can overflow or underflow
- ▶ Be consistent with Fortran approach
  - ▶ E.g. writing generic interfaces
  - ▶ Even if it costs more work
  - ▶ Even if it costs learning more Fortran
  - ▶ Once again, you can do it in steps
  - ▶ You'll appreciate it in the future
- ▶ Hide implementation details as much as possible
  - ▶ You'll never regret
- ▶ Resist the temptation of old Fortran or non standard extensions
  - ▶ Will pay back in the future



Introduction


Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics

Integer Types and Iterating


More on Compiling and Linking

Homeworks




- ▶ Compiler stops on errors (grammar violation, syntactic errors, ...)


# Compiler Errors and Warnings




- ▶ Compiler stops on errors (grammar violation, syntactic errors, ...)
- ▶ Goes on if you write non-sensical code complying with the rules!




- ▶ Compiler stops on errors (grammar violation, syntactic errors, ...)
- ▶ Goes on if you write non-sensical code complying with the rules!
- ▶ Compiler may perform extra checks and report warnings
  - ▶ Very useful in early development phases
  - ▶ ... sometimes pedantic
  - ▶ Read them carefully anyway




- ▶ Compiler stops on errors (grammar violation, syntactic errors, ...)
- ▶ Goes on if you write non-sensical code complying with the rules!
- ▶ Compiler may perform extra checks and report warnings
  - ▶ Very useful in early development phases
  - ▶ ... sometimes pedantic
  - ▶ Read them carefully anyway
- ▶ **-Wall** option turns on commonly used warning on **gfortran** but not **-Wimplicit-interface** for example




- ▶ Compiler stops on errors (grammar violation, syntactic errors, ...)
- ▶ Goes on if you write non-sensical code complying with the rules!
- ▶ Compiler may perform extra checks and report warnings
  - ▶ Very useful in early development phases
  - ▶ ... sometimes pedantic
  - ▶ Read them carefully anyway
- ▶ **-Wall** option turns on commonly used warning on **gfortran** but not **-Wimplicit-interface** for example
- ▶ If given earlier ...



- ▶ Compiler stops on errors (grammar violation, syntactic errors, ...)
- ▶ Goes on if you write non-sensical code complying with the rules!
- ▶ Compiler may perform extra checks and report warnings
  - ▶ Very useful in early development phases
  - ▶ ... sometimes pedantic
  - ▶ Read them carefully anyway
- ▶ **-Wall** option turns on commonly used warning on **gfortran** but not **-Wimplicit-interface** for example
- ▶ If given earlier ...
- ▶ Something is an error if not in Fortran 95 standard




- ▶ Compiler stops on errors (grammar violation, syntactic errors, ...)
- ▶ Goes on if you write non-sensical code complying with the rules!
- ▶ Compiler may perform extra checks and report warnings
  - ▶ Very useful in early development phases
  - ▶ ... sometimes pedantic
  - ▶ Read them carefully anyway
- ▶ **-Wall** option turns on commonly used warning on **gfortran** but not **-Wimplicit-interface** for example
- ▶ If given earlier ...
- ▶ Something is an error if not in Fortran 95 standard
  - ▶ Use **-std=f95** to force reference standard




Creating an executable from source files is in general a three phase process:

- ▶ pre-processing:
  - ▶ each source file is read by the pre-processor
    - ▶ substitute (**#define**) MACROS
    - ▶ insert code by **#include** statements
    - ▶ insert or delete code evaluating **#ifdef**, **#if** ...
- ▶ compiling:
  - ▶ each source file is translated into an object code file
    - ▶ an object code file is an organised collection of symbols, referring to variables and functions defined or used in the source file
- ▶ linking:
  - ▶ object files should be combined together to build a single executable program
  - ▶ every symbol should be resolved
    - ▶ symbols can be defined in your object files
    - ▶ or available in other object code (external libraries)



- ▶ When you give the command:


```
user@cinca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90
```



- ▶ When you give the command:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90
```

- ▶ It's like going through three steps




- ▶ When you give the command:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90
```

- ▶ It's like going through three steps
- ▶ Pre-processing

```
user@cineca$> gfortran -E -cpp dsp.f90  
user@cineca$> gfortran -E -cpp dsp_test.f90
```

- ▶ **-E -cpp** option, tells **gfortran** to stop after pre-process
- ▶ Simply calls **cpp** (automatically invoked if the file extension is **F90**)
- ▶ Output sent to standard output



- ▶ When you give the command:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.f90 dsp_test.f90
```


- ▶ It's like going through three steps
- ▶ Pre-processing

```
user@cineca$> gfortran -E -cpp dsp.f90  
user@cineca$> gfortran -E -cpp dsp_test.f90
```

- ▶ **-E -cpp** option, tells **gfortran** to stop after pre-process
- ▶ Simply calls **cpp** (automatically invoked if the file extension is **F90**)
- ▶ Output sent to standard output
- ▶ Compiling sources

```
user@cineca$> gfortran -c dsp.f90  
user@cineca$> gfortran -c dsp_test.f90
```

- ▶ **-c** option tells **gfortran** to only compile the source
- ▶ An object file **.o** is produced from each source file



- ▶ Linking object files together

```
user@cineca$> gfortran dsp.o dsp_test.o
```


- ▶ To resolve symbols defined in external libraries, specify:
  - ▶ which libraries to use (`-l` option)
  - ▶ in which directories they are (`-L` option)
- ▶ How to link the library `libdsp.a` in `/mypath`

```
user@cineca$> gfortran file1.o file2.o -L/mypath -ldsp
```

- ▶ How to create and link the DSP library:

```
user@cineca$> gfortran -c dsp.f90  
ar curv libdsp.a dsp.o  
ranlib libdsp.a  
gfortran test_dsp.f90 -L. -ldsp
```

- ▶ `ar` create the archive `libdsp.a` containing `dsp.o`
  - ▶ `ranlib` generate index to archive
- ▶ To include file like `.mod`, specify
  - ▶ in which directories they are (`-I` option)



Introduction


Fortran Basics

More Fortran Basics


Integer Types and Iterating

More on Compiling and Linking


Homeworks



- ▶ Write a program that reads an integer value **limit** and prints the first **limit** prime numbers
  - ▶ Use the GCD function to identify those numbers
  - ▶ After testing the basic version, handle negative **limit** values: print an error message and attempt to read the value again




- ▶ Write a module containing a function that takes an integer  $n$  as input, and returns the  $n$ -th element of the Fibonacci series  $f_n$
- ▶ Hint:
  - ▶  $F_0 = 0$
  - ▶  $F_1 = 1$
  - ▶  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$
- ▶ Write a main program to test your function
  - ▶ Read  $n$  from standard input
  - ▶ Try with  $n=2, 10, 40, 46, 48, \dots$
  - ▶ What's the greatest  $n := \max n$ , for which  $f_n$  is representable by a default integer? (**huge** can help to find it out)
  - ▶ Use this information to handle too large values of  $n$  in your function:
  - ▶ If  $n > \max n$  print an error message and return -1



## Part II

# A Fortran Survey 2


Passing functions as arguments of procedures. Conditional and numerical loops. Managing the precision, conversions, overflow, underflow, Inf e NaN. Expressions and subexpressions with mixed types. Types, operators and logical expressions. Type character and intrinsic functions for strings. Subroutine. Array. Default constructor for arrays and implicit loops. Assumed-shape array and automatic object. Expressions with arrays and conformity.



More Flow Control  
Numerical Integration  
Wrapping it Up 4

Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math


Arrays



The code in this section is meant for didactical purposes only.

It is deliberately naive: focus is on language aspects, not on precision or accuracy.


As a consequence, it is prone to numerical problems.




More Flow Control  
Numerical Integration  
Wrapping it Up 4

Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

Arrays



- ▶ Let's use the trapezoidal rule to estimate  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$
- ▶ Dividing the interval  $[a, b]$  into  $n$  equal sized slices, it boils down to:  
$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \frac{b-a}{n} \left( \frac{1}{2}f(a) + \frac{1}{2}f(b) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f\left(a + k\frac{b-a}{n}\right) \right)$$
- ▶ And to make it more juicy, let's make a succession of estimates, doubling  $n$  each time, until the estimate seems stable




```

module integrals
  implicit none
  contains
    function trap_int(a,b,f,tol)
      ! recursive approximation of integral
      ! by trapezoidal rule
      real :: trap_int
      real, intent(in) :: a, b, tol
      ! integration interval and tolerance
      interface
        real function f(x)
          ! function to integrate
          real, intent(in) :: x
        end function f
      end interface
      integer, parameter :: maxsteps = 2**23
      integer :: steps, i
      real :: acc, dx, prev_estimate, estimate

      steps = 2
      prev_estimate = 0.0 ; estimate = huge(0.0)
      dx = (b - a)*0.5
      acc = (f(a) + f(b))*0.5

conv: do while (abs(estimate - prev_estimate) > tol)
      prev_estimate = estimate
      do i=1, steps, 2
        ! only contributions from new points
        acc = acc + f(a + i*dx)
      end do
      estimate = acc*dx
      steps = steps*2
      if (steps > maxsteps) exit conv
      dx = dx*0.5
    end do conv

      trap_int = estimate
    end function trap_int
end module
  
```




```

module integrals
  implicit none
  contains
    function trap_int(a,b,f,tol)
      ! recursive approximation of integral
      ! by trapezoidal rule
      real :: trap_int
      real, intent(in) :: a, b, tol
      ! integration interval and tolerance
      interface
        real function f(x)
          ! function to integrate
          real, intent(in) :: x
        end function f
      end interface
      integer, parameter :: maxsteps = 2**23
      integer :: steps, i
      real :: acc, dx, prev_estimate, estimate


      steps = 2
      prev_estimate = 0.0 ; estimate = huge(0.0)
      dx = (b - a)*0.5
      acc = (f(a) + f(b))*0.5

conv: do while (abs(estimate - prev_estimate) > tol)
      prev_estimate = estimate
      do i=1, steps, 2
        ! only contributions from new points
        acc = acc + f(a + i*dx)
      end do
      estimate = acc*dx
      steps = steps*2
      if (steps > maxsteps) exit conv
      dx = dx*0.5
    end do conv

      trap_int = estimate
    end function trap_int
end module
  
```



- ▶ Yes, a function can be passed as an argument to another function!
- ▶ Simply pass the name on call, like this:  
`g = trap_int(-pi, pi, sinc, 0.0001)`
- ▶ And then the function can be called using the dummy argument name
- ▶ And this can be done for any procedure
- ▶ And allows for very generic code to be written
  - ▶ i.e. reuse the same routine to integrate different functions in the same program




```

module integrals
  implicit none
  contains
    function trap_int(a,b,f,tol)
      ! recursive approximation of integral
      ! by trapezoidal rule
      real :: trap_int
      real, intent(in) :: a, b, tol
      ! integration interval and tolerance
      interface
        real function f(x)
          ! function to integrate
          real, intent(in) :: x
        end function f
      end interface
      integer, parameter :: maxsteps = 2**23
      integer :: steps, i
      real :: acc, dx, prev_estimate, estimate


      steps = 2
      prev_estimate = 0.0 ; estimate = huge(0.0)
      dx = (b - a)*0.5
      acc = (f(a) + f(b))*0.5

conv: do while (abs(estimate - prev_estimate) > tol)
      prev_estimate = estimate
      do i=1, steps, 2
        ! only contributions from new points
        acc = acc + f(a + i*dx)
      end do
      estimate = acc*dx
      steps = steps*2
      if (steps > maxsteps) exit conv
      dx = dx*0.5
    end do conv

      trap_int = estimate
    end function trap_int
end module
  
```



- ▶ Yes, a function can be passed as an argument to another function!
- ▶ Simply pass the name on call, like this:  
`g = trap_int(-pi, pi, sinc, 0.0001)`
- ▶ And then the function can be called using the dummy argument name
- ▶ And this can be done for any procedure
- ▶ And allows for very generic code to be written
  - ▶ i.e. reuse the same routine to integrate different functions in the same program
- ▶ Integer and real values can be mixed in expressions
  - ▶ As well as values of same type but different kind
  - ▶ And the right thing will be done
  - ▶ Which is: when two values of different type/kind meet each other at a binary operator, the one with smaller numeric range is converted to the other



```


module integrals
  implicit none
  contains
    function trap_int(a,b,f,tol)
      ! recursive approximation of integral
      ! by trapezoidal rule
      real :: trap_int
      real, intent(in) :: a, b, tol
      ! integration interval and tolerance
      interface
        real function f(x)
          ! function to integrate
          real, intent(in) :: x
        end function f
      end interface
      integer, parameter :: maxsteps = 2**23
      integer :: steps, i
      real :: acc, dx, prev_estimate, estimate

      steps = 2
      prev_estimate = 0.0 ; estimate = huge(0.0)
      dx = (b - a)*0.5
      acc = (f(a) + f(b))*0.5

conv: do while (abs(estimate - prev_estimate) > tol)
      prev_estimate = estimate
      do i=1, steps, 2
        ! only contributions from new points
        acc = acc + f(a + i*dx)
      end do
      estimate = acc*dx
      steps = steps*2
      if (steps > maxsteps) exit conv
      dx = dx*0.5
    end do conv

      trap_int = estimate
    end function trap_int
end module
  
```

# Iterating with `do while ... end do`




► `do while` (*logical-condition*)

*block of statements*

`end do`

1. Evaluates *logical-condition*
2. If *logical-condition* is false, goes to 5
3. Executes the *block of statements*
4. Goes back to 1
5. Execution proceeds to the statement following `end do`




```

module integrals
  implicit none
  contains
    function trap_int(a,b,f,tol)
      ! recursive approximation of integral
      ! by trapezoidal rule
      real :: trap_int
      real, intent(in) :: a, b, tol
      ! integration interval and tolerance
      interface
        real function f(x)
          ! function to integrate
          real, intent(in) :: x
        end function f
      end interface
      integer, parameter :: maxsteps = 2**23
      integer :: steps, i
      real :: acc, dx, prev_estimate, estimate


      steps = 2
      prev_estimate = 0.0 ; estimate = huge(0.0)
      dx = (b - a)*0.5
      acc = (f(a) + f(b))*0.5

      conv: do while (abs(estimate - prev_estimate) > tol)
        prev_estimate = estimate
        do i=1, steps, 2
          ! only contributions from new points
          acc = acc + f(a + i*dx)
        end do
        estimate = acc*dx
        steps = steps*2
        if (steps > maxsteps) exit conv
        dx = dx*0.5
      end do conv


      trap_int = estimate
    end function trap_int
end module
  
```



- ▶ `do while` (*logical-condition*)  
*block of statements*  
`end do`
  1. Evaluates *logical-condition*
  2. If *logical-condition* is false, goes to 5
  3. Executes the *block of statements*
  4. Goes back to 1
  5. Execution proceeds to the statement following `end do`
- ▶ `do` loops too can be given a name
  1. And it can be used on `exit` statements to make the flow more evident
  2. Particularly for nested loops



- ▶ `do while` (*logical-condition*)  
*block of statements*  
`end do`
  1. Evaluates *logical-condition*
  2. If *logical-condition* is false, goes to 5
  3. Executes the *block of statements*
  4. Goes back to 1
  5. Execution proceeds to the statement following `end do`
- ▶ `do` loops too can be given a name
  1. And it can be used on `exit` statements to make the flow more evident
  2. Particularly for nested loops
- ▶ Best practices:
  1. use names to mark loops when they are long or belong to a deep nest
  2. NEVER, NEVER permit your code to loop forever for some inputs



```


module integrals
  implicit none
  contains
    function trap_int(a,b,f,tol)
      ! recursive approximation of integral
      ! by trapezoidal rule
      real :: trap_int
      real, intent(in) :: a, b, tol
      ! integration interval and tolerance
      interface
        real function f(x)
          ! function to integrate
          real, intent(in) :: x
        end function f
      end interface
      integer, parameter :: maxsteps = 2**23
      integer :: steps, i
      real :: acc, dx, prev_estimate, estimate

      steps = 2
      prev_estimate = 0.0 ; estimate = huge(0.0)
      dx = (b - a)*0.5
      acc = (f(a) + f(b))*0.5

conv: do while (abs(estimate - prev_estimate) > tol)
      prev_estimate = estimate
      do i=1, steps, 2
        ! only contributions from new points
        acc = acc + f(a + i*dx)
      end do
      estimate = acc*dx
      steps = steps*2
      if (steps > maxsteps) exit conv
      dx = dx*0.5
    end do conv


      trap_int = estimate
    end function trap_int
end module
  
```

# Iterating with Counted do




- ▶ **do var = init, limit [, step]**  
*block of statements*  
**end do**

# Iterating with Counted do




- ▶ **do var = init, limit [, step]**  
*block of statements*  
**end do**
  1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified

# Iterating with Counted do




- ▶ **do** *var* = *init*, *limit* [, *step*]  
    *block of statements*  
**end do**
  1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
  2. Assign the *init* value to *var*




► **do** *var* = *init*, *limit* [, *step*]  
    *block of statements*  
**end do**

1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
2. Assign the *init* value to *var*
3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$




► **do** *var* = *init*, *limit* [, *step*]  
    *block of statements*  
**end do**

1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
2. Assign the *init* value to *var*
3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$
4. If  $n_{iter}$  is zero goes to 6




► **do** *var* = *init*, *limit* [, *step*]  
    *block of statements*  
**end do**

1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
2. Assign the *init* value to *var*
3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$
4. If  $n_{iter}$  is zero goes to 6
5. Executes  $n_{iter}$  times the *block of statements*, adding *step* to *var* at the end of each *block of statements*




► **do** *var* = *init*, *limit* [, *step*]  
    *block of statements*  
**end do**


1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
2. Assign the *init* value to *var*
3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$
4. If  $n_{iter}$  is zero goes to 6
5. Executes  $n_{iter}$  times the *block of statements*, adding *step* to *var* at the end of each *block of statements*
6. Execution proceeds to the statement following **end do**




- ▶ **do** *var* = *init*, *limit* [, *step*]  
    *block of statements*  
**end do**
  1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
  2. Assign the *init* value to *var*
  3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$
  4. If  $n_{iter}$  is zero goes to 6
  5. Executes  $n_{iter}$  times the *block of statements*, adding *step* to *var* at the end of each *block of statements*
  6. Execution proceeds to the statement following **end do**
- ▶ *var*, *init*, *limit*, and *step* should be integers




- ▶ **do** *var* = *init*, *limit* [, *step*]  
    *block of statements*  
**end do**
  1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
  2. Assign the *init* value to *var*
  3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$
  4. If  $n_{iter}$  is zero goes to 6
  5. Executes  $n_{iter}$  times the *block of statements*, adding *step* to *var* at the end of each *block of statements*
  6. Execution proceeds to the statement following **end do**
- ▶ **var**, *init*, *limit*, and *step* should be integers
  - ▶ Mandatory in Fortran 2003




- ▶ **do var = init, limit [, step]**  
*block of statements*  
**end do**
  1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
  2. Assign the *init* value to **var**
  3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$
  4. If  $n_{iter}$  is zero goes to 6
  5. Executes  $n_{iter}$  times the *block of statements*, adding *step* to **var** at the end of each *block of statements*
  6. Execution proceeds to the statement following **end do**
- ▶ **var**, *init*, *limit*, and *step* should be integers
  - ▶ Mandatory in Fortran 2003
  - ▶ Reals can be used up to Fortran 95, but a bad idea, for both performance and reliability issues



- ▶ **do var = init, limit [, step]**  
*block of statements*  
**end do**
  1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
  2. Assign the *init* value to **var**
  3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$
  4. If  $n_{iter}$  is zero goes to 6
  5. Executes  $n_{iter}$  times the *block of statements*, adding *step* to **var** at the end of each *block of statements*
  6. Execution proceeds to the statement following **end do**
- ▶ **var, init, limit, and step** should be integers
  - ▶ Mandatory in Fortran 2003
  - ▶ Reals can be used up to Fortran 95, but a bad idea, for both performance and reliability issues
- ▶ Less flexible than a **do while** but more efficient execution (**exit** works, anyway)



- ▶ **do var = init, limit [, step]**  
*block of statements*  
**end do**
  1. Sets *step* to 1, if none was specified
  2. Assign the *init* value to **var**
  3. Evaluates  $n_{iter} = \max\{0, \lfloor (limit - init + step) / step \rfloor\}$
  4. If  $n_{iter}$  is zero goes to 6
  5. Executes  $n_{iter}$  times the *block of statements*, adding *step* to **var** at the end of each *block of statements*
  6. Execution proceeds to the statement following **end do**
- ▶ **var**, *init*, *limit*, and *step* should be integers
  - ▶ Mandatory in Fortran 2003
  - ▶ Reals can be used up to Fortran 95, but a bad idea, for both performance and reliability issues
- ▶ Less flexible than a **do while** but more efficient execution (**exit** works, anyway)
- ▶ Best practice: do not give name to very tight loops




```

module integrals
  implicit none
  contains
    function trap_int(a,b,f,tol)
      ! recursive approximation of integral
      ! by trapezoidal rule
      real :: trap_int
      real, intent(in) :: a, b, tol
      ! integration interval and tolerance
      interface
        real function f(x)
          ! function to integrate
          real, intent(in) :: x
        end function f
      end interface
      integer, parameter :: maxsteps = 2**23
      integer :: steps, i
      real :: acc, dx, prev_estimate, estimate


      steps = 2
      prev_estimate = 0.0 ; estimate = huge(0.0)
      dx = (b - a)*0.5
      acc = (f(a) + f(b))*0.5

conv: do while (abs(estimate - prev_estimate) > tol)
      prev_estimate = estimate
      do i=1, steps, 2
        ! only contributions from new points
        acc = acc + f(a + i*dx)
      end do
      estimate = acc*dx
      steps = steps*2
      if (steps > maxsteps) exit conv
      dx = dx*0.5
    end do conv


      trap_int = estimate
    end function trap_int
end module
  
```




- ▶ Write a program to exercise `trap_int()` on functions with known integrals




- ▶ Write a program to exercise `trap_int()` on functions with known integrals
- ▶ Then take care of what was left out




- ▶ Write a program to exercise `trap_int()` on functions with known integrals
- ▶ Then take care of what was left out
- ▶ Hints:




- ▶ Write a program to exercise `trap_int()` on functions with known integrals
- ▶ Then take care of what was left out
- ▶ Hints:
  - ▶ `trap_int()` arguments are naively handled: wrong results could be produced




- ▶ Write a program to exercise `trap_int ()` on functions with known integrals
- ▶ Then take care of what was left out
- ▶ Hints:
  - ▶ `trap_int ()` arguments are naively handled: wrong results could be produced
  - ▶ Robustness has been almost totally overlooked (except for the safety `exit`)




- ▶ Write a program to exercise `trap_int ()` on functions with known integrals
- ▶ Then take care of what was left out
- ▶ Hints:
  - ▶ `trap_int ()` arguments are naively handled: wrong results could be produced
  - ▶ Robustness has been almost totally overlooked (except for the safety `exit`)
  - ▶ What if some arguments take a `NaN` value?




- ▶ Write a program to exercise `trap_int ()` on functions with known integrals
- ▶ Then take care of what was left out
- ▶ Hints:
  - ▶ `trap_int ()` arguments are naively handled: wrong results could be produced
  - ▶ Robustness has been almost totally overlooked (except for the safety `exit`)
  - ▶ What if some arguments take a `NaN` value?
  - ▶ What if some arguments take an `Inf` value?



- ▶ Write a program to exercise `trap_int ()` on functions with known integrals
- ▶ Then take care of what was left out
- ▶ Hints:
  - ▶ `trap_int ()` arguments are naively handled: wrong results could be produced
  - ▶ Robustness has been almost totally overlooked (except for the safety `exit`)
  - ▶ What if some arguments take a `NaN` value?
  - ▶ What if some arguments take an `Inf` value?
  - ▶ What if some arguments take a ... value?




- ▶ Procedure arguments and mixed-mode expressions were already there



- ▶ Procedure arguments and mixed-mode expressions were already there
- ▶ Counted loops looked like this:


```
      do 10, i=1,10,3  
        write(*,*) i  
10    continue
```



- ▶ Procedure arguments and mixed-mode expressions were already there
- ▶ Counted loops looked like this:

```
      do 10, i=1,10,3  
        write(*,*) i  
10    continue
```

- ▶ **do while, exit, end do** weren't there...
  - ▶ ... at least in the standard...
  - ▶ but are often found in codes, as dialect extensions.




More Flow Control

Numerical Integration


Wrapping it Up 4

Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

Arrays



- ▶ More flow control
  - ▶ Procedure arguments
  - ▶ **do while**
  - ▶ Counted **do**
- ▶ Mixed-mode expressions
- ▶ Name your loops
  - ▶ Particularly if long or nested
  - ▶ Particularly if you **exit** them
  - ▶ But don't do it for short ones
- ▶ Prevent any loop from running forever for some program inputs



## More Flow Control

### Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

- Integer Types


- Floating Types

- Expressions

- Arithmetic Conversions


- More Intrinsic Types

## Arrays




- ▶ Computing == manipulating data and calculating results
  - ▶ Data are manipulated using internal, binary formats
  - ▶ Data are kept in memory locations and CPU registers
- ▶ Fortran doesn't make assumptions on internal data representations
  - ▶ And tries to abstract
  - ▶ Most CPU are similar but all have peculiarities
  - ▶ Some details depend on the specific executing (a.k.a. target) hardware architecture and software implementation
  - ▶ Fortran provides facilities to translate between internal formats and human readable ones
- ▶ Fortran allows programmers to:
  - ▶ think in terms of data types and named containers
  - ▶ disregard details on actual memory locations and data movements

# Fortran is a Strongly Typed Language



- ▶ Each literal constant has a type
  - ▶ Dictates internal representation of the data value
- ▶ Each variable has a type
  - ▶ Dictates content internal representation and amount of memory
  - ▶ Type must be specified in a declaration before use
  - ▶ Unless you are so naive to rely on implicit declaration
- ▶ Each expression has a type
  - ▶ And subexpressions have too
  - ▶ Depends on operators and their arguments
- ▶ Each function has a type
  - ▶ That is the type of the returned value
  - ▶ Specified in function interface
- ▶ Procedure arguments have types
  - ▶ i.e. type of arguments to be passed in calls
  - ▶ Specified in procedure interface
  - ▶ If the compiler doesn't know the interface, it will blindly pass whatever you provide



More Flow Control

Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

Integer Types

Floating Types


Expressions

Arithmetic Conversions

More Intrinsic Types

Arrays


# Integer Types (as on most CPUs)




Type	Sign	Usual <b>huge ()</b>	Usual Width (bits)	Usual Size (bytes)
<code>integer(selected_int_kind(2))</code>	+/-	127	8	1
<code>integer(selected_int_kind(5))</code>	+/-	32767	16	2
<code>integer</code> <code>integer(kind(0))</code> <code>integer(selected_int_kind(9))</code>	+/-	2147483647	32	4
<code>integer(selected_int_kind(18))</code>	+/-	9223372036854775807	64	8

- ▶ `selected_int_kind(n)` returns the least type able to host  $10^n$
- ▶ `selected_int_kind(n)` returns -1 if no suitable type is available
- ▶ New platform/compiler? Always check maximum headroom with **huge ()** or **range ()**
- ▶ As we said, on most platforms **kind ()** returns the byte size, but it's not standard


# Integer Literal Constants



- ▶ Integer literal constants have kinds too




- ▶ Integer literal constants have kinds too
- ▶ By default, `kind(0)`




- ▶ Integer literal constants have kinds too
- ▶ By default, `kind(0)`
- ▶ Unless you specify it
  - ▶ In a non portable way:  
`-123456_8`
  - ▶ Or in a portable way:

```
integer, parameter :: i8=selected_int_kind(18)  
-123456_i8
```




- ▶ Integer literal constants have kinds too
- ▶ By default, `kind(0)`
- ▶ Unless you specify it
  - ▶ In a non portable way:  
`-123456_8`
  - ▶ Or in a portable way:  

```
integer, parameter :: i8=selected_int_kind(18)  
-123456_i8
```
- ▶ Rule of thumb:
  - ▶ write the number as is, if it is in default integer kind range
  - ▶ otherwise, specify kind




- ▶ Integer literal constants have kinds too
- ▶ By default, `kind(0)`
- ▶ Unless you specify it
  - ▶ In a non portable way:  
`-123456_8`
  - ▶ Or in a portable way:  
`integer, parameter :: i8=selected_int_kind(18)`  
`-123456_i8`
- ▶ Rule of thumb:
  - ▶ write the number as is, if it is in default integer kind range
  - ▶ otherwise, specify kind
- ▶ Remember:
  - ▶ do not write `spokes = bicycles*2*36`
  - ▶ `integer, parameter :: SpokesPerWheel = 36`
  - ▶ code will be more readable, and you'll be ready for easy changes

# integer Math



Function	Returns
<b>abs (i)</b>	$ i $
<b>sign (i, j)</b>	$ i $ if $j \geq 0$ , $- i $ otherwise
<b>dim (i, j)</b>	if $i > j$ returns $i - j$ else returns 0
<b>mod (i, j)</b>	Remainder function $i - \text{int}(i/j) \times j$
<b>modulo (i, j)</b>	Modulo function $i - \text{floor}(i/j) \times j$
<b>min (i, j[, ...])</b>	$\min\{i, j[, \dots]\}$
<b>max (i, j[, ...])</b>	$\max\{i, j[, \dots]\}$

- ▶ Use like:  $a = \text{abs}(b+i) + c$
- ▶ More functions are available to manipulate values
  - ▶ E.g. for bit manipulations on binary computers
  - ▶ We'll not cover them in this course, you can learn more about if you need to
- ▶ They can be found under different names (e.g. `iabs()`): these are relics from the past



More Flow Control

Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

Integer Types

**Floating Types**


Expressions

Arithmetic Conversions

More Intrinsic Types

Arrays


# Floating Types (as on most CPUs)



Type	Usual huge ()	Usual Width (bits)	Usual Size (bytes)
<b>real</b> <b>real(kind(0.0))</b> <b>real(selected_real_kind(6))</b>	3.40282347e38	32	4
<b>double precision</b> <b>real(kind(0.0d0))</b> <b>real(selected_real_kind(15))</b>	1.79769313486231573e308	64	8
<b>real(selected_real_kind(18))</b>	> 1.2e4932	80 or 128	10 or 16
<b>complex</b> <b>complex(kind(0.0))</b> <b>complex(selected_real_kind(6))</b>	NA	NA	8
<b>complex(kind(0.0d0))</b> <b>complex(selected_real_kind(15))</b>	NA	NA	16
<b>complex(selected_real_kind(18))</b>	NA	NA	20 or 32


- ▶ In practice, always in IEEE Standard binary format, but not a Standard requirement
  - ▶ Fortran 2008 adds the **storage\_size** inquiry function
  - ▶ And some predefined kinds to represent IEEE types (**int32**, **int64**, **real32**, **real64**) using **iso\_Fortran\_env** module
- ▶ **selected\_real\_kind()** gets number of significant decimal digits, plus a second optional argument for exponent range, returns negative result if no suitable type is available
- ▶ New platform/compiler? Always check maximum headroom with **huge()** or **range()**
  - ▶ **tiny()** returns smallest positive value

## real Literal Constants




- ▶ Need something to distinguish them from integers
  - ▶ Decimal notation: **1.0**, **-17.**, **.125**, **0.22**
  - ▶ Exponential decimal notation: **2e19** ( $2 \times 10^{19}$ ), **-123.4e9** ( $-1.234 \times 10^{11}$ ), **.72e-6** ( $7.2 \times 10^{-7}$ )

## real Literal Constants




- ▶ Need something to distinguish them from integers
  - ▶ Decimal notation: `1.0`, `-17.`, `.125`, `0.22`
  - ▶ Exponential decimal notation: `2e19` ( $2 \times 10^{19}$ ), `-123.4e9` ( $-1.234 \times 10^{11}$ ), `.72e-6` ( $7.2 \times 10^{-7}$ )
- ▶ By default, `kind(0.0)`



- ▶ Need something to distinguish them from integers
  - ▶ Decimal notation: `1.0`, `-17.`, `.125`, `0.22`
  - ▶ Exponential decimal notation: `2e19` ( $2 \times 10^{19}$ ), `-123.4e9` ( $-1.234 \times 10^{11}$ ), `.72e-6` ( $7.2 \times 10^{-7}$ )
- ▶ By default, `kind(0.0)`
- ▶ Unless you specify it
  - ▶ For double precision only:  
`-1.23456d5`
  - ▶ For all kinds:  

```
integer, parameter :: r8=selected_real_kind(15)
-123456.0_r8
```



- ▶ Need something to distinguish them from integers
  - ▶ Decimal notation: `1.0`, `-17.`, `.125`, `0.22`
  - ▶ Exponential decimal notation: `2e19` ( $2 \times 10^{19}$ ), `-123.4e9` ( $-1.234 \times 10^{11}$ ), `.72e-6` ( $7.2 \times 10^{-7}$ )

- ▶ By default, `kind(0.0)`

- ▶ Unless you specify it

- ▶ For double precision only:


`-1.23456d5`

- ▶ For all kinds:

```
integer, parameter :: r8=selected_real_kind(15)  
-123456.0_r8
```


- ▶ Remember:

- ▶ do not write `charge = protons*1.602176487E-19`
  - ▶ `real,parameter::UnitCharge=1.602176487E-19`
  - ▶ it will come handier when more precise measurements will be available




Function	Returns
<b>abs (x)</b>	$ x $
<b>sign (x, y)</b>	$ x $ if $y \geq 0$ , $- x $ otherwise
<b>dim (x, y)</b>	if $x > y$ returns $x - y$ else returns 0
<b>mod (x, y)</b>	Remainder function $x - \text{int}(x/y) \times y$
<b>modulo (x, y)</b>	Modulo function $x - \text{floor}(x/y) \times y$
<b>aint (x)<sup>2</sup>, int (x)<sup>1,2</sup></b>	if $x > 0$ returns $\lfloor x \rfloor$ else returns $\lceil x \rceil$
<b>anint (x)<sup>2</sup>, nint (x)<sup>1,2</sup></b>	nearest integer to $x$
<b>floor (x)<sup>1,2</sup>, ceiling (x)<sup>1,2</sup></b>	$\lfloor x \rfloor$ , $\lceil x \rceil$
<b>fraction (x)</b>	fractional part of $x$
<b>nearest (x, s)</b>	next representable value to $x$ , in direction given by the sign of $s$
<b>spacing (x)</b>	absolute spacing of numbers near $x$
<b>max (x, y[, ...])</b>	$\max\{x, y[, \dots]\}$
<b>min (x, y[, ...])</b>	$\min\{x, y[, \dots]\}$
1. Result is of integer type 2. Accept an optional argument for kind type of the result	

- ▶ They can be found under different names (e.g. **dabs ()**): these are relics from the past
- ▶ More functions are available to manipulate values
  - ▶ Mostly in the spirit of IEEE Floating Point Standard
  - ▶ We'll not cover them in this course, but encourage you to learn more about




Functions	Compute
<b>sqrt (x)</b>	$\sqrt{x}$
<b>sin (x), cos (x), tan (x), asin (x), acos (x), atan (x)</b>	Trigonometric functions
<b>atan2 (x, y)</b>	Arc tangent in $(-\pi, \pi]$
<b>exp (x), log (x), log10 (x)</b>	$e^x$ , $\log_e x, \log_{10} x$
<b>sinh (x), cosh (x), tanh (x)</b>	Hyperbolic functions

- ▶ Again, they can be found under different names (e.g. **dcos ()**): these are relics from the past




Functions	Compute
<b>abs (z)</b> ,	$ z $ ,
<b>aimag (z)</b>	imaginary part of <b>z</b> ,
<b>real (z)</b> <sup>1</sup>	real part of <b>z</b>
<b>cmplx (x, y)</b> <sup>1</sup>	converts from real to complex
<b>conj (z)</b>	Complex conjugate of <b>z</b>
<b>sqrt (z)</b>	$\sqrt{z}$
<b>sin (z)</b> , <b>cos (z)</b>	sine and cosine
<b>exp (z)</b> ,	$e^z$ ,
<b>log (z)</b>	$\log_e z$
1. Accept an optional argument for kind type of the result	


- ▶ Once again, they can be found under different names (e.g. **cabs ()**): again, these are relics from the past




- ▶ The intrinsic function **precision** (**x**) for real or complex **x** returns the number of significant decimal digits.
- ▶ Write a **module** which defines the **kind** constant for single, double and quadruple real precision




- ▶ The intrinsic function **precision** (**x**) for real or complex **x** returns the number of significant decimal digits.
- ▶ Write a **module** which defines the **kind** constant for single, double and quadruple real precision




- ▶ The intrinsic function **precision (x)** for real or complex **x** returns the number of significant decimal digits.
- ▶ Write a **module** which defines the **kind** constant for single, double and quadruple real precision
- ▶ To gain confidence: write a small program to print out **range** and **huge** values for these kinds




- ▶ The intrinsic function **precision (x)** for real or complex **x** returns the number of significant decimal digits.
- ▶ Write a **module** which defines the **kind** constant for single, double and quadruple real precision
- ▶ To gain confidence: write a small program to print out **range** and **huge** values for these kinds
- ▶ Beware:



- ▶ The intrinsic function **precision (x)** for real or complex **x** returns the number of significant decimal digits.
- ▶ Write a **module** which defines the **kind** constant for single, double and quadruple real precision
- ▶ To gain confidence: write a small program to print out **range** and **huge** values for these kinds
- ▶ Beware:
- ▶ GNU Fortran compiler, up to release 4.5, may lack support for the quad-precision
- ▶ Check the compiler and the version you are using:  
**gfortran -v**
- ▶ And possibly load a recent version:  
**module av**



- ▶ Use the **real\_kinds** module to rewrite **dsp** module functions to support both single and double precision
- ▶ And make all of them generic procedures
- ▶ Modify your test program to see exercise the new **dsp** module



More Flow Control

Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

Integer Types


Floating Types

**Expressions**


Arithmetic Conversions

More Intrinsic Types

Arrays




- ▶ Binary operators  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$  (multiplication) and  $/$  have the usual meaning and behavior
- ▶ And so do unary operators  $-$  and  $+$
- ▶ Precedence
  - ▶  $-a*b + c/d$  same as  $((-a)*b) + (c/d)$
  - ▶  $-a + b$  same as  $(-a) + b$
- ▶ Associativity of binary ones is from left to right
  - ▶  $a + b + c$  same as  $(a + b) + c$
  - ▶  $a*b/c*d$  same as  $((a*b)/c)*d$
- ▶ Explicit  $($  and  $)$  override precedence and associativity
- ▶  $**$  is the exponentiation operator
- ▶ Assignment:  $=$ 
  - ▶ Assigns the value of expression on right hand side to a variable on the left hand side
  - ▶ Prior to first assignment, a variable content is *undefined*





- ▶ All types are limited in range
- ▶ What about:
  - ▶ **huge** (0) + 1? (too big)
  - ▶ **-huge** (0.0) \* 3.0? (too negative)
- ▶ Technically speaking, this is an arithmetic *overflow*
- ▶ And division by zero is a problem too
- ▶ For integer types, the Standard says:
  - ▶ behavior and results are unpredictable
  - ▶ i.e. up to the implementation
- ▶ For real types, it also depends on the floating point environment
  - ▶ i.e. how behavior is configured for those cases
  - ▶ you could get **-huge** (0.0), or a **NaN**, or **-Inf**
- ▶ Best practice: NEVER rely on behaviors observed with a specific architecture and/or compiler

- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O




- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O
  - ▶ As you'll remember, these are known as *side effects*






- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O
  - ▶ As you'll remember, these are known as *side effects*
- ▶ Now imagine you meet code like this:
 

```
t = foo(a, b) - bar(b, a)
q = mod(foo(a, b), bar(a, b))
```




- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O
  - ▶ As you'll remember, these are known as *side effects*
- ▶ Now imagine you meet code like this:  

```
t = foo(a, b) - bar(b, a)
q = mod(foo(a, b), bar(a, b))
```
- ▶ Code like this is evil!



- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O
  - ▶ As you'll remember, these are known as *side effects*
- ▶ Now imagine you meet code like this:  


```
t = foo(a, b) - bar(b, a)
q = mod(foo(a, b), bar(a, b))
```
- ▶ Code like this is evil!
- ▶ Order of subexpressions evaluation is implementation dependent!



- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O
  - ▶ As you'll remember, these are known as *side effects*
- ▶ Now imagine you meet code like this:  


```
t = foo(a, b) - bar(b, a)
q = mod(foo(a, b), bar(a, b))
```
- ▶ Code like this is evil!
- ▶ Order of subexpressions evaluation is implementation dependent!
  - ▶ You don't know in advance the order in which `foo()` and `bar()` are called

# Order of Subexpressions Evaluation



- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O
  - ▶ As you'll remember, these are known as *side effects*
- ▶ Now imagine you meet code like this:  


```
t = foo(a, b) - bar(b, a)
q = mod(foo(a, b), bar(a, b))
```
- ▶ Code like this is evil!
- ▶ Order of subexpressions evaluation is implementation dependent!
  - ▶ You don't know in advance the order in which `foo()` and `bar()` are called
  - ▶ Thus program behavior could differ among different implementations, or even among different compilations by the same compiler!



- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O
  - ▶ As you'll remember, these are known as *side effects*
- ▶ Now imagine you meet code like this:  


```
t = foo(a, b) - bar(b, a)
q = mod(foo(a, b), bar(a, b))
```
- ▶ Code like this is evil!
- ▶ Order of subexpressions evaluation is implementation dependent!
  - ▶ You don't know in advance the order in which `foo()` and `bar()` are called
  - ▶ Thus program behavior could differ among different implementations, or even among different compilations by the same compiler!
- ▶ Ditto for order of evaluation of function arguments!

# Order of Subexpressions Evaluation



- ▶ Just imagine both functions `foo(x, y)` and `bar(x, y)` modify their actual arguments, or do I/O
  - ▶ As you'll remember, these are known as *side effects*
- ▶ Now imagine you meet code like this:
 

```
t = foo(a, b) - bar(b, a)
q = mod(foo(a, b), bar(a, b))
```
- ▶ Code like this is evil!
- ▶ Order of subexpressions evaluation is implementation dependent!
  - ▶ You don't know in advance the order in which `foo()` and `bar()` are called
  - ▶ Thus program behavior could differ among different implementations, or even among different compilations by the same compiler!
- ▶ Ditto for order of evaluation of function arguments!
- ▶ NEVER! NEVER write code that relies on order of evaluation of subexpressions, or actual arguments!



More Flow Control

**Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math**

Integer Types

Floating Types


Expressions

**Arithmetic Conversions**

More Intrinsic Types


Arrays

# Mixing Types in Expressions




- ▶ Fortran allows for expressions mixing any arithmetic types
  - ▶ A result will always be produced
  - ▶ Whether this is the result you expect, it's another story

# Mixing Types in Expressions




- ▶ Fortran allows for expressions mixing any arithmetic types
  - ▶ A result will always be produced
  - ▶ Whether this is the result you expect, it's another story
- ▶ Broadly speaking, the base concept is clear

# Mixing Types in Expressions




- ▶ Fortran allows for expressions mixing any arithmetic types
  - ▶ A result will always be produced
  - ▶ Whether this is the result you expect, it's another story
- ▶ Broadly speaking, the base concept is clear
- ▶ For each binary operator in the expression, in order of precedence and associativity:
  - ▶ if both operands have the same type, fine
  - ▶ otherwise, operand with narrower range is converted to type of other operand

# Mixing Types in Expressions




- ▶ Fortran allows for expressions mixing any arithmetic types
  - ▶ A result will always be produced
  - ▶ Whether this is the result you expect, it's another story
- ▶ Broadly speaking, the base concept is clear
- ▶ For each binary operator in the expression, in order of precedence and associativity:
  - ▶ if both operands have the same type, fine
  - ▶ otherwise, operand with narrower range is converted to type of other operand
- ▶ OK when mixing floating types
  - ▶ The wider range includes the narrower one


# Mixing Types in Expressions




- ▶ Fortran allows for expressions mixing any arithmetic types
  - ▶ A result will always be produced
  - ▶ Whether this is the result you expect, it's another story
- ▶ Broadly speaking, the base concept is clear
- ▶ For each binary operator in the expression, in order of precedence and associativity:
  - ▶ if both operands have the same type, fine
  - ▶ otherwise, operand with narrower range is converted to type of other operand
- ▶ OK when mixing floating types
  - ▶ The wider range includes the narrower one
- ▶ OK when mixing integer types
  - ▶ The wider range includes the narrower one




- ▶ For the assignment statement:
  - ▶ if variable and expression have the same type, fine
  - ▶ otherwise, right operand is converted to left operand type
  - ▶ if the value cannot be represented in the destination type, it's an overflow, and you are on your own




- ▶ For the assignment statement:
  - ▶ if variable and expression have the same type, fine
  - ▶ otherwise, right operand is converted to left operand type
  - ▶ if the value cannot be represented in the destination type, it's an overflow, and you are on your own
  
- ▶ We said: in order of precedence and associativity




- ▶ For the assignment statement:
  - ▶ if variable and expression have the same type, fine
  - ▶ otherwise, right operand is converted to left operand type
  - ▶ if the value cannot be represented in the destination type, it's an overflow, and you are on your own
  
- ▶ We said: in order of precedence and associativity
  - ▶ if **a** is a 64 bits wide integer variable, and **b** is a 32 bits wide integer variable and contains value **huge (0)** , in:  
**a = b\*2**  
multiplication will overflow



- ▶ For the assignment statement:
  - ▶ if variable and expression have the same type, fine
  - ▶ otherwise, right operand is converted to left operand type
  - ▶ if the value cannot be represented in the destination type, it's an overflow, and you are on your own
  
- ▶ We said: in order of precedence and associativity
  - ▶ if **a** is a 64 bits wide integer variable, and **b** is a 32 bits wide integer variable and contains value **huge (0)**, in:  
**a = b\*2**  
multiplication will overflow
  - ▶ and in (**i8** as in a previous example):  
**a = b\*2 + 1\_i8**  
multiplication will overflow too




- ▶ For the assignment statement:
  - ▶ if variable and expression have the same type, fine
  - ▶ otherwise, right operand is converted to left operand type
  - ▶ if the value cannot be represented in the destination type, it's an overflow, and you are on your own
  
- ▶ We said: in order of precedence and associativity
  - ▶ if **a** is a 64 bits wide integer variable, and **b** is a 32 bits wide integer variable and contains value **huge (0)**, in:  
**a = b\*2**  
multiplication will overflow
  - ▶ and in (**i8** as in a previous example):  
**a = b\*2 + 1\_i8**  
multiplication will overflow too
  - ▶ while:  
**a = b\*2\_i8 + 1**  
is OK




- ▶ Think of mixing floating and integer types

# Subtle Type Conversion Traps




- ▶ Think of mixing floating and integer types
- ▶ Floating types have wider dynamic range than integer ones


# Subtle Type Conversion Traps




- ▶ Think of mixing floating and integer types
- ▶ Floating types have wider dynamic range than integer ones
- ▶ But not necessarily more precision




- ▶ Think of mixing floating and integer types
- ▶ Floating types have wider dynamic range than integer ones
- ▶ But not necessarily more precision
- ▶ A 32 bits `real` has fewer digits of precision than a 32 bits `integer`




- ▶ Think of mixing floating and integer types
- ▶ Floating types have wider dynamic range than integer ones
- ▶ But not necessarily more precision
- ▶ A 32 bits `real` has fewer digits of precision than a 32 bits `integer`
- ▶ And a 64 bits `real` has fewer digits of precision than a 64 bits `integer`




- ▶ Think of mixing floating and integer types
- ▶ Floating types have wider dynamic range than integer ones
- ▶ But not necessarily more precision
- ▶ A 32 bits `real` has fewer digits of precision than a 32 bits `integer`
- ▶ And a 64 bits `real` has fewer digits of precision than a 64 bits `integer`
- ▶ The result of a conversion could actually be smaller than expected!




- ▶ Do not blindly rely on implementation dependent chance!




- ▶ Do not blindly rely on implementation dependent chance!
- ▶ Use explicit type conversion functions:
  - ▶ `int(x[, kind])`
  - ▶ `real(x[, kind])`
  - ▶ `cmplx(x[, y][, kind])`




- ▶ Do not blindly rely on implementation dependent chance!
- ▶ Use explicit type conversion functions:
  - ▶ `int(x[, kind])`
  - ▶ `real(x[, kind])`
  - ▶ `cmplx(x[, y][, kind])`
- ▶ They let you override standard conversion rules
  - ▶ In previous example, you could use it like this:  
`a = int(b,i8)*2 + 1`



- ▶ Do not blindly rely on implementation dependent chance!
- ▶ Use explicit type conversion functions:
  - ▶ `int(x[, kind])`
  - ▶ `real(x[, kind])`
  - ▶ `cmplx(x[, y][, kind])`
- ▶ They let you override standard conversion rules
  - ▶ In previous example, you could use it like this:  
`a = int(b,i8)*2 + 1`
- ▶ Type conversion functions are not magic
  - ▶ Only convert values, not type of variables you assign to



- ▶ Do not blindly rely on implementation dependent chance!
- ▶ Use explicit type conversion functions:
  - ▶ `int(x[, kind])`
  - ▶ `real(x[, kind])`
  - ▶ `cmplx(x[, y][, kind])`
- ▶ They let you override standard conversion rules
  - ▶ In previous example, you could use it like this:  
`a = int(b,i8)*2 + 1`
- ▶ Type conversion functions are not magic
  - ▶ Only convert values, not type of variables you assign to
- ▶ Do not abuse them
  - ▶ Make codes unreadable
  - ▶ Could be evidence of design mistakes
  - ▶ Or that your Fortran needs a refresh



More Flow Control

Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

Integer Types


Floating Types

Expressions


Arithmetic Conversions

**More Intrinsic Types**


Arrays




- ▶ A type good at reasoning
  - ▶ May have `.false.` or `.true.` value
  - ▶ Kind only affects size in memory




- ▶ A type good at reasoning
  - ▶ May have `.false.` or `.true.` value
  - ▶ Kind only affects size in memory
- ▶ Arithmetic comparison operators return logical values
  - ▶ `==` (equal), `/=` (not equal), `>`, `<`, `>=`, `<=`
  - ▶ or, in ancient Fortran, `.eq.`, `.ne.`, `.gt.`, `.lt.`, `.ge.`, `.le.`




- ▶ A type good at reasoning
  - ▶ May have `.false.` or `.true.` value
  - ▶ Kind only affects size in memory
- ▶ Arithmetic comparison operators return logical values
  - ▶ `==` (equal), `/=` (not equal), `>`, `<`, `>=`, `<=`
  - ▶ or, in ancient Fortran, `.eq.`, `.ne.`, `.gt.`, `.lt.`, `.ge.`, `.le.`
- ▶ Logical expressions
  - ▶ `.not.` is unary NOT, `.and.` and `.or.` are binary AND and OR respectively, `.eqv.` is logical equivalence (`.true.` if operands both `.true.` or both `.false.`)
  - ▶ `.not. a .and. b .or. a .and. .not. b`  
means  
`((.not.a) .and.b) .or. (a .and. (.not.b))`
  - ▶ In doubt, add parentheses, but be sober




- ▶ Logical friends from `ieee_arithmetic` module (simply use it)
  - ▶ `ieee_is_finite(x): .true.` if argument value is finite
  - ▶ `ieee_is_nan(x): .true.` if argument value is NaN
  - ▶ `ieee_unordered(x, y): .true.` if at least one among `x` and `y` is NaN




- ▶ Logical friends from `ieee_arithmetic` module (simply use it)
  - ▶ `ieee_is_finite(x): .true.` if argument value is finite
  - ▶ `ieee_is_nan(x): .true.` if argument value is NaN
  - ▶ `ieee_unordered(x, y): .true.` if at least one among  $x$  and  $y$  is NaN
- ▶ As usual, order of subexpressions evaluation is implementation dependent



- ▶ Logical friends from `ieee_arithmetic` module (simply use it)
  - ▶ `ieee_is_finite(x): .true.` if argument value is finite
  - ▶ `ieee_is_nan(x): .true.` if argument value is NaN
  - ▶ `ieee_unordered(x, y): .true.` if at least one among `x` and `y` is NaN
- ▶ As usual, order of subexpressions evaluation is implementation dependent
- ▶ But it's worse:
  - ▶ if `test()` is a function returning a logical type value
  - ▶ and `a` is `.true.`
  - ▶ and `b` is `.false.`
  - ▶ implementation is free (but not forced!) to not call `test()` at all in `a.or.test(x)` and `b.and.test(x)`
  - ▶ Again, do not rely on expressions side effects




- ▶ Fortran is not that good at manipulating text
- ▶ But it has some **character**:
  - ▶ **character** :: **c** defines a variable holding a single character, like ' **f**'
  - ▶ **character(len=80)** :: **s1, s2, s3** defines three variables holding strings of up to 80 characters, like ' **Fortran 2003**'
- ▶ There are character expressions, like:
  - ▶ **s3(1:40) = s1(1:20)//s2(21:40)**  
which assigns to first half of **s3** the first quarter of **s1** and second quarter of **s2**
- ▶ On assignment of a character expression to a longer variable, blank filling will take place
- ▶ On assignment of a character expression to a shorter variable, truncation will happen



Function	Returns
<code>len(s)</code>	string length
<code>len_trim(s)</code>	string length with trailing blanks ignored
<code>trim(s)</code>	string with trailing blanks removed
<code>repeat(s, n)</code>	string made of <code>n</code> copies of <code>s</code>
<code>adjustl(s)</code>	move leading blanks to trailing position
<code>adjustr(s)</code>	move trailing blanks to leading position
<code>lge(s1, s2),</code> <code>lgt(s1, s2),</code> <code>lle(s1, s2),</code> <code>llt(s1, s2)</code>	string comparisons
<code>index(s, subs)</code>	starting position of <code>subs</code> in <code>s</code> , 0 if not found
<code>scan(s, set)</code>	first position in <code>s</code> of a character matching <code>set</code> , 0 if none found
<code>verify(s, set)</code>	first position in <code>s</code> of a character not matching <code>set</code> , 0 if all match
<code>achar(i)</code>	character with ASCII code <code>i</code>
<code>iachar(c)</code>	ASCII code of character <code>c</code>

- ▶ Our advice:
  - ▶ For most practical purposes, use I/O statements to manipulate strings as internal files (more on this later)
  - ▶ If you are really serious about textual data, learn more
  - ▶ Or switch to a different language




More Flow Control

Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

Arrays

Smoothing Signals

A More Compact Notation



More Flow Control


Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

**Arrays**

Smoothing Signals

A More Compact Notation

# In Place Smoothing of a Periodic Signal



```


module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains
    subroutine smooth(v, k)
      real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
      integer,intent(in)  :: k
      integer :: n, l, i, j
      real :: work(size(v))

      n=size(v)
      l = 2*k +1
      work = 0.0
      do i=1,n
        do j=i-k,i+k
          work(i) = work(i) + v(1+mod(n-1+j, n))
        enddo
      enddo
      v = work/l
    end subroutine smooth
  end module smoothing

program test_smooth
  use smoothing
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: n=10
  integer :: i, k
  real :: x(n)

  k = 2
  x = (/ (real(mod(i,n/2)), i=1,n) /)
  if ( k > n) stop 'More smoothing points than array elements'
  call smooth(x,k)
  write(*,*) x
end program test_smooth
  
```

# In Place Smoothing of a Periodic Signal




```

module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains
    subroutine smooth(v, k)
      real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
      integer,intent(in)  :: k
      integer :: n, l, i, j
      real :: work(size(v))

      n=size(v)
      l = 2*k +1
      work = 0.0
      do i=1,n
        do j=i-k,i+k
          work(i) = work(i) + v(1+mod(n-1+j, n))
        enddo
      enddo
      v = work/l
    end subroutine smooth
end module smoothing


program test_smooth
  use smoothing
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: n=10
  integer :: i, k
  real :: x(n)

  k = 2
  x = (/ (real(mod(i,n/2)), i=1,n) /)
  if ( k > n) stop 'More smoothing points than array elements'
  call smooth(x,k)
  write(*,*) x
end program test_smooth
  
```



- ▶ Subroutines are procedures, like functions, except they do not return any value
- ▶ They are invoked by:  
`call subroutine-name (argument-list)`
- ▶ Like functions, they have *dummy* arguments that will be associated to *actual* arguments at call time
- ▶ Unlike functions, they can not be used inside expressions
- ▶ Their use is to be preferred to functions when:
  - ▶ actual arguments must be modified
  - ▶ more than one result needs to be returned

# In Place Smoothing of a Periodic Signal




```

module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains
    subroutine smooth(v, k)
      real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
      integer,intent(in)  :: k
      integer             :: n, l, i, j
      real               :: work(size(v))

      n=size(v)
      l = 2*k + 1
      work = 0.0
      do i=1,n
        do j=i-k,i+k
          work(i) = work(i) + v(1+mod(n-1+j, n))
        enddo
      enddo
      v = work/l
    end subroutine smooth
end module smoothing


program test_smooth
  use smoothing
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: n=10
  integer :: i, k
  real    :: x(n)

  k = 2
  x = (/ (real(mod(i,n/2)), i=1,n) /)
  if ( k > n) stop 'More smoothing points than array elements'
  call smooth(x,k)
  write(*,*) x
end program test_smooth
  
```



- ▶ `real :: x(n)`
  - ▶ Declares an array named `x`
  - ▶ A collection of variables of the same type (elements), laid out contiguously in memory
  - ▶ `i`-th element can be accessed with `x(i)`
  - ▶ `n` must be an integer expression whose value must be known at declaration time

# In Place Smoothing of a Periodic Signal




```

module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains
    subroutine smooth(v, k)
      real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
      integer,intent(in) :: k
      integer :: n, l, i, j
      real :: work(size(v))

      n=size(v)
      l = 2*k +1
      work = 0.0
      do i=1,n
        do j=i-k,i+k
          work(i) = work(i) + v(1+mod(n-1+j, n))
        enddo
      enddo
      v = work/l
    end subroutine smooth
  end module smoothing


program test_smooth
  use smoothing
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: n=10
  integer :: i, k
  real :: x(n)

  k = 2
  x = (/ (real(mod(i,n/2)), i=1,n) /)
  if ( k > n) stop 'More smoothing points than array elements'
  call smooth(x,k)
  write(*,*) x
end program test_smooth
    
```



- ▶ **real :: x(n)**
  - ▶ Declares an array named **x**
  - ▶ A collection of variables of the same type (elements), laid out contiguously in memory
  - ▶ **i**-th element can be accessed with **x(i)**
  - ▶ **n** must be an integer expression whose value must be known at declaration time
  
- ▶ What's that **x = (/.../)** ?
  - ▶ **(/.../)** is an array constructor
  - ▶ i.e. a sequence of values forming an array
  - ▶ Assigned to array in a single statement
  - ▶ **(expression, index=initial, final)** evaluates **expression** for each value of **index** as in a do-loop (hence is termed *implied do-loop*)

# In Place Smoothing of a Periodic Signal




```

module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains
    subroutine smooth(v, k)
      real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
      integer,intent(in)  :: k
      integer             :: n, l, i, j
      real               :: work(size(v))

      n=size(v)
      l = 2*k +1
      work = 0.0
      do i=1,n
        do j=i-k,i+k
          work(i) = work(i) + v(1+mod(n-1+j, n))
        enddo
      enddo
      v = work/l
    end subroutine smooth
end module smoothing

program test_smooth
  use smoothing
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: n=10
  integer :: i, k
  real    :: x(n)


  k = 2
  x = (/ (real(mod(i,n/2)), i=1,n) /)
  if ( k > n) stop 'More smoothing points than array elements'
  call smooth(x,k)
  write(*,*) x
end program test_smooth
    
```



- ▶ Arrays can be passed as arguments to procedures
- ▶ How can subroutine *smooth* know the size of the actual argument passed as  $\mathbf{v}$ ?
  - ▶ `real :: v(:)` states that size of  $\mathbf{v}$  will be that of the actual argument
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}$  is termed an *assumed-shape* array
  - ▶ This only works if the subroutine has explicit interface
- ▶ Otherwise, you can still use the good ol' way:

```
subroutine smooth(v,k,n)
  integer n
  real v(n)
  ...
```

# In Place Smoothing of a Periodic Signal




```

module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains
    subroutine smooth(v, k)
      real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
      integer,intent(in)  :: k
      integer             :: n, l, i, j
      real               :: work(size(v))

      n=size(v)
      l = 2*k +1
      work = 0.0
      do i=1,n
        do j=i-k,i+k
          work(i) = work(i) + v(1+mod(n-1+j, n))
        enddo
      enddo
      v = work/l
    end subroutine smooth
  end module smoothing

program test_smooth
  use smoothing
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: n=10
  integer :: i, k
  real    :: x(n)

  k = 2
  x = (/ (real(mod(i,n/2)), i=1,n) /)
  if ( k > n) stop 'More smoothing points than array elements'
  call smooth(x,k)
  write(*,*) x
end program test_smooth
  
```




- ▶ Arrays can be passed as arguments to procedures
- ▶ How can subroutine *smooth* know the size of the actual argument passed as  $\mathbf{v}$ ?
  - ▶ **real :: v(:)** states that size of  $\mathbf{v}$  will be that of the actual argument
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}$  is termed an *assumed-shape* array
  - ▶ This only works if the subroutine has explicit interface

- ▶ Otherwise, you can still use the good ol' way:

```
subroutine smooth(v,k,n)
  integer n
  real v(n)
  ...
```

- ▶ How can subroutine *smooth* declare a local array matching in size the actual argument?
  - ▶ **size(v)** returns the number of elements (size) of  $\mathbf{v}$
  - ▶ **real :: work(size(v))** gives **work** same size as  $\mathbf{v}$
  - ▶ **work** is termed an *automatic object*

## WARNING: NO BOUNDS CHECKING!




- ▶ In Fortran, there is no bounds checking on array access
- ▶ And it is possible for something like this to happen

```
real :: a(10)
...
do i=-100,100
  a(i) = i
end do
```

- ▶ If you are lucky, you'll get a runtime error, otherwise you'll corrupt surrounding memory areas, with really puzzling behavior
- ▶ Once upon a long ago, it used to be a 'feature':

```
subroutine smooth(v,k,n)
  integer n
  real v(1)
  ...
```

## WARNING: NO BOUNDS CHECKING!




- ▶ In Fortran, there is no bounds checking on array access
- ▶ And it is possible for something like this to happen

```
real :: a(10)
...
do i=-100,100
  a(i) = i
end do
```


- ▶ If you are lucky, you'll get a runtime error, otherwise you'll corrupt surrounding memory areas, with really puzzling behavior
- ▶ Once upon a long ago, it used to be a 'feature':

```
subroutine smooth(v,k,n)
  integer n
  real v(1)
  ...
```
- ▶ Use compiler options to enable runtime detection of out of bounds accesses
  - ▶ But execution is incredibly slowed down
  - ▶ Just a debugging tool, do not use it in production



- ▶ The intrinsic subroutine `cpu_time()` is used to time code regions


```
real :: t1, t2
...
call cpu_time(t1)
...           ! code to be timed
call cpu_time(t2)
write(*,*) 'Execution time for section 1: ', t2-t1, 'seconds'
```



- ▶ The intrinsic subroutine `cpu_time()` is used to time code regions

```
real :: t1, t2
...
call cpu_time(t1)
...           ! code to be timed
call cpu_time(t2)
write(*,*) 'Execution time for section 1: ', t2-t1, 'seconds'
```


- ▶ Takes a default real argument



- ▶ The intrinsic subroutine `cpu_time()` is used to time code regions

```
real :: t1, t2
...
call cpu_time(t1)
...           ! code to be timed
call cpu_time(t2)
write(*,*) 'Execution time for section 1: ', t2-t1, 'seconds'
```


- ▶ Takes a default real argument
- ▶ And returns in it processor time consumed by the program in seconds



- ▶ The intrinsic subroutine `cpu_time()` is used to time code regions

```
real :: t1, t2
...
call cpu_time(t1)
...           ! code to be timed
call cpu_time(t2)
write(*,*) 'Execution time for section 1: ', t2-t1, 'seconds'
```


- ▶ Takes a default real argument
  - ▶ And returns in it processor time consumed by the program in seconds
- ▶ Use it to measure execution time of `test_smooth` program



- ▶ The intrinsic subroutine `cpu_time()` is used to time code regions

```
real :: t1, t2
...
call cpu_time(t1)
...           ! code to be timed
call cpu_time(t2)
write(*,*) 'Execution time for section 1: ', t2-t1, 'seconds'
```

- ▶ Takes a default real argument
  - ▶ And returns in it processor time consumed by the program in seconds
- ▶ Use it to measure execution time of `test_smooth` program
- ▶ Can we use less operations to get the same results (within round-off errors)?



More Flow Control


Fortran Intrinsic Types, Variables and Math

**Arrays**

Smoothing Signals

**A More Compact Notation**

# Same Smoothing in a Different Idiom



```
module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains

  subroutine smoothinplace(v, k)
    implicit none
    real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
    integer,intent(in)  :: k
    real                :: work(-k+1:size(v)+k)
    integer :: i, j, l, n


    n=size(v)
    l = 2*k + 1
    work(1:n) = v
    work(-k+1:0) = v(n-k+1:n)
    work(n+1:n+k) = v(1:k)

    do j=1, k
      v = v + work(1-j:n-j) + work(1+j:n+j)
    end do
    v = v/l

  end subroutine smoothinplace

end module smoothing
```

# Same Smoothing in a Different Idiom



```
module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains


  subroutine smoothinplace(v, k)
    implicit none
    real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
    integer,intent(in) :: k
    real                :: work(-k+1:size(v)+k)
    integer :: i, j, l, n

    n=size(v)
    l = 2*k + 1
    work(1:n) = v
    work(-k+1:0) = v(n-k+1:n)
    work(n+1:n+k) = v(1:k)


    do j=1, k
      v = v + work(1-j:n-j) + work(1+j:n+j)
    end do
    v = v/l

  end subroutine smoothinplace


end module smoothing
```




- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1




- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1
- ▶ But you can pick one to your taste, as in `work(-k+1:size(v)+k)`




- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1
- ▶ But you can pick one to your taste, as in **work(-k+1:size(v)+k)**
  - ▶ If *first element index* > *last element index* than the number of elements will be zero




- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1
- ▶ But you can pick one to your taste, as in **work(-k+1:size(v)+k)**
  - ▶ If *first element index* > *last element index* than the number of elements will be zero
  - ▶ **lbound()** and **ubound()** functions help to check




- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1
- ▶ But you can pick one to your taste, as in **work(-k+1:size(v)+k)**
  - ▶ If *first element index* > *last element index* than the number of elements will be zero
  - ▶ **lbound()** and **ubound()** functions help to check
- ▶ Our **work** array is larger than **v**, to accommodate copies of values needed to smooth the first and last **k** elements




- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1
- ▶ But you can pick one to your taste, as in `work(-k+1:size(v)+k)`
  - ▶ If *first element index* > *last element index* than the number of elements will be zero
  - ▶ `lbound()` and `ubound()` functions help to check
- ▶ Our `work` array is larger than `v`, to accommodate copies of values needed to smooth the first and last `k` elements
- ▶ `work` is initialized in steps, each corresponding to a different section



- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1
- ▶ But you can pick one to your taste, as in **work(-k+1:size(v)+k)**
  - ▶ If *first element index* > *last element index* than the number of elements will be zero
  - ▶ **lbound()** and **ubound()** functions help to check
- ▶ Our **work** array is larger than **v**, to accommodate copies of values needed to smooth the first and last **k** elements
- ▶ **work** is initialized in steps, each corresponding to a different section
  - ▶ An array section is a subset of the elements, and is itself an array




- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1
- ▶ But you can pick one to your taste, as in **work (-k+1 : size (v) +k)**
  - ▶ If *first element index* > *last element index* than the number of elements will be zero
  - ▶ **lbound ()** and **ubound ()** functions help to check
- ▶ Our **work** array is larger than **v**, to accommodate copies of values needed to smooth the first and last **k** elements
- ▶ **work** is initialized in steps, each corresponding to a different section
  - ▶ An array section is a subset of the elements, and is itself an array
  - ▶ **work (-k+1 : 0)** selects the first **k** elements
  - ▶ **work (1 : n)** selects the successive **n** elements
  - ▶ **work (n+1 : n+k)** selects...



- ▶ By default, first element of a Fortran array has index 1
- ▶ But you can pick one to your taste, as in `work(-k+1:size(v)+k)`
  - ▶ If *first element index* > *last element index* than the number of elements will be zero
  - ▶ `lbound()` and `ubound()` functions help to check
- ▶ Our `work` array is larger than `v`, to accommodate copies of values needed to smooth the first and last `k` elements
- ▶ `work` is initialized in steps, each corresponding to a different section
  - ▶ An array section is a subset of the elements, and is itself an array
  - ▶ `work(-k+1:0)` selects the first `k` elements
  - ▶ `work(1:n)` selects the successive `n` elements
  - ▶ `work(n+1:n+k)` selects...
- ▶ Arrays and array sections are assigned to by `=` in a natural manner (more on this later)

# Same Smoothing in a Different Idiom




```
module smoothing
  implicit none
  contains

  subroutine smoothinplace(v, k)
    implicit none
    real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
    integer,intent(in) :: k
    real :: work(-k+1:size(v)+k)
    integer :: i, j, l, n

    n=size(v)
    l = 2*k + 1
    work(1:n) = v
    work(-k+1:0) = v(n-k+1:n)
    work(n+1:n+k) = v(1:k)

    do j=1, k
      v = v + work(1-j:n-j) + work(1+j:n+j)
    end do
    v = v/l

  end subroutine smoothinplace
end module smoothing
```




- ▶ Arrays and array sections may be
  - ▶ referenced and used in expressions
  - ▶ passed as arguments to procedures

```
do j=1, k
  v = v + work(1-j:n-j) + work(1+j:n+j)
end do
```

- ▶ Without array expressions, this code would look like:

```
do j=1, k
  do i=1, n
    v(i) = v(i) + work(i-j) + work(i+j)
  end do
end do
```


- ▶ In an array expression, result must not depend in any way on the order of evaluation of elements
- ▶ You should think of array expressions as if all elements were computed at the same time



- ▶ The size of a one-dimensional array is its *shape*
- ▶ Arithmetic operators act on arrays element by element
- ▶ Binary operators combine pairs of corresponding elements from the operands
- ▶ With binary operators and assignments, you must use *conformable*, i.e. identically shaped, arrays
- ▶ Except for scalar values (not variables!), that match any shape, as if they were replicated


```
real, dimension(4) :: u, v, w
real :: t(1), s
t = s ! it's right
s = t ! it's wrong
w = (u-v)**2 ! it's right
w = s*u+v+2.3 ! it's OK
w = u+v(1:2) ! it's wrong
```

- ▶ By the way, **dimension** attribute lets you specify bounds and dimensions for a list of identical arrays




- ▶ Intrinsic subroutine **random\_number (x)** returns pseudo-random numbers uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1)$  interval
  - ▶ Takes an argument of type **real**, that can be either a scalar or an array
  - ▶ Returns one random number if **x** is a scalar
  - ▶ Returns an array of random numbers if **x** is an array
  
- ▶ Is **random\_number ()** as uniform as advertised? Let's check...

# Let's Build An Histogram




- ▶ Write a program that:
  1. reads an integer **niter** from standard input
  2. generates **niter** random numbers in interval [0, 10)
  3. builds an histogram and computes their average
  4. Prints out results
  
- ▶ To build the histogram:
  1. Initialize to 0s an array **hist** of 20 **integers** to hold the bin count, then, at each iteration:
  2. generate a random number
  3. find out the bin it belongs to (i.e. its index in the array **hist**)
  4. intrinsic **ceiling(x)** function helps: it returns  $\lceil x \rceil$
  5. increment the corresponding array element and compute the percentages
  6. accumulate the sum of the random numbers to compute the average value



- ▶ A prime number is a natural number which has only two distinct natural divisors: 1 and itself
- ▶ Find all primes less than or equal to a given  $n$  by Eratosthenes' algorithm:
  1. create a list of consecutive integers from 2 to  $n$
  2. let be  $p \leftarrow 2$  the first prime
  3. strike from the list all multiples of  $p$  up to  $n$
  4. let  $p \leftarrow$  next number still in the list after  $p$
  5. if  $2p < n$ , get back to step 3
  6. all remaining numbers in the list are primes


Try it now!



- ▶ A prime number is a natural number which has only two distinct natural divisors: 1 and itself
- ▶ Find all primes less than or equal to a given  $n$  by Eratosthenes' algorithm:
  1. create a list of consecutive integers from 2 to  $n$
  2. let be  $p \leftarrow 2$  the first prime
  3. strike from the list all multiples of  $p$  up to  $n$
  4. let  $p \leftarrow$  next number still in the list after  $p$
  5. if  $2p < n$ , get back to step 3
  6. all remaining numbers in the list are primes

Try it now!


- ▶ How could you spare iterations?
- ▶ How could you spare memory?



## Part III

# Array Syntax and I/O

Multidimensional arrays, array-syntax, array-value function, temporary array, shape, data, reshape, constant array and elemental procedure. Coconstructs where, forall, array reduction. Advanced I/O: formats and descriptors, I/O to/from file, namelist, internal file, unformatted I/O, positioning instructions, stream access. Managing errors.



## Array Syntax

- More dimensions


- Not a Panacea

- Arrays of Constants

- Elemental Procedures

- More Array Syntax

Input/Output



## Array Syntax

**More dimensions**


Not a Panacea

Arrays of Constants

Elemental Procedures

More Array Syntax

Input/Output



```
function avgk(v, k)

    implicit none

    real,intent(in) :: v(:, :)
    integer,intent(in) :: k
    real :: avgk(size(v,1)/k,size(v,2)/k)

    integer :: i, j, n, m


    n = (size(v,1)/k)*k
    m = (size(v,2)/k)*k

    avgk = 0.0

    do j=1, k
        do i=1, k
            avgk = avgk + v(i:n:k,j:m:k)
        end do
    end do

    avgk = avgk/k**2

end function avgk
```



```
function avgk(v, k)

    implicit none

    real,intent(in) :: v(:, :)
    integer,intent(in) :: k
    real :: avgk(size(v,1)/k,size(v,2)/k)

    integer :: i, j, n, m


    n = (size(v,1)/k)*k
    m = (size(v,2)/k)*k

    avgk = 0.0


    do j=1, k
        do i=1, k
            avgk = avgk + v(i:n:k,j:m:k)
        end do
    end do

    avgk = avgk/k**2


end function avgk
```



- ▶ Arrays may have up to 7 dimensions
- ▶ Lower bounds default to 1, but you can specify them as for one-dimensional arrays, like in `q(-k:k, 11:20)`
- ▶ Elements are referenced by a list of indices: `v(1, 1)`
- ▶ The sequence of extents of an array is termed its *shape*, e.g. if `a` is `real :: a(3, 2:5)` then:
  - ▶ `shape(a)` returns the array of extents `(/3, 4/)`
  - ▶ whereas `size(a)` returns `12`
- ▶ Multidimensional (i.e. `rank>1`) arrays and array sections may be involved in array expressions
- ▶ As in the case of rank 1 arrays, they must be conformable when needed:  
`avgk(1:3, :) = avgk(5:9, :)` is wrong




- ▶ Some statements treat the elements of an array one by one in a special order, the *array element order*
  - ▶ obtained by counting most rapidly in the early dimensions
  - ▶ in the natural matrix representation this corresponds to storing the elements by column



a(1,1)	a(2,1)	a(3,1)	a(1,2)	a(2,2)	a(3,2)	a(1,3)	a(2,3)	a(3,3)	a(1,4)	a(2,4)	a(3,4)
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

- ▶ Most implementations actually store arrays in contiguous storage following the array element order
  - ▶ not required by the Standard, though
  - ▶ but crucial wrt performances, a typical optimization topic
- ▶ When dealing with complex data structures, the contiguity issue arises
  - ▶ Fortran 2008 adds the **contiguous** keyword to somehow address it



```
function avgk(v, k)

    implicit none

    real,intent(in) :: v(:, :)
    integer,intent(in) :: k
    real :: avgk(size(v,1)/k,size(v,2)/k)

    integer :: i, j, n, m


    n = (size(v,1)/k)*k
    m = (size(v,2)/k)*k

    avgk = 0.0


    do j=1, k
        do i=1, k
            avgk = avgk + v(i:n:k,j:m:k)
        end do
    end do

    avgk = avgk/k**2


end function avgk
```




- ▶ Yes, a function may return an array
  - ▶ And can be used in array expressions
  - ▶ Its type is defined like any automatic object
  - ▶ It must be assigned values inside the function
  - ▶ No array-sections of the result can be selected on invocation



- ▶ Yes, a function may return an array
  - ▶ And can be used in array expressions
  - ▶ Its type is defined like any automatic object
  - ▶ It must be assigned values inside the function
  - ▶ No array-sections of the result can be selected on invocation
- ▶ An explicit interface is mandatory in the calling program



- ▶ Yes, a function may return an array
  - ▶ And can be used in array expressions
  - ▶ Its type is defined like any automatic object
  - ▶ It must be assigned values inside the function
  - ▶ No array-sections of the result can be selected on invocation
- ▶ An explicit interface is mandatory in the calling program
- ▶ **`size(array, dim)`** returns the integer extent of **`array`** along dimension **`dim`**



```
function avgk(v, k)

    implicit none

    real,intent(in) :: v(:, :)
    integer,intent(in) :: k
    real :: avgk(size(v,1)/k,size(v,2)/k)

    integer :: i, j, n, m


    n = (size(v,1)/k)*k
    m = (size(v,2)/k)*k

    avgk = 0.0

    do j=1, k
        do i=1, k
            avgk = avgk + v(i:n:k,j:m:k)
        end do
    end do


    avgk = avgk/k**2

end function avgk
```




- ▶ Yes, a function may return an array
  - ▶ And can be used in array expressions
  - ▶ Its type is defined like any automatic object
  - ▶ It must be assigned values inside the function
  - ▶ No array-sections of the result can be selected on invocation
- ▶ An explicit interface is mandatory in the calling program
- ▶ **`size(array, dim)`** returns the integer extent of **`array`** along dimension **`dim`**
- ▶ Number of dimensions (a.k.a. rank) is mandatory in assumed shape arrays

## Pay Attention to Conformability



- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax



```
function avgk(v, k)

    implicit none

    real,intent(in) :: v(:, :)
    integer,intent(in) :: k
    real :: avgk(size(v,1)/k,size(v,2)/k)

    integer :: i, j, n, m


    n = (size(v,1)/k)*k
    m = (size(v,2)/k)*k

    avgk = 0.0

    do j=1, k
        do i=1, k
            avgk = avgk + v(i:n:k,j:m:k)
        end do
    end do

    avgk = avgk/k**2

end function avgk
```



```

function avgk(v, k)

  implicit none

  real,intent(in) :: v(:, :)
  integer,intent(in) :: k
  real :: avgk(size(v,1)/k,size(v,2)/k)

  integer :: i, j, n, m

  n = (size(v,1)/k)*k
  m = (size(v,2)/k)*k


  avgk = 0.0

  do j=1, k
    do i=1, k
      avgk = avgk + v(i:n:k,j:m:k)
    end do
  end do

  ! do j=1, k
  ! do i=1, k
  !   do x=1, size(avgk,1)
  !   do y=1, size(avgk,2)
  !     avgk(x,y) = avgk(x,y) + v(i+(x-1)*k,j+(y-1)*k)
  !   end do
  ! end do
  ! end do
  ! end do

  avgk = avgk/k**2

end function avgk
  
```



```

function avgk(v, k)

  implicit none

  real,intent(in) :: v(:, :)
  integer,intent(in) :: k
  real :: avgk(size(v,1)/k,size(v,2)/k)

  integer :: i, j, n, m

  n = (size(v,1)/k)*k
  m = (size(v,2)/k)*k

  avgk = 0.0


  do j=1, k
    do i=1, k
      avgk = avgk + v(i:n:k,j:m:k)
    end do
  end do

  ! do j=1, k
  ! do i=1, k
  !   do x=1, size(avgk,1)
  !   do y=1, size(avgk,2)
  !     avgk(x,y) = avgk(x,y) + v(i+(x-1)*k,j+(y-1)*k)
  !   end do
  ! end do
  ! end do
  ! end do

  avgk = avgk/k**2


end function avgk
  
```

## Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $n$  and  $m$  computed that way?

## Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $n$  and  $m$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:

## Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $n$  and  $m$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:
- ▶ what if  $v$  extents aren't multiple of  $k$ ?

# Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:
- ▶ what if  $\mathbf{v}$  extents aren't multiple of  $\mathbf{k}$ ?
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{i}:\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}:\mathbf{m}:\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mathbf{avgk}$  would not be conformable

# Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:
- ▶ what if  $\mathbf{v}$  extents aren't multiple of  $\mathbf{k}$ ?
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{i}:\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}:\mathbf{m}:\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mathbf{avgk}$  would not be conformable
  - ▶ This cannot be checked at compile time, when shape of  $\mathbf{v}$  and value of  $\mathbf{k}$  are still unknown

# Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:
- ▶ what if  $\mathbf{v}$  extents aren't multiple of  $\mathbf{k}$ ?
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{i}:\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}:\mathbf{m}:\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mathbf{avgk}$  would not be conformable
  - ▶ This cannot be checked at compile time, when shape of  $\mathbf{v}$  and value of  $\mathbf{k}$  are still unknown
  - ▶ Runtime checking is too costly for a performance oriented language

# Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:
- ▶ what if  $\mathbf{v}$  extents aren't multiple of  $\mathbf{k}$ ?
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{i}:\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}:\mathbf{m}:\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mathbf{avgk}$  would not be conformable
  - ▶ This cannot be checked at compile time, when shape of  $\mathbf{v}$  and value of  $\mathbf{k}$  are still unknown
  - ▶ Runtime checking is too costly for a performance oriented language
  - ▶ And out of bounds access could happen

# Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:
- ▶ what if  $\mathbf{v}$  extents aren't multiple of  $\mathbf{k}$ ?
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{i}:\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}:\mathbf{m}:\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mathbf{avgk}$  would not be conformable
  - ▶ This cannot be checked at compile time, when shape of  $\mathbf{v}$  and value of  $\mathbf{k}$  are still unknown
  - ▶ Runtime checking is too costly for a performance oriented language
  - ▶ And out of bounds access could happen
- ▶ Compile time detection of non conformable operands only works in a few cases

# Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:
- ▶ what if  $\mathbf{v}$  extents aren't multiple of  $\mathbf{k}$ ?
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{i}:\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}:\mathbf{m}:\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mathbf{avgk}$  would not be conformable
  - ▶ This cannot be checked at compile time, when shape of  $\mathbf{v}$  and value of  $\mathbf{k}$  are still unknown
  - ▶ Runtime checking is too costly for a performance oriented language
  - ▶ And out of bounds access could happen
- ▶ Compile time detection of non conformable operands only works in a few cases
- ▶ Again, use compiler options for runtime bounds checking

# Pay Attention to Conformability




- ▶ Readability of array syntax may become questionable...  
Try to translate the previous code without using array syntax
- ▶ Why are  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  computed that way?
- ▶ To prevent a problem:
- ▶ what if  $\mathbf{v}$  extents aren't multiple of  $\mathbf{k}$ ?
  - ▶  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{i}:\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}:\mathbf{m}:\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mathbf{avgk}$  would not be conformable
  - ▶ This cannot be checked at compile time, when shape of  $\mathbf{v}$  and value of  $\mathbf{k}$  are still unknown
  - ▶ Runtime checking is too costly for a performance oriented language
  - ▶ And out of bounds access could happen
- ▶ Compile time detection of non conformable operands only works in a few cases
- ▶ Again, use compiler options for runtime bounds checking
- ▶ Again, very slow, only tolerable in debugging



► Good ol' style:

```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  do j=i+1,n
    b(j) = b(j) - A(j,i)*x(i)
  enddo
enddo
```




► Good ol' style:

```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  do j=i+1,n
    b(j) = b(j) - A(j,i)*x(i)
  enddo
enddo
```

► In modern idiom:

```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  b(i+1:n) = b(i+1:n) - A(i+1:n,i)*x(i)
enddo
```




- ▶ Good ol' style:

```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  do j=i+1,n
    b(j) = b(j) - A(j,i)*x(i)
  enddo
enddo
```

- ▶ In modern idiom:

```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  b(i+1:n) = b(i+1:n) - A(i+1:n,i)*x(i)
enddo
```

- ▶ What happens for  $i==n$ ?



▶ Good ol' style:


```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  do j=i+1,n
    b(j) = b(j) - A(j,i)*x(i)
  enddo
enddo
```

▶ In modern idiom:

```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  b(i+1:n) = b(i+1:n) - A(i+1:n,i)*x(i)
enddo
```

▶ What happens for  $i==n$ ?

- ▶ the array section  $b(n+1:n)$  has zero size:  
lower bound > upper bound



▶ Good ol' style:

```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  do j=i+1,n
    b(j) = b(j) - A(j,i)*x(i)
  enddo
enddo
```


▶ In modern idiom:

```
do i=1,n
  x(i) = b(i) / a(i,i)
  b(i+1:n) = b(i+1:n) - A(i+1:n,i)*x(i)
enddo
```

▶ What happens for  $i==n$ ?


- ▶ the array section  $b(n+1:n)$  has zero size:  
lower bound > upper bound
- ▶ No operation is performed

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶ `a(11:20)` specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶ **a (11 : 20)** specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20
- ▶ **a (11 : 20 : 2)** specifies all odd index elements from index 11 to index 19

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶ **a(11:20)** specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20
- ▶ **a(11:20:2)** specifies all odd index elements from index 11 to index 19
- ▶ **a(19:10:-2)** specifies the same elements, but in reverse order

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶ **a(11:20)** specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20
- ▶ **a(11:20:2)** specifies all odd index elements from index 11 to index 19
- ▶ **a(19:10:-2)** specifies the same elements, but in reverse order
- ▶ Thus **b = a(11:20)** takes elements 11th to 20th of **a** and assigns them to **b**

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶  $\mathbf{a(11:20)}$  specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20
- ▶  $\mathbf{a(11:20:2)}$  specifies all odd index elements from index 11 to index 19
- ▶  $\mathbf{a(19:10:-2)}$  specifies the same elements, but in reverse order
- ▶ Thus  $\mathbf{b = a(11:20)}$  takes elements 11th to 20th of  $\mathbf{a}$  and assigns them to  $\mathbf{b}$
- ▶ And  $\mathbf{b = a(20:11:-1)}$  does the same, but elements order is reversed

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶  $\mathbf{a(11:20)}$  specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20
- ▶  $\mathbf{a(11:20:2)}$  specifies all odd index elements from index 11 to index 19
- ▶  $\mathbf{a(19:10:-2)}$  specifies the same elements, but in reverse order
- ▶ Thus  $\mathbf{b = a(11:20)}$  takes elements 11th to 20th of  $\mathbf{a}$  and assigns them to  $\mathbf{b}$
- ▶ And  $\mathbf{b = a(20:11:-1)}$  does the same, but elements order is reversed
- ▶ Remember:  $\mathbf{b}$  and the right hand side expression must be conformable

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶  $\mathbf{a(11:20)}$  specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20
- ▶  $\mathbf{a(11:20:2)}$  specifies all odd index elements from index 11 to index 19
- ▶  $\mathbf{a(19:10:-2)}$  specifies the same elements, but in reverse order
- ▶ Thus  $\mathbf{b = a(11:20)}$  takes elements 11th to 20th of  $\mathbf{a}$  and assigns them to  $\mathbf{b}$
- ▶ And  $\mathbf{b = a(20:11:-1)}$  does the same, but elements order is reversed
- ▶ Remember:  $\mathbf{b}$  and the right hand side expression must be conformable
- ▶ Which in this case implies:

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶ `a(11:20)` specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20
- ▶ `a(11:20:2)` specifies all odd index elements from index 11 to index 19
- ▶ `a(19:10:-2)` specifies the same elements, but in reverse order
- ▶ Thus `b = a(11:20)` takes elements 11th to 20th of `a` and assigns them to `b`
- ▶ And `b = a(20:11:-1)` does the same, but elements order is reversed
- ▶ Remember: `b` and the right hand side expression must be conformable
- ▶ Which in this case implies:
  - ▶ `size(shape(b))` returns 1

# Picking Up Array Elements




- ▶ `a(11:20)` specifies all elements from index 11 to index 20
- ▶ `a(11:20:2)` specifies all odd index elements from index 11 to index 19
- ▶ `a(19:10:-2)` specifies the same elements, but in reverse order
- ▶ Thus `b = a(11:20)` takes elements 11th to 20th of `a` and assigns them to `b`
- ▶ And `b = a(20:11:-1)` does the same, but elements order is reversed
- ▶ Remember: `b` and the right hand side expression must be conformable
- ▶ Which in this case implies:
  - ▶ `size(shape(b))` returns 1
  - ▶ and `size(b)` returns 10




- ▶ In array assignment everything must happen 'as if' the r.h.s. expression is evaluated before assignment

# A Closer Look To Array Expressions




- ▶ In array assignment everything must happen 'as if' the r.h.s. expression is evaluated before assignment
- ▶ To the benefit of performances, this is in many cases unnecessary




- ▶ In array assignment everything must happen ‘as if’ the r.h.s. expression is evaluated before assignment
- ▶ To the benefit of performances, this is in many cases unnecessary
- ▶ But difficult ones exist, like  $\mathbf{x}(2:10) = \mathbf{x}(1:9)$

# A Closer Look To Array Expressions




- ▶ In array assignment everything must happen 'as if' the r.h.s. expression is evaluated before assignment
- ▶ To the benefit of performances, this is in many cases unnecessary
- ▶ But difficult ones exist, like  $\mathbf{x}(2:10) = \mathbf{x}(1:9)$
- ▶ In which  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  may not be assigned  $\mathbf{x}(1)$  value until the existing  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  value is assigned to  $\mathbf{x}(3)$ , which itself...




- ▶ In array assignment everything must happen 'as if' the r.h.s. expression is evaluated before assignment
- ▶ To the benefit of performances, this is in many cases unnecessary
- ▶ But difficult ones exist, like  $\mathbf{x}(2:10) = \mathbf{x}(1:9)$
- ▶ In which  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  may not be assigned  $\mathbf{x}(1)$  value until the existing  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  value is assigned to  $\mathbf{x}(3)$ , which itself...
- ▶ A prudent (lazy?) compiler could add intermediate copies to temporary arrays

# A Closer Look To Array Expressions




- ▶ In array assignment everything must happen 'as if' the r.h.s. expression is evaluated before assignment
- ▶ To the benefit of performances, this is in many cases unnecessary
- ▶ But difficult ones exist, like  $\mathbf{x}(2:10) = \mathbf{x}(1:9)$
- ▶ In which  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  may not be assigned  $\mathbf{x}(1)$  value until the existing  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  value is assigned to  $\mathbf{x}(3)$ , which itself...
- ▶ A prudent (lazy?) compiler could add intermediate copies to temporary arrays
- ▶  $\mathbf{x}(10:2:-1) = \mathbf{x}(9:1:-1)$  is more easily understood by some compilers

# A Closer Look To Array Expressions




- ▶ In array assignment everything must happen 'as if' the r.h.s. expression is evaluated before assignment
- ▶ To the benefit of performances, this is in many cases unnecessary
- ▶ But difficult ones exist, like  $\mathbf{x}(2:10) = \mathbf{x}(1:9)$
- ▶ In which  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  may not be assigned  $\mathbf{x}(1)$  value until the existing  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  value is assigned to  $\mathbf{x}(3)$ , which itself...
- ▶ A prudent (lazy?) compiler could add intermediate copies to temporary arrays
- ▶  $\mathbf{x}(10:2:-1) = \mathbf{x}(9:1:-1)$  is more easily understood by some compilers
- ▶ Array syntax can be very compact and elegant

# A Closer Look To Array Expressions



- ▶ In array assignment everything must happen ‘as if’ the r.h.s. expression is evaluated before assignment
- ▶ To the benefit of performances, this is in many cases unnecessary
- ▶ But difficult ones exist, like  $\mathbf{x}(2:10) = \mathbf{x}(1:9)$
- ▶ In which  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  may not be assigned  $\mathbf{x}(1)$  value until the existing  $\mathbf{x}(2)$  value is assigned to  $\mathbf{x}(3)$ , which itself...
- ▶ A prudent (lazy?) compiler could add intermediate copies to temporary arrays
- ▶  $\mathbf{x}(10:2:-1) = \mathbf{x}(9:1:-1)$  is more easily understood by some compilers
- ▶ Array syntax can be very compact and elegant
- ▶ But temporary copies may impact performance, use your compiler options to spot them



## Array Syntax

More dimensions


**Not a Panacea**

Arrays of Constants

Elemental Procedures

More Array Syntax

Input/Output




```
function trace(matrix)
implicit none
real, intent(in) :: matrix(:, :)
real :: trace
integer :: i
integer :: dim(2)

dim = shape(matrix)
trace = 0.0
if (dim(1) /= dim(2)) return

do i=1,dim(1)
    trace = trace + matrix(i,i)
enddo
end function trace
```


- ▶ Not all operations on arrays can easily be expressed in array syntax
- ▶ Do you remember **shape ()** ? It returns an array whose elements are the extents of its argument



```
subroutine smooth(v, k)
  implicit none
  real,intent(inout) :: v(:)
  integer,intent(in) :: k
  integer :: n, l, i, j
  real :: work(size(v))

  n=size(v)
  l = 2*k +1
  work(1) = 0.0
  do j=1-k,1+k
    work(1) = work(1) + v(1+mod(n-1+j, n))
  enddo
  do i=2,n
    work(i)=work(i-1)+v(1+mod(n-1+i+k, n))-v(1+mod(n-2+i-k, n))
  enddo
  v = work/l
end subroutine smooth
```

- ▶ The above code does the smoothing with minimal operations count
- ▶ And cannot be expressed at all in array syntax
- ▶ This is a quite common situation: optimal algorithms operating on arrays often sport dependencies in elements evaluations and updates



## Array Syntax

More dimensions


Not a Panacea

**Arrays of Constants**

Elemental Procedures

More Array Syntax

Input/Output



! Polynomial approximation of  $J_0(x)$  for  $-3 \leq x \leq 3$   
 ! See Abramowitz&Stegun for details


```

function j0(x)
  implicit none
  real :: j0
  real, intent(in) :: x

  integer, parameter :: order = 6
  real, parameter, dimension(0:order) :: coeff = &
    (/ 1.0000000, &
      -2.2499997, &
       1.2656208, &
      -0.3163866, &
       0.0444479, &
      -0.0039444, &
       0.0002100 /)
  real :: xo3sq
  integer :: i


  xo3sq = (x/3.0)**2
  j0 = coeff(order)

  ! horner method
  do i=order, 1, -1
    j0 = j0*xo3sq + coeff(i-1)
  end do
end function j0
  
```



- ▶ **parameter** arrays are very good at storing tables of:
  - ▶ polynomial coefficients
  - ▶ physical measurements
  - ▶ function values at discrete points
  
- ▶ In the past, **data** statements were used:  

```
data coeff /1.0,-2.2499997,1.2656208,-0.3163866, &  
          0.0444479,-0.0039444,0.0002100/
```
  
- ▶ **data** statements:
  - ▶ are very versatile
  - ▶ very difficult to decipher
  - ▶ and tend to float away from variable declaration
  
- ▶ Use initialization instead



## Array Syntax

More dimensions


Not a Panacea

Arrays of Constants

**Elemental Procedures**

More Array Syntax

Input/Output



```
program array_swap

  implicit none
  integer :: i, j
  real    :: a(0:10,10), b(11,10)

  a=reshape( (/ (i*0.1, i=1,110) /), (/11,10/) )
  b=reshape( (/ ((i*j+i, i=1,11), j=1,10) /), (/11,10/) )
  call swap(a,b)

end program array_swap


subroutine swap(a,b)

  implicit none

  real, intent(inout) :: a(:,:),b(:,:)
  real, dimension(size(a,1),size(a,2)) :: tmp

  tmp = a
  a = b
  b = tmp

end subroutine swap
```



```
program array_swap

  implicit none
  integer :: i, j
  real    :: a(0:10,10), b(11,10)

  a=reshape( (/ (i*0.1, i=1,110) /), (/11,10/) )
  b=reshape( (/ ((i*j+i, i=1,11), j=1,10) /), (/11,10/) )
  call swap(a,b)

end program array_swap


subroutine swap(a,b)

  implicit none


  real, intent(inout) :: a(:,:),b(:,:)
  real, dimension(size(a,1),size(a,2)) :: tmp

  tmp = a
  a = b
  b = tmp

end subroutine swap
```



- ▶ The scope of the implied do loop indices  $i$  and  $j$  is the loop itself
  - ▶ Other variables with same names are unaffected



```
program array_swap

  implicit none
  integer :: i, j
  real    :: a(0:10,10), b(11,10)

  a=reshape( (/ (i*0.1, i=1,110) /), (/11,10/) )
  b=reshape( (/ ((i*j+i, i=1,11), j=1,10) /), (/11,10/) )
  call swap(a,b)

end program array_swap


subroutine swap(a,b)

  implicit none


  real, intent(inout) :: a(:,:),b(:,:)
  real, dimension(size(a,1),size(a,2)) :: tmp

  tmp = a
  a = b
  b = tmp

end subroutine swap
```



- ▶ The scope of the implied do loop indices  $i$  and  $j$  is the loop itself
  - ▶ Other variables with same names are unaffected
- ▶ **reshape** (*source*, *new\_shape*) returns an array with shape given by the rank one integer array *new\_shape*, and elements taken from *source* in array element order



```

program array_swap

  implicit none
  integer :: i, j
  real    :: a(0:10,10), b(11,10)

  interface
    subroutine swap(a,b)
      real, intent(inout)      :: a(:, :), b(:, :)
      real, dimension(size(a,1),size(a,2)) :: tmp
    end subroutine swap
  end interface

  a=reshape( (/ (i*0.1, i=1,110) /), (/11,10/) )
  b=reshape( (/ ((i*j+i, i=1,11), j=1,10) /), (/11,10/) )
  call swap(a,b)

end program array_swap

subroutine swap(a,b)


  implicit none

  real, intent(inout) :: a(:, :),b(:, :)
  real, dimension(size(a,1),size(a,2)) :: tmp


  tmp = a
  a = b
  b = tmp

end subroutine swap


```



- ▶ The scope of the implied do loop indices  $i$  and  $j$  is the loop itself
  - ▶ Other variables with same names are unaffected
- ▶ **reshape** (*source*, *new\_shape*) returns an array with shape given by the rank one integer array *new\_shape*, and elements taken from *source* in array element order
- ▶ Interface is as always mandatory for assumed shape arguments, so the compiler knows that additional information must be passed in to the function



- ▶ The scope of the implied do loop indices  $i$  and  $j$  is the loop itself
  - ▶ Other variables with same names are unaffected
- ▶ **reshape** (*source*, *new\_shape*) returns an array with shape given by the rank one integer array *new\_shape*, and elements taken from *source* in array element order
- ▶ Interface is as always mandatory for assumed shape arguments, so the compiler knows that additional information must be passed in to the function
- ▶ But life can be simpler...



```
program array_swap
  implicit none
  integer :: i, j
  real    :: a(0:10,10), b(11,10)

  interface
    elemental subroutine swap(a,b)
      real, intent(inout) :: a, b
      real                :: tmp
    end subroutine swap
  end interface

  a = reshape( (/ (i*0.1, i=1,110) /), (/11,10/) )
  b = reshape( (/ ((i*j+i, i=1,11), j=1,10) /), (/11,10/) )

  call swap(a,b)


end program array_swap

elemental subroutine swap(a,b)
  implicit none


  real, intent(inout) :: a, b
  real                :: tmp

  tmp = a
  a = b
  b = tmp


end subroutine swap
```




- ▶ Elemental procedures are applied element-wise to arrays (like most intrinsic arithmetic operators and mathematical functions)



- ▶ Elemental procedures are applied element-wise to arrays (like most intrinsic arithmetic operators and mathematical functions)
- ▶ To define one, it has to be pure
  - ▶ If a function, it shall not have side effects of sort (not even **stop!**)
  - ▶ If a subroutine, side effects shall be restricted to **intent (out)** and **intent (inout)** arguments
  - ▶ Of course, a procedure that appears to be pure, but calls a non pure procedure, is not pure at all!
  - ▶ And some more constraints ensure the different procedure calls can be safely executed in any order



- ▶ Elemental procedures are applied element-wise to arrays (like most intrinsic arithmetic operators and mathematical functions)
- ▶ To define one, it has to be pure
  - ▶ If a function, it shall not have side effects of sort (not even **stop!**)
  - ▶ If a subroutine, side effects shall be restricted to **intent (out)** and **intent (inout)** arguments
  - ▶ Of course, a procedure that appears to be pure, but calls a non pure procedure, is not pure at all!
  - ▶ And some more constraints ensure the different procedure calls can be safely executed in any order
- ▶ An explicit interface is mandatory
  - ▶ It must specify the procedure as **elemental**
  - ▶ It must specify **intent ()** attribute for all arguments



## Array Syntax

More dimensions


Not a Panacea

Arrays of Constants

Elemental Procedures


**More Array Syntax**

Input/Output




- ▶ Logical array expressions like `a (: ) > 0 . 0` are often termed *masks*

## Masks and **where**



- ▶ Logical array expressions like  $\mathbf{a}(:,) > 0.0$  are often termed *masks*
- ▶ They come useful to restrict computations to specific array elements, as in the **where** statement:  
**where (abs(a) > abs(b)) a = b**  
the elemental assignment is evaluated only on elements satisfying the condition



- ▶ Logical array expressions like  $\mathbf{a}(:,) > 0.0$  are often termed *masks*

- ▶ They come useful to restrict computations to specific array elements, as in the **where** statement:

```
where (abs(a) > abs(b)) a = b
```

the elemental assignment is evaluated only on elements satisfying the condition

- ▶ The general form is the **where** construct


```
where (abs(a) > abs(b))
```

```
  c=b
```

```
elsewhere
```

```
  c=a
```

```
end where
```



- ▶ Logical array expressions like  $\mathbf{a}(:,) > 0.0$  are often termed *masks*

- ▶ They come useful to restrict computations to specific array elements, as in the **where** statement:

```
where (abs(a) > abs(b)) a = b
```

the elemental assignment is evaluated only on elements satisfying the condition

- ▶ The general form is the **where** construct

```
where (abs(a) > abs(b))
```


```
  c=b
```

```
elsewhere
```

```
  c=a
```

```
end where
```

- ▶ Pay attention if you use non elemental functions in a **where**, you could be in for a surprise!



- ▶ Logical array expressions like  $\mathbf{a}(:,) > 0.0$  are often termed *masks*

- ▶ They come useful to restrict computations to specific array elements, as in the **where** statement:

```
where (abs(a) > abs(b)) a = b
```

the elemental assignment is evaluated only on elements satisfying the condition

- ▶ The general form is the **where** construct

```
where (abs(a) > abs(b))
```

```
  c=b
```


```
elsewhere
```

```
  c=a
```

```
end where
```

- ▶ Pay attention if you use non elemental functions in a **where**, you could be in for a surprise!
- ▶ **where** constructs can be nested and given a name


# Say it With `forall`s



- ▶ `forall` allows writing array assignments which cannot be expressed with array expressions:

```
forall(i = 1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)**2
```

# Say it With `forall`s




- ▶ `forall` allows writing array assignments which cannot be expressed with array expressions:

```
forall(i = 1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)**2
```

- ▶ `forall` also accepts masks:

```
forall(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, y(i,j)/=0.) x(j,i) = 1.0/y(i,j)
```

## Say it With `forall`s



- ▶ `forall` allows writing array assignments which cannot be expressed with array expressions:

```
forall(i = 1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)**2
```

- ▶ `forall` also accepts masks:


```
forall(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, y(i,j)/=0.) x(j,i) = 1.0/y(i,j)
```

- ▶ In its construct form, it looks like:

```
forall(i = 2:n-1, j = 2:n-1)  
  a(i,j) = a(i,j-1) + a(i,j+1) + a(i-1,j) + a(i+1,j)  
  b(i,j) = a(i,j)  
end forall
```

It works like array assignments:

## Say it With `forall`s



- ▶ `forall` allows writing array assignments which cannot be expressed with array expressions:

```
forall(i = 1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)**2
```

- ▶ `forall` also accepts masks:

```
forall(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, y(i,j)/=0.) x(j,i) = 1.0/y(i,j)
```


- ▶ In its construct form, it looks like:

```
forall(i = 2:n-1, j = 2:n-1)  
  a(i,j) = a(i,j-1) + a(i,j+1) + a(i-1,j) + a(i+1,j)  
  b(i,j) = a(i,j)  
end forall
```

It works like array assignments:

- ▶ Unlike `do`, there is no ordering of iterations, and changes appear as they were deferred

## Say it With `forall`s



- ▶ `forall` allows writing array assignments which cannot be expressed with array expressions:

```
forall(i = 1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)**2
```

- ▶ `forall` also accepts masks:

```
forall(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, y(i,j)/=0.) x(j,i) = 1.0/y(i,j)
```


- ▶ In its construct form, it looks like:

```
forall(i = 2:n-1, j = 2:n-1)  
  a(i,j) = a(i,j-1) + a(i,j+1) + a(i-1,j) + a(i+1,j)  
  b(i,j) = a(i,j)  
end forall
```

It works like array assignments:

- ▶ Unlike `do`, there is no ordering of iterations, and changes appear as they were deferred
- ▶ Thus, no conflicts between reads and writes to `a`

## Say it With `forall`s



- ▶ `forall` allows writing array assignments which cannot be expressed with array expressions:

```
forall(i = 1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)**2
```

- ▶ `forall` also accepts masks:

```
forall(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, y(i,j)/=0.) x(j,i) = 1.0/y(i,j)
```


- ▶ In its construct form, it looks like:

```
forall(i = 2:n-1, j = 2:n-1)  
  a(i,j) = a(i,j-1) + a(i,j+1) + a(i-1,j) + a(i+1,j)  
  b(i,j) = a(i,j)  
end forall
```

It works like array assignments:

- ▶ Unlike `do`, there is no ordering of iterations, and changes appear as they were deferred
- ▶ Thus, no conflicts between reads and writes to `a`
- ▶ Assignment to `b(i,j)` takes place after that to `a(i,j)`

# Say it With `forall`s



- ▶ `forall` allows writing array assignments which cannot be expressed with array expressions:

```
forall(i = 1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)**2
```

- ▶ `forall` also accepts masks:

```
forall(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, y(i,j)/=0.) x(j,i) = 1.0/y(i,j)
```


- ▶ In its construct form, it looks like:

```
forall(i = 2:n-1, j = 2:n-1)  
  a(i,j) = a(i,j-1) + a(i,j+1) + a(i-1,j) + a(i+1,j)  
  b(i,j) = a(i,j)  
end forall
```

It works like array assignments:

- ▶ Unlike `do`, there is no ordering of iterations, and changes appear as they were deferred
- ▶ Thus, no conflicts between reads and writes to `a`
- ▶ Assignment to `b(i,j)` takes place after that to `a(i,j)`
- ▶ Referenced procedures must be pure

## Say it With `forall`s



- ▶ `forall` allows writing array assignments which cannot be expressed with array expressions:

```
forall(i = 1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)**2
```

- ▶ `forall` also accepts masks:


```
forall(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, y(i,j)/=0.) x(j,i) = 1.0/y(i,j)
```

- ▶ In its construct form, it looks like:

```
forall(i = 2:n-1, j = 2:n-1)  
  a(i,j) = a(i,j-1) + a(i,j+1) + a(i-1,j) + a(i+1,j)  
  b(i,j) = a(i,j)  
end forall
```


It works like array assignments:

- ▶ Unlike `do`, there is no ordering of iterations, and changes appear as they were deferred
- ▶ Thus, no conflicts between reads and writes to `a`
- ▶ Assignment to `b(i,j)` takes place after that to `a(i,j)`
- ▶ Referenced procedures must be pure
- ▶ `forall` constructs can be nested and given a name



- Using `do` loops (dependencies! loop order is crucial)

```
do j=2,n-1
  do i=2,n-1
    T(i,j) = ( T(i-1,j) + T(i+1,j) + &
              T(i,j-1) + T(i,j+1) )/4.0
  enddo
enddo
```




- Using `do` loops (dependencies! loop order is crucial)

```
do j=2,n-1
  do i=2,n-1
    T(i,j) = ( T(i-1,j) + T(i+1,j) + &
              T(i,j-1) + T(i,j+1) )/4.0
  enddo
enddo
```

- Using array syntax (compiler enforces correct semantics)

```
T(2:n-1,2:n-1) = ( T(1:n-2,2:n-1) + T(3:n,2:n-1) &
                  + T(2:n-1,1:n-2) + T(2:n-1,3:n) )/4.0
```



- ▶ Using **do** loops (dependencies! loop order is crucial)


```
do j=2,n-1
  do i=2,n-1
    T(i,j) = ( T(i-1,j) + T(i+1,j) + &
              T(i,j-1) + T(i,j+1) )/4.0
  enddo
enddo
```

- ▶ Using array syntax (compiler enforces correct semantics)

```
T(2:n-1,2:n-1) = ( T(1:n-2,2:n-1) + T(3:n,2:n-1) &
                  + T(2:n-1,1:n-2) + T(2:n-1,3:n) )/4.0
```


- ▶ Using **forall** (ditto, but more readable)

```
forall (i=2:n-1, j=2:n-1)
  T(i,j) = ( T(i-1,j) + T(i+1,j) + &
            T(i,j-1) + T(i,j+1) )/4.0
end forall
```




```

integer, parameter :: maxn=768, maxm=939, R=3
real, parameter :: sd=10.0, sr=10.0
real, parameter :: sd22=2.0*sd**2, sr22=2.0*sr**2
integer :: i, j, m, n
real :: B(maxn,maxm), A(maxn,maxm)
real :: z(-R:R,-R:R), aw(-R:R,-R:R)
real, dimension(-R:R,-R:R), parameter :: z0=&
  reshape(/ ((exp(-(m**2 + n**2)/sr22), m=-R, R), n=-R, R) /), (/ 2*R+1, 2*R+1 /))
...
do i=1,maxn      ! These two cannot be changed into forall
  do j=1,maxm    ! Why?
    z = 0.0
    forall (m=max(1,i-R):min(maxn,i+R))
      forall (n=max(1,j-R):min(maxm,j+R))
        aw(m-i,n-j) = A(m,n)
        z(m-i,n-j) = exp(-(aw(m-i,n-j)-A(i,j))**2/sd22)*z0(m-i,n-j)
      end forall
    end forall
    B(i,j) = sum(z*aw)/sum(z)
  end do
end do
  
```




```


integer, parameter :: maxn=768, maxm=939, R=3
real, parameter :: sd=10.0, sr=10.0
real, parameter :: sd22=2.0*sd**2, sr22=2.0*sr**2
integer :: i, j, m, n
real :: B(maxn,maxm), A(maxn,maxm)
real :: z(-R:R,-R:R), aw(-R:R,-R:R)
real, dimension(-R:R,-R:R), parameter :: z0=&
  reshape(/ ((exp(-(m**2 + n**2)/sr22), m=-R, R), n=-R,R) /), (/ 2*R+1, 2*R+1 /))
...
do i=1,maxn      ! These two cannot be changed into forall
  do j=1,maxm    ! Why?
    z = 0.0      ! Because this happens at every iteration, it's a dependency!
    forall (m=max(1,i-R):min(maxn,i+R))
      forall (n=max(1,j-R):min(maxm,j+R))
        aw(m-i,n-j) = A(m,n)
        z(m-i,n-j) = exp(-(aw(m-i,n-j)-A(i,j))**2/sd22)*z0(m-i,n-j)
      end forall
    end forall
    B(i,j) = sum(z*aw)/sum(z)
  end do
end do
  
```




- ▶ Reductions squeeze an array to a scalar
  - ▶ **all** (*mask*) returns true if all the elements of mask are true
  - ▶ **any** (*mask*) returns true if any of the elements of mask are true
  - ▶ **count** (*mask*) returns the number of `.true.` elements in mask
  - ▶ **maxval** (*array*) returns the maximum value of array
  - ▶ **minval** (*array*) returns the minimum value of array
  - ▶ **sum** (*array*) returns the sum of the elements of array
  - ▶ **product** (*array*) returns the product of the elements of array
- ▶ Or to an array of rank reduced by one, if you specify an optional dimension to perform reduction along, like in `sum(a(:, :, :), dim=2)`




- ▶ More functions, good to know:
  - ▶ **maxloc ()** and **minloc ()** return locations of maximum and minimum value respectively
  - ▶ **cshift ()** performs a *circular* shift along an array dimension
  - ▶ **eoshift ()** perform a *end-off* shift along an array dimension
  - ▶ **spread ()** increases by one the rank of an array expression
  - ▶ **pack ()** selects elements from an array according to a mask and packs them in a rank-1 array
  - ▶ And **unpack ()** does the reverse
- ▶ But too much detail to cover in this introduction, look for them on your compiler documentation, and experiment



- ▶ Vector and matrix multiplication functions
  - ▶ `dot_product(vector_a, vector_b)`
  - ▶ `matmul(matrix_a, matrix_b)`
- ▶ But the BLAS libraries are around
  - ▶ Widely used
  - ▶ Highly optimized implementations available
- ▶ Outstanding compilers include special purpose, optimized BLAS version for those calls
- ▶ Good compilers do not include BLAS, but give option to link them for those calls
- ▶ Average compilers do not shine for those calls
- ▶ Our advice: install a reputedly good BLAS version and use it
- ▶ There is more to matrix algebra than matrix multiplies and vector products



- ▶ Re-write the Sieve of Eratosthenes algorithm using array syntax



## Array Syntax

## Input/Output

- Formatted I/O


- File I/O

- Namelist

- Internal Files

- Unformatted I/O

- Robust I/O



Array Syntax

Input/Output

**Formatted I/O**


File I/O

Namelist


Internal Files

Unformatted I/O

Robust I/O



- ▶ Data are manipulated in internal (usually binary) format
- ▶ Fortran Standard leaves internal format details up to the implementation
- ▶ Formatted I/O translates internal representation of variables into human readable format
- ▶ Best practices:
  - ▶ Use formatted I/O just for small amount of data meant to be read by humans
  - ▶ Beware: human readable representation may cause problems because of rounding or not enough digits
  - ▶ Do not use I/O inside heavy computations: inhibits some code optimizations, and significantly affects performance




```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter

write(*,*) 'Enter start value, tol, max iterations'
read(*,*) phi_start, tol, max_iter

phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

write(*,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(*,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(*,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(*,100) 'Final value:',phi

100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```




```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter

write(*,*) 'Enter start value, tol, max iterations'
read(*,*) phi_start, tol, max_iter


phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

write(*,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(*,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(*,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(*,100) 'Final value:',phi


100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```



- ▶ The easiest way to do formatted I/O
- ▶ Specified using `*`
- ▶ Values are translated according to their types
- ▶ In the order they are listed on I/O statements
- ▶ No-nonsense, implementation dependent format
- ▶ Often outputs more digits than you actually care of
- ▶ Best practices:
  - ▶ Use it for terminal input
  - ▶ Use it for input of white-space separated values
  - ▶ Use it for quick output
  - ▶ Not suitable for rigid tabular formats



- ▶ Put you in total control of what is read/written



```

program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
  real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
  real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
  integer :: i, max_iter


  write(*,*) 'Enter start value, tol, max iterations'
  read(*,*) phi_start, tol, max_iter

  phi_old = phi_start
  do i=1,max_iter
    phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
    if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
    phi_old = phi
  end do


  write(*,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
  write(*,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
  write(*,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
  write(*,100) 'Final value:',phi

100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
  
```


# Explicit formats



- ▶ Put you in total control of what is read/written
- ▶ Specified by (*format-list*)



- ▶ Put you in total control of what is read/written
- ▶ Specified by (*format-list*)
- ▶ Where *format-list* is a comma separated list of items, which can be:
  - ▶ string literals, usually in double quotes, emitted *as-is*
  - ▶ or proper *edit descriptors*, which dictate how a corresponding element on the I/O list should be converted




```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter

write(*,*) 'Enter start value, tol, max iterations'
read(*,*) phi_start, tol, max_iter


phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

write(*,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(*,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(*,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(*,100) 'Final value:',phi

100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```



- ▶ Put you in total control of what is read/written
- ▶ Specified by (*format-list*)
- ▶ Where *format-list* is a comma separated list of items, which can be:
  - ▶ string literals, usually in double quotes, emitted *as-is*
  - ▶ or proper *edit descriptors*, which dictate how a corresponding element on the I/O list should be converted
- ▶ *Repeat counts* can be used
  - ▶ Like in `5I3`, which will convert 5 **integer** values
  - ▶ Like in `2(I3,F7.4)`, which will convert 2 pairs, each made of an **integer** and a **real** value




```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter

write(*,*) 'Enter start value, tol, max iterations'
read(*,*) phi_start, tol, max_iter


phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

write(*,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(*,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(*,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(*,100) 'Final value:',phi


100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```




- ▶ Put you in total control of what is read/written
- ▶ Specified by (*format-list*)
- ▶ Where *format-list* is a comma separated list of items, which can be:
  - ▶ string literals, usually in double quotes, emitted *as-is*
  - ▶ or proper *edit descriptors*, which dictate how a corresponding element on the I/O list should be converted
- ▶ *Repeat counts* can be used
  - ▶ Like in **5I3**, which will convert 5 **integer** values
  - ▶ Like in **2(I3,F7.4)**, which will convert 2 pairs, each made of an **integer** and a **real** value
- ▶ Formats must be specified on I/O statements
  - ▶ As a literal string, usually in single quotes
  - ▶ As a character expression
  - ▶ As a numeric label of a **format** statement in the same program unit (traditionally, before its end), reusable in many statements



- ▶ **A** is used to translate **character** values
  - ▶ **A** will emit the value as is
  - ▶ **A10** will emit 10 characters, truncating the value if longer, right justifying it if shorter
  - ▶ Beware: leading white-space skipped on input
  - ▶ Beware: **A10** and **10A** mean very different things!




- ▶ **A** is used to translate **character** values
  - ▶ **A** will emit the value as is
  - ▶ **A10** will emit 10 characters, truncating the value if longer, right justifying it if shorter
  - ▶ Beware: leading white-space skipped on input
  - ▶ Beware: **A10** and **10A** mean very different things!
  
- ▶ **I** is used to translate **integer** values
  - ▶ **I6** will emit up to 6 characters (sign included!), right justified with blanks
  - ▶ **I6.3** will emit 6 characters (sign included!), containing at least 3 (possibly zero) digits, right justified with blanks
  - ▶ Beware: again, **I10** and **10I** mean very different things!




- ▶ `F` can be used to translate `real` values
  - ▶ `F8.3` will emit up to 8 characters (sign and decimal point included!) in total, with 3 decimal digits (possibly zero), right justified with blanks
  - ▶ Beware: if `F6.2` is specified in input, and `-12345` is met, the value `-123.45` will be read in!
  - ▶ Beware: if `F6.2` is specified in input, and `-1.234` is met, the value `-1.234` will be read in anyhow!
- ▶ Beware of rounding: internal representation could have more precision than specified in edit descriptors


# More Edit Descriptors for `real`s




- ▶ **E** (or **D**) can also be used to translate `real` values
  - ▶ Exponential form is used (mantissa in the  $[0,1)$  range)
  - ▶ Values  $|x| < 10^{99}$ , as  $-1.5372 \times 10^{98}$ , will be converted like:  
**-.15372E+99**
  - ▶ Values  $|x| \geq 10^{99}$ , as  $-1.5372 \times 10^{99}$ , will be converted like:  
**-.15372+100**
  - ▶ **E15.7** will emit up to 15 characters (sign, decimal point, and exponent field included!), with 7 decimal mantissa digits (possibly zero), right justified with blanks
  - ▶ Ditto for **E15.7E4**, except that 4 digits will be used for exponent
  - ▶ Again, input is more liberal




- ▶ **E** (or **D**) can also be used to translate `real` values
  - ▶ Exponential form is used (mantissa in the  $[0,1)$  range)
  - ▶ Values  $|x| < 10^{99}$ , as  $-1.5372 \times 10^{98}$ , will be converted like:  
**-.15372E+99**
  - ▶ Values  $|x| \geq 10^{99}$ , as  $-1.5372 \times 10^{99}$ , will be converted like:  
**-.15372+100**
  - ▶ **E15.7** will emit up to 15 characters (sign, decimal point, and exponent field included!), with 7 decimal mantissa digits (possibly zero), right justified with blanks
  - ▶ Ditto for **E15.7E4**, except that 4 digits will be used for exponent
  - ▶ Again, input is more liberal
- ▶ And more can be used to the same purpose
  - ▶ Like **EN** (engineering notation), same as **E**, with exponent always multiple of 3
  - ▶ Like **G**, which uses the most suitable between **F** and **E**, depending on the value magnitude




- ▶ /
  - ▶ Forces a new line on output
  - ▶ Skips to next line on input




- ▶ /
  - ▶ Forces a new line on output
  - ▶ Skips to next line on input
- ▶ Leading sign of numeric values
  - ▶ **SP** forces following numeric conversions to emit a leading + character for positive values
  - ▶ **SS** restores the default (sign is suppressed for positive values)




- ▶ /
  - ▶ Forces a new line on output
  - ▶ Skips to next line on input
  
- ▶ Leading sign of numeric values
  - ▶ **SP** forces following numeric conversions to emit a leading + character for positive values
  - ▶ **SS** restores the default (sign is suppressed for positive values)
  
- ▶ Embedded blanks in numeric input fields
  - ▶ **BZ** forces embedded blanks to be treated as 0 digits
  - ▶ **BN** restores the default (blanks are skipped)



- ▶ /
  - ▶ Forces a new line on output
  - ▶ Skips to next line on input
  
- ▶ Leading sign of numeric values
  - ▶ **SP** forces following numeric conversions to emit a leading + character for positive values
  - ▶ **SS** restores the default (sign is suppressed for positive values)
  
- ▶ Embedded blanks in numeric input fields
  - ▶ **BZ** forces embedded blanks to be treated as 0 digits
  - ▶ **BN** restores the default (blanks are skipped)
  
- ▶ And more... browse your compiler manuals




- ▶ **complex** values are made of two reals
  - ▶ Thus two edit descriptors must be provided
  - ▶ First one for real part, second one for imaginary part




- ▶ **complex** values are made of two reals
  - ▶ Thus two edit descriptors must be provided
  - ▶ First one for real part, second one for imaginary part
- ▶ Arrays are indexed collections of elements
  - ▶ Thus a proper edit descriptor must be provided for each element
  - ▶ And if elements are of **complex**, or derived types, see above

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed

- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?




# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed




- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed




- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed




- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?
  - ▶ Following edit descriptors are ignored

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed



- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?
  - ▶ Following edit descriptors are ignored
- ▶ What if the list of edit descriptors in a format is exhausted before end of items to read/write?

# Iterative Matrix Inversion



```

program iterative_inversion
! experiments with matrix iterative inversion
implicit none
real, dimension(4,4) :: a, x, x_old, x_start
real :: tol, err
integer :: i, max_iter


write(*,*) 'Enter 4x4 matrix to invert'
read(*,*) a
write(*,*) 'Enter 4x4 start matrix'
read(*,*) x_start
write(*,*) 'Enter tol, max iterations'
read(*,*) tol, max_iter

x_old = x_start
do i=1,max_iter
    x = 2.0*x_old - matmul(x_old,matmul(a,x_old))
    err = maxval(abs(x - x_old))
    if (err < tol) exit
    x_old = x
end do


write(*,('("Matrix to invert:")'))
write(*,100) a
write(*,('/',,"Start matrix:")')
write(*,100) x_start
write(*,('/',,A," ",E15.7)') 'Tolerance:',tol
write(*,('/',,2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(*,('("Final matrix:")'))
write(*,100) x

100 format(4(E15.7," "))
end program iterative_inversion
    
```

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed




- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?
  - ▶ Following edit descriptors are ignored
- ▶ What if the list of edit descriptors in a format is exhausted before end of items to read/write?
  - ▶ Easy answer: I/O continues on a new line, reapplying the format list from its beginning, quite handy for arrays




- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?
  - ▶ Following edit descriptors are ignored
- ▶ What if the list of edit descriptors in a format is exhausted before end of items to read/write?
  - ▶ Easy answer: I/O continues on a new line, reapplying the format list from its beginning, quite handy for arrays
  - ▶ Could be more complex, look for *reversion* to know more

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed




- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?
  - ▶ Following edit descriptors are ignored
- ▶ What if the list of edit descriptors in a format is exhausted before end of items to read/write?
  - ▶ Easy answer: I/O continues on a new line, reapplying the format list from its beginning, quite handy for arrays
  - ▶ Could be more complex, look for *reversion* to know more
- ▶ What if a numeric value is too big to fit the characters you specified on its corresponding edit descriptor?

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed




- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?
  - ▶ Following edit descriptors are ignored
- ▶ What if the list of edit descriptors in a format is exhausted before end of items to read/write?
  - ▶ Easy answer: I/O continues on a new line, reapplying the format list from its beginning, quite handy for arrays
  - ▶ Could be more complex, look for *reversion* to know more
- ▶ What if a numeric value is too big to fit the characters you specified on its corresponding edit descriptor?
  - ▶ The field is filled with asterisks (i.e. `*`)

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed




- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?
  - ▶ Following edit descriptors are ignored
- ▶ What if the list of edit descriptors in a format is exhausted before end of items to read/write?
  - ▶ Easy answer: I/O continues on a new line, reapplying the format list from its beginning, quite handy for arrays
  - ▶ Could be more complex, look for *reversion* to know more
- ▶ What if a numeric value is too big to fit the characters you specified on its corresponding edit descriptor?
  - ▶ The field is filled with asterisks (i.e. `*`)
- ▶ What if a type mismatch happens between an item to read/write and its corresponding edit descriptor?

# Fortran I/O is Robustly Designed



- ▶ What if more characters than needed are present on an input line?
  - ▶ After `read`, remaining ones are ignored up to end of line
- ▶ What if the list of items to read/write is exhausted before end of edit descriptors in a format?
  - ▶ Following edit descriptors are ignored
- ▶ What if the list of edit descriptors in a format is exhausted before end of items to read/write?
  - ▶ Easy answer: I/O continues on a new line, reapplying the format list from its beginning, quite handy for arrays
  - ▶ Could be more complex, look for *reversion* to know more
- ▶ What if a numeric value is too big to fit the characters you specified on its corresponding edit descriptor?
  - ▶ The field is filled with asterisks (i.e. `*`)
- ▶ What if a type mismatch happens between an item to read/write and its corresponding edit descriptor?
  - ▶ Your fault, you are in for a runtime, implementation defined surprise!



Array Syntax

Input/Output

Formatted I/O


**File I/O**

Namelist

Internal Files

Unformatted I/O

Robust I/O




```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter

open(11,FILE='golden.in',STATUS='old')
read(11,*) phi_start, tol, max_iter
close(11)

phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

open(12,FILE='golden.out')
write(12,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(12,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(12,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(12,100) 'Final value:',phi
close(12)

100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```



```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter


open(11,FILE='golden.in',STATUS='old')
read(11,*) phi_start, tol, max_iter
close(11)

phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

open(12,FILE='golden.out')
write(12,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(12,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(12,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(12,100) 'Final value:',phi
close(12)

100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```


## opening a File for I/O



```
open (u, FILE=file_name[, option][, option][...])
```

- ▶ *u* is an integer, positive expression specifying a *file handle*


## opening a File for I/O



```
open (u, FILE=file_name[, option][, option][...])
```


- ▶ ***u*** is an integer, positive expression specifying a *file handle*
- ▶ ***file\_name*** is a string specifying file name (and possibly path) in your file system

## opening a File for I/O




```
open (u, FILE=file_name[, option][, option][...])
```

- ▶ *u* is an integer, positive expression specifying a *file handle*
- ▶ *file\_name* is a string specifying file name (and possibly path) in your file system
- ▶ *file handle* is then used as first argument to **read** and **write**




```
open (u, FILE=file_name[, option][, option][...])
```

- ▶ *u* is an integer, positive expression specifying a *file handle*
- ▶ *file\_name* is a string specifying file name (and possibly path) in your file system
- ▶ *file handle* is then used as first argument to **read** and **write**
  - ▶ When you pass a **\*** instead, you are using pre-opened units mapping to user terminal




```
open (u, FILE=file_name[, option][, option][...])
```

- ▶ *u* is an integer, positive expression specifying a *file handle*
- ▶ *file\_name* is a string specifying file name (and possibly path) in your file system
- ▶ *file handle* is then used as first argument to **read** and **write**
  - ▶ When you pass a **\*** instead, you are using pre-opened units mapping to user terminal
  - ▶ Which usually means **5** for **read** and **6** for **write**, but **\***, or **input\_unit** and **output\_unit** from **iso\_fortran\_env** Fortran 2003 module are more portable




```
open (u, FILE=file_name[, option][, option][...])
```


- ▶ *u* is an integer, positive expression specifying a *file handle*
- ▶ *file\_name* is a string specifying file name (and possibly path) in your file system
- ▶ *file handle* is then used as first argument to **read** and **write**
  - ▶ When you pass a **\*** instead, you are using pre-opened units mapping to user terminal
  - ▶ Which usually means **5** for **read** and **6** for **write**, but **\***, or **input\_unit** and **output\_unit** from **iso\_fortran\_env** Fortran 2003 module are more portable
  - ▶ For error messages, **0** is commonly used, but **error\_unit** from **iso\_fortran\_env** module is portable




- ▶ **ACTION=act** specifies allowed actions
  - ▶ use '**read**' to only read
  - ▶ use '**write**' to only write
  - ▶ use '**readwrite**' (the default) to allow both



- ▶ **ACTION=act** specifies allowed actions
  - ▶ use '**read**' to only read
  - ▶ use '**write**' to only write
  - ▶ use '**readwrite**' (the default) to allow both
- ▶ **STATUS=st** tells how to behave wrt file existence:
  - ▶ use '**old**' to open a file that must already exist
  - ▶ use '**new**' to open a file that must not exist
  - ▶ use '**replace**' to open a new file, even if one already exists
  - ▶ use '**unknown**' (the default) to leave it up to the implementation (in all cases we know of, this means '**replace**')



- ▶ **ACTION=act** specifies allowed actions
  - ▶ use '**read**' to only read
  - ▶ use '**write**' to only write
  - ▶ use '**readwrite**' (the default) to allow both
- ▶ **STATUS=st** tells how to behave wrt file existence:
  - ▶ use '**old**' to open a file that must already exist
  - ▶ use '**new**' to open a file that must not exist
  - ▶ use '**replace**' to open a new file, even if one already exists
  - ▶ use '**unknown**' (the default) to leave it up to the implementation (in all cases we know of, this means '**replace**')
- ▶ **POSITION=pos** tells where to start I/O on an existing file
  - ▶ use '**rewind**' (the default) to start at beginning of file
  - ▶ use '**append**' to start at end of file




```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter

open(11,FILE='golden.in',STATUS='old')
read(11,*) phi_start, tol, max_iter
close(11)

phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do


open(12,FILE='golden.out')
write(12,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(12,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(12,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(12,100) 'Final value:',phi
close(12)

100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```




`close (u[, STATUS=st])`

- ▶ `close` completes all pending I/O operations and disassociates the file from the unit




`close (u[, STATUS=st])`

- ▶ `close` completes all pending I/O operations and disassociates the file from the unit
- ▶ `close` is automatically executed on all open files at program end, but closing a file explicitly when you are done with it is a good practice




`close (u[, STATUS=st])`

- ▶ `close` completes all pending I/O operations and disassociates the file from the unit
- ▶ `close` is automatically executed on all open files at program end, but closing a file explicitly when you are done with it is a good practice
- ▶ `st` tells what to do with the file after closing it
  - ▶ use '`keep`' to preserve the file (it's the default)
  - ▶ use '`delete`' to remove it (good for files used for temporary storage)



- ▶ Play with `golden.f90`:
  - ▶ trying good and bad inputs
  - ▶ giving less or more inputs than needed
  - ▶ changing format descriptors
  - ▶ try to understand the error message
  
- ▶ Homework: play with `itinv.f90`:
  - ▶ trying good and bad inputs
  - ▶ giving less or more inputs than needed
  - ▶ changing format descriptors
  - ▶ try to understand the error message



Array Syntax

Input/Output

Formatted I/O


File I/O

**Namelist**

Internal Files

Unformatted I/O

Robust I/O



```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter


namelist /golden_inputs/ phi_start, tol, max_iter

open(11,FILE='golden.in',STATUS='old')
read(11,golden_inputs)
close(11)

phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

open(12,FILE='golden.out')
write(12,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(12,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(12,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(12,100) 'Final value:',phi
close(12)

100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```



```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter


namelist /golden_inputs/ phi_start, tol, max_iter

open(11,FILE='golden.in',STATUS='old')
read(11,golden_inputs)
close(11)


phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

open(12,FILE='golden.out')
write(12,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(12,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(12,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(12,100) 'Final value:',phi
close(12)


100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```



- ▶ **namelists** allow input/output of annotated lists of values




- ▶ **namelists** allow input/output of annotated lists of values
- ▶ Performed by **read** or **write** statements that do not have an I/O list and in which format is replaced by a namelist name



- ▶ **namelists** allow input/output of annotated lists of values
- ▶ Performed by **read** or **write** statements that do not have an I/O list and in which format is replaced by a namelist name
- ▶ File content is structured, self-describing, order independent, comments are allowed:


```
&golden_inputs  
tol=1.e-4      ! tolerance  
phi_start=5.0 ! 0th iteration  
max_iter=1000000 /
```



- ▶ **namelists** allow input/output of annotated lists of values
- ▶ Performed by **read** or **write** statements that do not have an I/O list and in which format is replaced by a namelist name
- ▶ File content is structured, self-describing, order independent, comments are allowed:

```
&golden_inputs  
tol=1.e-4      ! tolerance  
phi_start=5.0 ! 0th iteration  
max_iter=1000000 /
```


- ▶ Items missing in the input will retain previous value



- ▶ **namelists** allow input/output of annotated lists of values
- ▶ Performed by **read** or **write** statements that do not have an I/O list and in which format is replaced by a namelist name
- ▶ File content is structured, self-describing, order independent, comments are allowed:

```
&golden_inputs  
tol=1.e-4      ! tolerance  
phi_start=5.0 ! 0th iteration  
max_iter=1000000 /
```


- ▶ Items missing in the input will retain previous value
- ▶ Items can be added to a namelist in different statements, but a code like this easily misleads readers (and you read your own codes, don't you?)



- ▶ **namelists** allow input/output of annotated lists of values
- ▶ Performed by **read** or **write** statements that do not have an I/O list and in which format is replaced by a namelist name
- ▶ File content is structured, self-describing, order independent, comments are allowed:

```
&golden_inputs  
tol=1.e-4      ! tolerance  
phi_start=5.0 ! 0th iteration  
max_iter=1000000 /
```

- ▶ Items missing in the input will retain previous value
- ▶ Items can be added to a namelist in different statements, but a code like this easily misleads readers (and you read your own codes, don't you?)
- ▶ Use them to make input robust, in output mostly good for debugging



Array Syntax

Input/Output

Formatted I/O


File I/O

Namelist

**Internal Files**

Unformatted I/O

Robust I/O



```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter, test_no
character(15) :: outfilename

namelist /golden_inputs/ phi_start, tol, max_iter, test_no


test_no = 1
open(11,FILE='golden.in',STATUS='old')
read(11,golden_inputs)
close(11)

phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
  phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
  if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
  phi_old = phi
end do

write(outfilename,'("golden",I5.5,".out")') test_no
open(12,FILE=outfilename)
write(12,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(12,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(12,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(12,100) 'Final value:',phi
close(12)

100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```

## Iterative search for the Golden Ratio



```
program golden_ratio
! experiments with the golden ratio iterative relation
implicit none
integer, parameter :: rk = kind(1.0d0)
real(rk) :: phi, phi_old
real(rk) :: phi_start, tol
integer :: i, max_iter, test_no
character(15) :: outfilename


namelist /golden_inputs/ phi_start, tol, max_iter, test_no

test_no = 1
open(11,FILE='golden.in',STATUS='old')
read(11,golden_inputs)
close(11)


phi_old = phi_start
do i=1,max_iter
    phi = 1.0d0/phi_old + 1.0d0
    if (abs(phi - phi_old) < tol) exit
    phi_old = phi
end do

write(outfilename,'("golden",I5.5,".out")') test_no
open(12,FILE=outfilename)
write(12,100) 'Start value:',phi_start
write(12,100) 'Tolerance:',tol
write(12,'(2(A," ",I11," "))') 'Ended at iteration:', i, 'of', max_iter
write(12,100) 'Final value:',phi
close(12)


100 format(A," ",F13.10)
end program golden_ratio
```




- ▶ **character** variables of default kind can be specified in place of units in **read** and **write** statements




- ▶ **character** variables of default kind can be specified in place of units in **read** and **write** statements
- ▶ Writing to internal files is good to:
  - ▶ dynamically build file names according to a pattern (like number of iterations)
  - ▶ dynamically assemble complex I/O formats, depending on actual data
  - ▶ prepare complex labels for plot data formats
  - ▶ build commands to be sent to hardware devices
  - ▶ ...



- ▶ **character** variables of default kind can be specified in place of units in **read** and **write** statements
- ▶ Writing to internal files is good to:
  - ▶ dynamically build file names according to a pattern (like number of iterations)
  - ▶ dynamically assemble complex I/O formats, depending on actual data
  - ▶ prepare complex labels for plot data formats
  - ▶ build commands to be sent to hardware devices
  - ▶ ...
- ▶ Reading from internal files can be useful to read complex inputs
  - ▶ You have a textual input file sporting different formats
  - ▶ And the right format depends on actual data in the file
  - ▶ Just read each line in a **character** variable, suitably sized
  - ▶ Pick the suitable format
  - ▶ And use it to read from the variable itself



- ▶ Play with `goldenfile.f90`, `goldenfn1.f90`, and `goldenio.f90`:
  - ▶ writing input files
  - ▶ writing good and bad data in input files
  - ▶ giving input files wrong file names



Array Syntax

Input/Output

Formatted I/O


File I/O

Namelist


Internal Files

**Unformatted I/O**

Robust I/O



- ▶ Formatted I/O is good, but:
  - ▶ internal data format is much more compact
  - ▶ and roundoff may happen, making recovery of original values impossible
  - ▶ and conversion takes time




```
program iterative_inversion
! experiments with matrix iterative inversion
implicit none
real, dimension(4,4) :: a, x, x_old, x_start
real :: tol, err
integer :: i, max_iter

open(21,FILE='input.dat',FORM='unformatted',STATUS='old')
read(21) a
read(21) x_start
read(21) tol,max_iter
close(21)

x_old = x_start
do i=1,max_iter
  x = 2.0*x_old - matmul(x_old,matmul(a,x_old))
  err = maxval(abs(x - x_old))
  if (err < tol) exit
  x_old = x
end do

open(22,FILE='itinv.dat',FORM='unformatted')
write(22) a
write(22) x_start
write(22) tol,max_iter
write(22) i
write(22) x
close(22)

end program iterative_inversion
```




```
program iterative_inversion
! experiments with matrix iterative inversion
implicit none
real, dimension(4,4) :: a, x, x_old, x_start
real :: tol, err
integer :: i, max_iter

open(21,FILE='input.dat',FORM='unformatted',STATUS='old')
read(21) a
read(21) x_start
read(21) tol,max_iter
close(21)


x_old = x_start
do i=1,max_iter
  x = 2.0*x_old - matmul(x_old,matmul(a,x_old))
  err = maxval(abs(x - x_old))
  if (err < tol) exit
  x_old = x
end do

open(22,FILE='itinv.dat',FORM='unformatted')
write(22) a
write(22) x_start
write(22) tol,max_iter
write(22) i
write(22) x
close(22)


end program iterative_inversion
```




- ▶ Formatted I/O is good, but:
  - ▶ internal data format is much more compact
  - ▶ and roundoff may happen, making recovery of original values impossible
  - ▶ and conversion takes time
- ▶ Unformatted I/O is used to store and recover data in internal representation
  - ▶ Just give **FORM='unformatted'** option when opening the file
  - ▶ And omit format in **read** and **write** statements



- ▶ Formatted I/O is good, but:
  - ▶ internal data format is much more compact
  - ▶ and roundoff may happen, making recovery of original values impossible
  - ▶ and conversion takes time
- ▶ Unformatted I/O is used to store and recover data in internal representation
  - ▶ Just give **FORM='unformatted'** option when opening the file
  - ▶ And omit format in **read** and **write** statements
- ▶ Unformatted I/O is performed on a *record* basis
  - ▶ In unformatted mode, each **write** writes a *record*
  - ▶ As we'll see, this allows walking your files backward and forward
  - ▶ But has interesting consequences, as more than your data is written to your file...



- ▶ Modify `itinv.f90` to perform unformatted I/O
- ▶ To test it, you'll need an additional program:
  - ▶ taking text input from keyboard or initializing all needed data
  - ▶ to write a good unformatted input file for the new version of `itinv.f90`



▶ Try different ways to output the results:

▶ element-wise

```
do j=1,n
  do i=1,n
    write(79) a(i,j)
  end do
end do
```


▶ column-wise, using an implied do-loop:

```
do j=1,n
  write(79) (a(i,j), i=1,n)    ! a(:,j) will also do
end do
```

▶ with two implied do-loops:

```
write(79) ((a(i,j), i=1,n), j=1,n)
```

▶ Can you spot the difference?



- ▶ Try different ways to output the results:

- ▶ element-wise

```
do j=1,n
  do i=1,n
    write(79) a(i,j)
  end do
end do
```

- ▶ column-wise, using an implied do-loop:


```
do j=1,n
  write(79) (a(i,j), i=1,n)    ! a(:,j) will also do
end do
```

- ▶ with two implied do-loops:


```
write(79) ((a(i,j), i=1,n), j=1,n)
```

- ▶ Can you spot the difference?

- ▶ Not a big issue for  $4 \times 4$  matrices, but think of a  $256 \times 256 \times 1024$  grid!




- ▶ **read** always advance to next record, even if you read only part of the record (or possibly nothing)
- ▶ **backspace (u)** moves position for subsequent I/Os to the record preceding the current one
- ▶ **rewind (u)** moves position for subsequent I/Os to file beginning
- ▶ To allow positioning back and forth, a four bytes record marker is added in 32 bit mode (eight bytes in 64 bit mode) before and after each record
- ▶ Best practice: write data in whole blocks



- ▶ Record markers added in unformatted I/O make exchanging data with other programs (notably C ones) troublesome
- ▶ `open (unit, ..., ACCESS='stream', ...)` is a new method to access external files
- ▶ No record markers are written before or after a `write`
  - ▶ Thus, advancing or backspacing over records is not possible
  - ▶ But required position may be specified by:  

```
write(unit,POS=position) x  
read(unit,POS=position) y
```
- ▶ Best practice: if you are really serious about data exchanges, across different programs and systems, use libraries like HDF5, VTK, CGNS



Array Syntax

Input/Output

Formatted I/O


File I/O

Namelist


Internal Files

Unformatted I/O


**Robust I/O**




- ▶ You may happen to:
  - ▶ Try to open a new file, when one with same name already exists
  - ▶ Look for an existing file, which is missing
  - ▶ Encounter an unexpected end of record in a **read**
  - ▶ Encounter an unexpected end of file while reading
  - ▶ Run out of disk space while writing
  - ▶ Try writing to a read-only file
  - ▶ ...




- ▶ You may happen to:
  - ▶ Try to open a new file, when one with same name already exists
  - ▶ Look for an existing file, which is missing
  - ▶ Encounter an unexpected end of record in a **read**
  - ▶ Encounter an unexpected end of file while reading
  - ▶ Run out of disk space while writing
  - ▶ Try writing to a read-only file
  - ▶ ...
- ▶ And get an unfriendly runtime error



- ▶ You may happen to:
  - ▶ Try to open a new file, when one with same name already exists
  - ▶ Look for an existing file, which is missing
  - ▶ Encounter an unexpected end of record in a **read**
  - ▶ Encounter an unexpected end of file while reading
  - ▶ Run out of disk space while writing
  - ▶ Try writing to a read-only file
  - ▶ ...
- ▶ And get an unfriendly runtime error
- ▶ Or you may need to open a file in a library you are writing
  - ▶ And use a unit already opened in a calling program
  - ▶ The previously opened unit is automatically closed
  - ▶ With surprising consequences on program behavior




- ▶ All I/O statements accept an **IOSTAT=*ios*** option
  - ▶ ***ios*** must be an integer variable of default kind
  - ▶ Set to zero on success
  - ▶ Set to negative values on end of file or record (in Fortran 2003, **`iostat_end`** and **`iostat_eor`** respectively, from **`iso_fortran_env`** module)
  - ▶ Set to positive values on error
  - ▶ Execution will not stop
- ▶ Use it to identify the issue, and recover or fail gracefully




- ▶ All I/O statements accept an **IOSTAT=ios** option
  - ▶ **ios** must be an integer variable of default kind
  - ▶ Set to zero on success
  - ▶ Set to negative values on end of file or record (in Fortran 2003, **iostat\_end** and **iostat\_eor** respectively, from **iso\_fortran\_env** module)
  - ▶ Set to positive values on error
  - ▶ Execution will not stop
- ▶ Use it to identify the issue, and recover or fail gracefully
- ▶ All I/O statements accept an **ERR=err-label** option
  - ▶ **err-label** is a statement label in the same program unit
  - ▶ Flow control jumps to **err-label** in case of error
- ▶ Use it to centralize error management and recovery
- ▶ Together with **iostat**, of course

In Doubt? *inquire!*




- ▶ Let's assume **ans** is a logical variable, **k** is an integer variable, and **s** is a character variable of suitable length

## In Doubt? *inquire!*




- ▶ Let's assume **ans** is a logical variable, **k** is an integer variable, and **s** is a character variable of suitable length
- ▶ **inquire(FILE='input.dat',EXIST=ans)** will set **ans** to **.true.** if file **input.dat** exists

## In Doubt? *inquire!*




- ▶ Let's assume **ans** is a logical variable, **k** is an integer variable, and **s** is a character variable of suitable length
- ▶ `inquire(FILE='input.dat',EXIST=ans)` will set **ans** to `.true.` if file `input.dat` exists
- ▶ `inquire(FILE='input.dat',OPENED=ans)` will set **ans** to `.true.` if file `input.dat` is already opened

## In Doubt? *inquire!*




- ▶ Let's assume **ans** is a logical variable, **k** is an integer variable, and **s** is a character variable of suitable length
- ▶ **inquire (FILE='input.dat', EXIST=ans)** will set **ans** to **.true.** if file **input.dat** exists
- ▶ **inquire (FILE='input.dat', OPENED=ans)** will set **ans** to **.true.** if file **input.dat** is already opened
- ▶ **inquire (15, OPENED=ans)** will set **ans** to **.true.** if a file is already opened on unit **15**




- ▶ Let's assume **ans** is a logical variable, **k** is an integer variable, and **s** is a character variable of suitable length
- ▶ **inquire(FILE='input.dat',EXIST=ans)** will set **ans** to **.true.** if file **input.dat** exists
- ▶ **inquire(FILE='input.dat',OPENED=ans)** will set **ans** to **.true.** if file **input.dat** is already opened
- ▶ **inquire(15,OPENED=ans)** will set **ans** to **.true.** if a file is already opened on unit 15
- ▶ **inquire(FILE='input.dat',NUMBER=k)** will set **k** to **-1** if file **input.dat** is not opened, to connected unit otherwise

# More Doubts? *inquire* More!




- ▶ `inquire(15, FORM=s)` will set `s` to 'FORMATTED' or 'UNFORMATTED' if unit 15 is connected for formatted or unformatted I/O respectively, to 'UNDEFINED' otherwise

# More Doubts? *inquire* More!




- ▶ **`inquire(15, FORM=s)`** will set **`s`** to **`'FORMATTED'`** or **`'UNFORMATTED'`** if unit 15 is connected for formatted or unformatted I/O respectively, to **`'UNDEFINED'`** otherwise
- ▶ **`inquire(15, ACTION=s)`** will set **`s`** to **`'READ'`** or **`'WRITE'`** or **`'READWRITE'`**, depending on what actions are allowed on unit 15, to **`'UNDEFINED'`** if unconnected

# More Doubts? *inquire* More!




- ▶ ***inquire*** (**15**, **FORM=s**) will set **s** to ' **FORMATTED** ' or ' **UNFORMATTED** ' if unit 15 is connected for formatted or unformatted I/O respectively, to ' **UNDEFINED** ' otherwise
- ▶ ***inquire*** (**15**, **ACTION=s**) will set **s** to ' **READ** ' or ' **WRITE** ' or ' **READWRITE** ', depending on what actions are allowed on unit 15, to ' **UNDEFINED** ' if unconnected
- ▶ ***inquire*** (**IOLENGTH=k**) *output-list* will set **k** to the number of processor dependent units (bytes, in practice) occupied by an unformatted write of *output-list*

# More Doubts? *inquire* More!




- ▶ ***inquire*** (**15**, **FORM=s**) will set **s** to ' **FORMATTED** ' or ' **UNFORMATTED** ' if unit 15 is connected for formatted or unformatted I/O respectively, to ' **UNDEFINED** ' otherwise
- ▶ ***inquire*** (**15**, **ACTION=s**) will set **s** to ' **READ** ' or ' **WRITE** ' or ' **READWRITE** ', depending on what actions are allowed on unit 15, to ' **UNDEFINED** ' if unconnected
- ▶ ***inquire*** (**IOLENGTH=k**) *output-list* will set **k** to the number of processor dependent units (bytes, in practice) occupied by an unformatted write of *output-list*
- ▶ And many more variations, look to manuals


## More Doubts? *inquire* More!



- ▶ ***inquire*** (**15**, **FORM=s**) will set **s** to ' **FORMATTED** ' or ' **UNFORMATTED** ' if unit 15 is connected for formatted or unformatted I/O respectively, to ' **UNDEFINED** ' otherwise
- ▶ ***inquire*** (**15**, **ACTION=s**) will set **s** to ' **READ** ' or ' **WRITE** ' or ' **READWRITE** ', depending on what actions are allowed on unit 15, to ' **UNDEFINED** ' if unconnected
- ▶ ***inquire*** (**IOLENGTH=k**) *output-list* will set **k** to the number of processor dependent units (bytes, in practice) occupied by an unformatted write of *output-list*
- ▶ And many more variations, look to manuals
- ▶ Of course, **IOSTAT** and **ERR** can be useful on ***inquire*** too




- ▶ Write a program that:
  - ▶ reads an 'arbitrarily' long column of real numbers from an ASCII file
  - ▶ prints maximum, minimum, average of the numbers
  - ▶ and prints the  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -th row where  $n$  is the length of the column



## Part IV

# Memory Management and Derived Types

Derived types, operators overloading, parametric types and inheritance. Memory management, dynamic allocation and memory heap. Pointers. C and Fortran interoperability.



## Managing Memory



### Dynamic Memory Allocation

### Fortran Pointers



Extending the Language

Bridging the Gap with C


Conclusions



- ▶ Let's imagine we have to solve a PDE



- ▶ Let's imagine we have to solve a PDE
- ▶ On a dense, Cartesian, uniform grid
  - ▶ Mesh axes are parallel to coordinate ones
  - ▶ Steps along each direction have the same size
  - ▶ And we have some discretization schemes in time and space to solve for variables at each point




```
integer, parameter :: NX = 200
integer, parameter :: NY = 450
integer, parameter :: NZ = 320

integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)

real(rk) :: deltax ! Grid steps
real(rk) :: deltay
real(rk) :: deltaz

real(rk) :: u(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: v(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: w(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: p(NX,NY,NZ)
```

- We could write something like that in a module, and use it everywhere




```
integer, parameter :: NX = 200
integer, parameter :: NY = 450
integer, parameter :: NZ = 320

integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)

real(rk) :: deltax ! Grid steps
real(rk) :: deltay
real(rk) :: deltaz

real(rk) :: u(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: v(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: w(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: p(NX,NY,NZ)
```

- ▶ We could write something like that in a module, and use it everywhere
- ▶ But it has annoying consequences
  - ▶ Recompile each time grid resolution changes
  - ▶ A slow process, for big programs
  - ▶ And error prone, as we may forget about




```
integer, parameter :: NX = 200
integer, parameter :: NY = 450
integer, parameter :: NZ = 320

integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)

real(rk) :: deltax ! Grid steps
real(rk) :: deltax
real(rk) :: deltaz

real(rk) :: u(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: v(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: w(NX,NY,NZ)
real(rk) :: p(NX,NY,NZ)
```

- ▶ We could write something like that in a module, and use it everywhere
- ▶ But it has annoying consequences
  - ▶ Recompile each time grid resolution changes
  - ▶ A slow process, for big programs
  - ▶ And error prone, as we may forget about
- ▶ Couldn't we size data structures according to user input?




```
subroutine my_pde_solver(nx, ny, nz)
  integer, intent(in) :: nx, ny, nz

  integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)
  real(rk) :: deltax, deltay, deltaz ! Grid steps

  real(rk) :: u(nx,ny,nz)
  real(rk) :: v(nx,ny,nz)
  real(rk) :: w(nx,ny,nz)
  real(rk) :: p(nx,ny,nz)
```

- ▶ We could think of declaring automatic arrays inside a subroutine




```
subroutine my_pde_solver(nx, ny, nz)
  integer, intent(in) :: nx, ny, nz

  integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)
  real(rk):: deltax, deltax, deltax ! Grid steps

  real(rk) :: u(nx,ny,nz)
  real(rk) :: v(nx,ny,nz)
  real(rk) :: w(nx,ny,nz)
  real(rk) :: p(nx,ny,nz)
```

- ▶ We could think of declaring automatic arrays inside a subroutine
- ▶ This is unwise
  - ▶ Automatic arrays are usually allocated on the process stack
  - ▶ Which is a precious resource
  - ▶ And limited in most system configurations

# A Bad, Old, Common approach



```
program pde_solve
  parameter (MAXNX=400, MAXNY=400, MAXNZ=400)
  parameter (MAXSIZE=MAXNX*MAXNX*MAXNZ)


  real*8 u(MAXSIZE), v(MAXSIZE), w(MAXSIZE), p(MAXSIZE)

  common u, v, w, p
! ...
  call my_pde_solver(nx, ny, nz, u, v, w, p)
! ...
end

subroutine my_pde_solver(nx, ny, nz, u, v, w, p)
  real*8 u(nx, ny, nz), v(nx, ny, nz), w(nx, ny, nz), p(nx, ny, nz)
!...
```

- ▶ We could give a different shape to dummy arguments

# A Bad, Old, Common approach



```

program pde_solve
  parameter (MAXNX=400, MAXNY=400, MAXNZ=400)
  parameter (MAXSIZE=MAXNX*MAXNX*MAXNZ)

  real*8 u (MAXSIZE) , v (MAXSIZE) , w (MAXSIZE) , p (MAXSIZE)


  common u, v, w, p
! ...
  call my_pde_solver (nx, ny, nz, u, v, w, p)
! ...
end

subroutine my_pde_solver (nx, ny, nz, u, v, w, p)
  real*8 u (nx, ny, nz) , v (nx, ny, nz) , w (nx, ny, nz) , p (nx, ny, nz)
!...

```

- ▶ We could give a different shape to dummy arguments
- ▶ But this only works if interface is implicit
  - ▶ Which is dangerous

# A Bad, Old, Common approach



```

program pde_solve
  parameter (MAXNX=400, MAXNY=400, MAXNZ=400)
  parameter (MAXSIZE=MAXNX*MAXNX*MAXNZ)


  real*8 u (MAXSIZE) , v (MAXSIZE) , w (MAXSIZE) , p (MAXSIZE)

  common u, v, w, p
! ...
  call my_pde_solver (nx, ny, nz, u, v, w, p)
! ...
end


subroutine my_pde_solver (nx, ny, nz, u, v, w, p)
  real*8 u (nx, ny, nz) , v (nx, ny, nz) , w (nx, ny, nz) , p (nx, ny, nz)
!...

```

- ▶ We could give a different shape to dummy arguments
- ▶ But this only works if interface is implicit
  - ▶ Which is dangerous
- ▶ Maximum problem size still program limited:  **$nx*ny*nz$**  must be less than **MAXSIZE**



- ▶ Being program limited is annoying
- ▶ It's much better to accommodate to any user specified problem size
  - ▶ Right, as long as there is enough memory
  - ▶ But if memory is not enough, not our fault
  - ▶ It's computer or user's fault
- ▶ And there are many complex kinds of computations
  - ▶ Those in which memory need cannot be foreseen in advance
  - ▶ Those in which arrays do not fit
  - ▶ Those in which very complex data structures are needed



## Managing Memory

### Dynamic Memory Allocation


### Fortran Pointers

Extending the Language

Bridging the Gap with C

Conclusions

# Enter Allocatable Arrays




```
integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)

real(rk), dimension(:, :, :), allocatable :: u, v, w, p

allocate (u (nx, ny, nz), v (nx, ny, nz), w (nx, ny, nz), p (nx, ny, nz))
```

- ▶ When allocatable arrays are declared, only their rank is specified (`dimension (:, :, :)`)

# Enter Allocatable Arrays




```
integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)

real(rk), dimension(:, :, :), allocatable :: u, v, w, p

allocate (u (nx, ny, nz), v (nx, ny, nz), w (nx, ny, nz), p (nx, ny, nz))
```

- ▶ When allocatable arrays are declared, only their rank is specified (**dimension** (:, :, :))
- ▶ **allocate** statement performs actual memory allocation and defines extents

# Enter Allocatable Arrays




```
integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)

real(rk), dimension(:, :, :), allocatable :: u, v, w, p

allocate (u (nx, ny, nz), v (nx, ny, nz), w (nx, ny, nz), p (nx, ny, nz))
```

- ▶ When allocatable arrays are declared, only their rank is specified (**dimension** (:, :, :))
- ▶ **allocate** statement performs actual memory allocation and defines extents
  - ▶ On failure, program **stops**

# Enter Allocatable Arrays




```
integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)

real(rk), dimension(:, :, :), allocatable :: u, v, w, p

allocate (u (nx, ny, nz), v (nx, ny, nz), w (nx, ny, nz), p (nx, ny, nz))
```

- ▶ When allocatable arrays are declared, only their rank is specified (`dimension (:, :, :)`)
- ▶ `allocate` statement performs actual memory allocation and defines extents
  - ▶ On failure, program `stops`
  - ▶ But if `STAT=integer_var` is specified, `integer_var` is set to zero on success and to a positive value on failure, and execution doesn't stop




```
integer, parameter :: rk = selected_real_kind(12)


real(rk), dimension(:, :, :), allocatable :: u, v, w, p

allocate (u (nx, ny, nz), v (nx, ny, nz), w (nx, ny, nz), p (nx, ny, nz))
```


- ▶ When allocatable arrays are declared, only their rank is specified (`dimension(:, :, :)`)
- ▶ `allocate` statement performs actual memory allocation and defines extents
  - ▶ On failure, program `stops`
  - ▶ But if `STAT=integer_var` is specified, `integer_var` is set to zero on success and to a positive value on failure, and execution doesn't stop
- ▶ Best practice: use `STAT=` and, on failure, provide information to users before terminating execution



- ▶ Where all these 'dynamic allocated memory' comes from?
  - ▶ From an internal area, often termed "*memory heap*"
  - ▶ When that is exhausted, OS is asked to give the process more memory
  - ▶ And if OS is short of memory, or some configuration limit is exhausted...




- ▶ Where all these 'dynamic allocated memory' comes from?
  - ▶ From an internal area, often termed "*memory heap*"
  - ▶ When that is exhausted, OS is asked to give the process more memory
  - ▶ And if OS is short of memory, or some configuration limit is exhausted...
- ▶ When you are done with an allocatable, use **deallocate** to claim memory back
  - ▶ Allocatable which are local to a procedure are automatically deallocated on return
  - ▶ But it's implementation defined what happens to allocatable private to a module




- ▶ Where all these 'dynamic allocated memory' comes from?
  - ▶ From an internal area, often termed "*memory heap*"
  - ▶ When that is exhausted, OS is asked to give the process more memory
  - ▶ And if OS is short of memory, or some configuration limit is exhausted...
- ▶ When you are done with an allocatable, use **deallocate** to claim memory back
  - ▶ Allocatable which are local to a procedure are automatically deallocated on return
  - ▶ But it's implementation defined what happens to allocatable private to a module
- ▶ Best practice: always deallocate when you are done with an allocatable array

# Three Common Mistakes




- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not allocatable




- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not allocatable
  - ▶ Compiler will catch it

# Three Common Mistakes




- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not allocatable
  - ▶ Compiler will catch it
  
- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not deallocated or allocated respectively

# Three Common Mistakes




- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not allocatable
  - ▶ Compiler will catch it
- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not deallocated or allocated respectively
  - ▶ Compiler can't catch it, runtime error

# Three Common Mistakes




- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not allocatable
  - ▶ Compiler will catch it
- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not deallocated or allocated respectively
  - ▶ Compiler can't catch it, runtime error
  - ▶ In some cases (error recovery) use logical `allocated()` function to check


# Three Common Mistakes




- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not allocatable
  - ▶ Compiler will catch it
- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not deallocated or allocated respectively
  - ▶ Compiler can't catch it, runtime error
  - ▶ In some cases (error recovery) use logical `allocated()` function to check
- ▶ Mistaking allocatables for a substitute to procedure automatic arrays



- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not allocatable
  - ▶ Compiler will catch it
- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not deallocated or allocated respectively
  - ▶ Compiler can't catch it, runtime error
  - ▶ In some cases (error recovery) use logical `allocated()` function to check
- ▶ Mistaking allocatables for a substitute to procedure automatic arrays
  - ▶ Dynamic allocation incurs costs



- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not allocatable
  - ▶ Compiler will catch it
- ▶ Trying to allocate or deallocate an array that was not deallocated or allocated respectively
  - ▶ Compiler can't catch it, runtime error
  - ▶ In some cases (error recovery) use logical `allocated()` function to check
- ▶ Mistaking allocatables for a substitute to procedure automatic arrays
  - ▶ Dynamic allocation incurs costs
  - ▶ Only worth for big arrays that would not fit program stack




- ▶ When assigning an array value to a not allocated allocatable array, the allocatable array gets automatically allocated
- ▶ This simplifies the use of array functions which return a variable-sized result

```
real, dimension(100) :: x
real, allocatable, dimension(:) :: all_values, nonzero_values

! size is 100, small benefit wrt explicit allocation
all_values      = x


! size depends on x values, AA is a great benefit now
nonzero_values = pack(x,x/=0)
```



- ▶ Automatic re-allocation is performed when the shape of the assignment does not fit, e.g.
  - `a = (/ a , 5 , 6 /)`
- ▶ Beware: it may dramatically affect performances!
  - ▶ if you don't need it, disable it using compiler options
- ▶ AA naturally extends to **characters** strongly increasing their adaptability
  - ▶ when declaring **characters**, the `len` value declaration may be postponed (**deferred type parameter**)
  - ▶ during assignment the Right Hand Side passes its `len` on the deferred-length string (under the hood, automatic re-allocation may occur)
  - ▶ explicit allocation is possible but often worthless, required when reading from input, though

```

character(len=:), allocatable :: str
character(len=50) :: fixed_str
allocate(character(80) :: str) ! allocates str using len=80
str = fixed_str ! re-allocates str using len=50
  
```



## Managing Memory


Dynamic Memory Allocation

Fortran Pointers

Extending the Language

Bridging the Gap with C

Conclusions



- ▶ Fortran pointers are aliases to other objects
- ▶ Declared like regular variables, with attribute **pointer**
- ▶ Associated to actual objects with pointer assignment =>
- ▶ To be associated with a pointer, variables must have the **target** attribute
  - ▶ But compilers are often liberal (sloppy?) on this
- ▶ Disassociated by actual objects with **nullify** statement or by pointer assignment of **null()**

```
real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r
```

```
real, target :: a(5,15,6), b(3,22,7)
```

```


r => a           ! pointer assignment
                ! now r is an alias of a
r(1,1,1) = 2.   ! usual assignment
                ! now both r(1,1,1) and a(1,1,1) values are 2.
nullify(r)     ! a is still alive

```

```

r => b           ! now r is an alias of b
r => null()


```



- Pointers may also alias sections of arrays (and subobjects, see later)

```
real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r  
real, target :: a(5,15,6)
```

```
r => a(2:4,1:10,3:6) ! r(1,1,1) aliases a(2,1,3)  
                  ! r(3,10,4) aliases a(4,10,6)
```




- Pointers may also alias sections of arrays (and subobjects, see later)

```
real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r  
real, target :: a(5,15,6)
```

```
r => a(2:4,1:10,3:6) ! r(1,1,1) aliases a(2,1,3)  
                  ! r(3,10,4) aliases a(4,10,6)
```

- The reverse is not true: it is not possible to explicitly associate sections of pointers



- ▶ Pointers may also alias sections of arrays (and subobjects, see later)

```

real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r
real, target :: a(5,15,6)
  
```


```

r => a(2:4, 1:10, 3:6) ! r(1,1,1) aliases a(2,1,3)
                       ! r(3,10,4) aliases a(4,10,6)
  
```

- ▶ The reverse is not true: it is not possible to explicitly associate sections of pointers
- ▶ But lower bounds may be specified (from F2003)

```

s(2:., ., :) => a(2:4, 1:10, 3:6) ! s(2,1,1) aliases a(2,1,3)
  
```



- ▶ Pointers may also alias sections of arrays (and subobjects, see later)

```

real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r
real, target :: a(5,15,6)
  
```

```

r => a(2:4, 1:10, 3:6) ! r(1,1,1) aliases a(2,1,3)
                       ! r(3,10,4) aliases a(4,10,6)
  
```

- ▶ The reverse is not true: it is not possible to explicitly associate sections of pointers
- ▶ But lower bounds may be specified (from F2003)


```

s(2:., :., :.) => a(2:4, 1:10, 3:6) ! s(2,1,1) aliases a(2,1,3)
  
```

- ▶ A target of a multidimensional array pointer may be one-dimensional


```

a(1:n, 1:n) => a_linear(1:n*n)
  
```



- ▶ A pointer may be scalar, too


```
real, target  :: s  
real, pointer :: p  
...  
p => s
```



- ▶ A pointer may be scalar, too

```
real, target  :: s  
real, pointer :: p  
...  
p => s
```


- ▶ The target in a pointer assignment may be a pointer itself



- ▶ A pointer may be scalar, too

```
real, target  :: s  
real, pointer :: p  
...  
p => s
```

- ▶ The target in a pointer assignment may be a pointer itself
- ▶ In that case, the new association is with that pointer's target and is not affected by any subsequent changes to its pointer association status




- ▶ A pointer may be scalar, too

```
real, target  :: s
real, pointer :: p
...
p => s
```

- ▶ The target in a pointer assignment may be a pointer itself
- ▶ In that case, the new association is with that pointer's target and is not affected by any subsequent changes to its pointer association status

- ▶ the following code will leave **a** still pointing to **c**

```
b => c           ! c has the target attribute
a => b
nullify(b)
```




- ▶ If you allocate a pointer, an unnamed object of the pointee type is created, and associated with the pointer itself

```
real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r
```

```
allocate(r(5,15,6))
```

```
! now r refers an unnamed array allocated on the heap
```




- ▶ If you allocate a pointer, an unnamed object of the pointee type is created, and associated with the pointer itself

```
real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r
```

```
allocate(r(5, 15, 6))
```

```
! now r refers an unnamed array allocated on the heap
```

- ▶ Unlike **allocatables**, once allocated the **pointers** may be migrated to other targets




- ▶ If you allocate a pointer, an unnamed object of the pointee type is created, and associated with the pointer itself

```
real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r
```

```
allocate(r(5, 15, 6))
```

```
! now r refers an unnamed array allocated on the heap
```

- ▶ Unlike **allocatables**, once allocated the **pointers** may be migrated to other targets
- ▶ The unnamed objects created when allocating a pointer are possible targets for other pointers




- ▶ If you allocate a pointer, an unnamed object of the pointee type is created, and associated with the pointer itself

```
real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r
```

```
allocate(r(5, 15, 6))
```

```
! now r refers an unnamed array allocated on the heap
```

- ▶ Unlike **allocatables**, once allocated the **pointers** may be migrated to other targets
- ▶ The unnamed objects created when allocating a pointer are possible targets for other pointers
- ▶ You can use pointers in place of allocatables, but, unless necessary, prefer **allocatable**: the compiler usually optimizes better




- ▶ If you allocate a pointer, an unnamed object of the pointee type is created, and associated with the pointer itself

```
real, dimension(:, :, :), pointer :: r
```


```
allocate(r(5, 15, 6))
```

```
! now r refers an unnamed array allocated on the heap
```


- ▶ Unlike **allocatables**, once allocated the **pointers** may be migrated to other targets
- ▶ The unnamed objects created when allocating a pointer are possible targets for other pointers
- ▶ You can use pointers in place of allocatables, but, unless necessary, prefer **allocatable**: the compiler usually optimizes better
- ▶ You can deallocate the pointee by specifying the pointer in a **deallocate** statement




- ▶ Referencing an undefined pointer (strange things may happen, it may also seem to work)
  - ▶ Good practice: initialize pointers to `nullptr` ( )



- ▶ Referencing an undefined pointer (strange things may happen, it may also seem to work)
  - ▶ Good practice: initialize pointers to `nullptr` ()
- ▶ Referencing a nullified pointer
  - ▶ Your program will fail
  - ▶ Which is better than messing up with memory



- ▶ Referencing an undefined pointer (strange things may happen, it may also seem to work)
  - ▶ Good practice: initialize pointers to `nullptr`
- ▶ Referencing a nullified pointer
  - ▶ Your program will fail
  - ▶ Which is better than messing up with memory
- ▶ Changing association of an allocated pointer
  - ▶ This is a memory leak, and programmers causing memory leaks have really bad reputation




- ▶ Referencing an undefined pointer (strange things may happen, it may also seem to work)
  - ▶ Good practice: initialize pointers to `null()`
- ▶ Referencing a nullified pointer
  - ▶ Your program will fail
  - ▶ Which is better than messing up with memory
- ▶ Changing association of an allocated pointer
  - ▶ This is a memory leak, and programmers causing memory leaks have really bad reputation
- ▶
 

```

real, dimension(:, :), pointer :: r, p
! ...
allocate(r(n, m))
p => r
! ...
deallocate(r)
p(k, l) = p(k, l) + 1
      
```

Now you'll be in troubles with `p`, with really strange behavior




- ▶ Discretization on Cartesian 2D grid with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions

$$\begin{cases}
 f(x_{i+1,j}) + f(x_{i-1,j}) - 2f(x_{i,j}) + \\
 f(x_{i,j+1}) + f(x_{i,j-1}) - 2f(x_{i,j}) = 0 & \forall x_{i,j} \in (a,b)^2 \\
 f(x_{i,j}) = \alpha(x_{i,j}) & \forall x_{i,j} \in \partial[a,b]^2
 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Iterative advancement using Jacobi method


$$\begin{cases}
 f_{n+1}(x_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{4} [ & f_n(x_{i+1,j}) + f_n(x_{i-1,j}) + \\
 & f_n(x_{i,j+1}) + f_n(x_{i,j-1}) ] & \forall n > 0 \\
 f_0(x_{i,j}) = 0 & \forall x_{i,j} \in (a,b)^2 \\
 f_n(x_{i,j}) = \alpha(x_{i,j}) & \forall x_{i,j} \in \partial[a,b]^2, & \forall n > 0
 \end{cases}$$



```


program laplace
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: dp=kind(1.d0), n = 100
  integer              :: maxIter = 100000, i, j, iter = 0
  real(dp), dimension(0:n+1,0:n+1) :: T, Tnew
  real(dp)             :: tol = 1.d-4, var = 1.d0, top = 100.d0
  T(0:n,0:n) = 0.d0
  T(n+1,1:n) = (/ (i, i=1,n) /) * (top / (n+1))
  T(1:n,n+1) = (/ (i, i=1,n) /) * (top / (n+1))
  do while (var > tol .and. iter <= maxIter)
    iter = iter + 1;    var = 0.d0
    do j = 1, n
      do i = 1, n
        Tnew(i,j) = 0.25d0*( T(i-1,j) + T(i+1,j) + &
                             T(i,j-1) + T(i,j+1) )
        var = max(var, abs( Tnew(i,j) - T(i,j) ))
      end do
    end do
    if (mod(iter,100)==0) &
      write(*,"(a,i8,e12.4)") ' iter, variation:', iter, var
    T(1:n,1:n) = Tnew(1:n,1:n)
  end do
end program laplace

```




```

program laplace
  implicit none
  integer, parameter :: dp=kind(1.d0), n = 100
  integer           :: maxIter = 100000, i, j, iter = 0
  real(dp), dimension(0:n+1,0:n+1) :: T, Tnew
  real(dp)         :: tol = 1.d-4, var = 1.d0, top = 100.d0
  T(0:n,0:n) = 0.d0
  T(n+1,1:n) = (/ (i, i=1,n) /) * (top / (n+1))
  T(1:n,n+1) = (/ (i, i=1,n) /) * (top / (n+1))
  do while (var > tol .and. iter <= maxIter)
    iter = iter + 1;   var = 0.d0
    do j = 1, n
      do i = 1, n
        Tnew(i,j) = 0.25d0*( T(i-1,j) + T(i+1,j) + &
                             T(i,j-1) + T(i,j+1) )
        var = max(var, abs( Tnew(i,j) - T(i,j) ))
      end do
    end do
    if (mod(iter,100)==0) &
      write(*,"(a,i8,e12.4)") ' iter, variation:', iter, var
    T(1:n,1:n) = Tnew(1:n,1:n)
  end do
end program laplace
  
```



- ▶ Modify the code using advanced Fortran features:
  - ▶ array syntax
  - ▶ allocatable arrays
  - ▶ pointer arrays
  
- ▶ Try to list pros and cons of each approach



## Managing Memory

## Extending the Language

- Derived Types

- Operators Overloading


- Parameterized Types

- Extending Types, and Objects

- Sketchy Ideas on Data Structures

## Bridging the Gap with C

## Conclusions



Managing Memory

Extending the Language

**Derived Types**

Operators Overloading


Parameterized Types

Extending Types, and Objects

Sketchy Ideas on Data Structures

Bridging the Gap with C

Conclusions




- ▶ Fortran allows programmers to add new types, built as assemblies of existing ones

```
type position
  real :: x, y, z
end type position
```

```
type velocity
  real :: x, y, z
end type velocity
```

- ▶ Components in different derived types may have the same name (not a surprise!)
- ▶ **type(position) :: r** declares a variable of type **position**
- ▶ Components of a derived type can be accessed like this:  
**r%y = 0.0**



- ▶ Derived types are not second class citizens
- ▶ Thus derived types (also termed *structures*) can be assembled from other derived types too


```

type particle
  type(position) :: r
  type(velocity) :: v
  real :: mass
end type particle
  
```

```

type atom
  type(position) :: r
  type(velocity) :: v
  real :: mass ! In atomic units
  integer :: an ! Atomic number
end type atom
  
```

- ▶ `type(particle) :: p` declares a variable of type `particle`
- ▶ Components of a component of a variable can be accessed like this: `p%v%z = 0.0`




```
type(atom) :: h1, h2, he

h1%r = position(0.0, 0.0, 0.0)
h1%v = velocity(1.0, -1.0, 0.0)
h1%mass = 1.00794
h1%an = 1 ! Assigns atomic number

h2 = h1 ! Intrinsic assignment

he = atom(position(1.0, 0.0, -1.0), h2%v, 4.002602, 2)
```

- ▶ Derived type name can be used to construct values of the type
- ▶ Unsurprisingly, `velocity()` is termed a *constructor*
- ▶ Values passed as argument to constructors must be ordered as in type definition
- ▶ Assignment is intrinsically available



- ▶ A type component may be allocatable (or pointer)
  - ▶ automatic allocation can be useful in this context
  - ▶ avoids separate coding for each allocatable component

```


type polygon
  real, allocatable :: xc(:)
  real, allocatable :: yc(:)
endtype polygon
type(polygon) :: a,b
allocate(a%xc(3), a%yc(3))
a%xc(1) = 0. ; a%xc(2) = 1. ; a%xc(3) = 1.
a%yc(1) = 0. ; a%yc(2) = 0. ; a%yc(3) = 1.
b = a
  
```

- ▶ A pointer can alias an object component

```

type(velocity), pointer :: v
type(atom), target :: oneatom
v => oneatom%velocity
  
```

## Types in rescue of pointers




- Sometimes you need an array of pointers. But:

```
real, dimension(:), pointer :: p
```

does not declare an array of pointers, but a pointer capable of aliasing an array

# Types in rescue of pointers




- ▶ Sometimes you need an array of pointers. But:

```
real, dimension(:), pointer :: p
```

does not declare an array of pointers, but a pointer capable of aliasing an array

- ▶ What about array of pointers?




- ▶ Sometimes you need an array of pointers. But:

```
real, dimension(:), pointer :: p
```

does not declare an array of pointers, but a pointer capable of aliasing an array

- ▶ What about array of pointers?
  - ▶ as such are not allowed in Fortran, but the equivalent effect can be achieved by creating a type containing a pointer component and building an array of this type



- ▶ Sometimes you need an array of pointers. But:

```
real, dimension(:), pointer :: p
```


does not declare an array of pointers, but a pointer capable of aliasing an array

- ▶ What about array of pointers?


- ▶ as such are not allowed in Fortran, but the equivalent effect can be achieved by creating a type containing a pointer component and building an array of this type

- ▶ For example, a lower-triangular matrix may be held using a pointer for each row

```
type row
  real, dimension(:), pointer :: r
end type row
type(row), dimension(n) :: t
do i=1,n
  allocate(t(i)%r(1:i)) ! Allocate row i of length i
enddo
```



- ▶ We know that structure components can be pointers




- ▶ We know that structure components can be pointers
- ▶ And a pointer in a structure can point to a structure of the same type:

```
type atom_list
  type(atom) :: a
  type(atom_list), pointer :: next
end type
```

which comes in handy to define complex data structures, like lists





- ▶ Declare two pointers to list elements (typically head and current elements)
- ▶ Allocate the head and let the current pointer alias the head, too
- ▶ Fill the inner content of the list element
- ▶ To add an element to the end allocate the **next** component
- ▶ Let the current pointer be associated to this new element


```

type(atom_list), pointer :: first, current
allocate(first) ; first%next => null()
current => first ; current%a = 2
allocate(current%next)
current => current%next ; current%next => null() ; current%a = 3
  
```


- ▶ And if you want to access to an existing list, use **associated**

```

current => first
do while (associated(current))
  print*, 'List Element: ', current%a
  current => current%next
end do
  
```



- ▶ Derived types boil down (possibly recursively) to collections of intrinsic types
- ▶ And behavior is coherent with I/O of complex values and arrays
- ▶ All single intrinsic type (sub)components will be processed in sequence
- ▶ If you want control of the conversion:
  - ▶ a proper edit descriptor must be provided for each component
  - ▶ in same order as components are declared in type declaration
- ▶ Fortran 2003 introduces the **D<sub>T</sub>** edit descriptor to give users total control



Managing Memory

Extending the Language

Derived Types

**Operators Overloading**


Parameterized Types

Extending Types, and Objects


Sketchy Ideas on Data Structures

Bridging the Gap with C


Conclusions




- ▶ Binary operator + can be used to add:




- ▶ Binary operator `+` can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values




- ▶ Binary operator + can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values




- ▶ Binary operator `+` can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values




- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds




- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds
  - ▶ two real values of different kinds




- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds
  - ▶ two real values of different kinds
  - ▶ two complex values of different kinds




- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds
  - ▶ two real values of different kinds
  - ▶ two complex values of different kinds
  - ▶ an integer and a real value




- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds
  - ▶ two real values of different kinds
  - ▶ two complex values of different kinds
  - ▶ an integer and a real value
  - ▶ an integer and a complex value




- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds
  - ▶ two real values of different kinds
  - ▶ two complex values of different kinds
  - ▶ an integer and a real value
  - ▶ an integer and a complex value
  - ▶ a real and a complex value




- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds
  - ▶ two real values of different kinds
  - ▶ two complex values of different kinds
  - ▶ an integer and a real value
  - ▶ an integer and a complex value
  - ▶ a real and a complex value
- ▶ It's like the meaning of  $+$  is 'overloaded'



- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds
  - ▶ two real values of different kinds
  - ▶ two complex values of different kinds
  - ▶ an integer and a real value
  - ▶ an integer and a complex value
  - ▶ a real and a complex value
- ▶ It's like the meaning of  $+$  is 'overloaded'
  - ▶ Different machine code is generated depending on operand types



- ▶ Binary operator  $+$  can be used to add:
  - ▶ a pair of integer values
  - ▶ a pair of real values
  - ▶ a pair of complex values
  - ▶ two integer values of different kinds
  - ▶ two real values of different kinds
  - ▶ two complex values of different kinds
  - ▶ an integer and a real value
  - ▶ an integer and a complex value
  - ▶ a real and a complex value
- ▶ It's like the meaning of  $+$  is 'overloaded'
  - ▶ Different machine code is generated depending on operand types
- ▶ And ditto for  $-$ ,  $*$ ,  $/$ ,  $>$ ,  $>=$ , ...



Wouldn't it be nice to have arithmetic operators work on structures?

```

interface operator(-)
  function subvel(p1, p2)
    type(velocity), intent(in) :: p1, p2
    type(velocity) :: subvel
  end function
end interface operator(-)
  
```

```


interface operator(-)
  function chsvel(p)
    type(velocity), intent(in) :: p
    type(velocity) :: chsvel
  end function
end interface operator(-)
  
```

```

function subvel(p1, p2)
  implicit none
  type(velocity), intent(in) :: p1, p2
  type(velocity) :: subvel
  subvel%x = p1%x-p2%x; subvel%y = p1%y-p2%y; subvel%z = p1%z-p2%z
end function subvel
  
```


```

function chsvel(p)
  implicit none
  type(velocity), intent(in) :: p
  type(velocity) :: chsvel
  chsvel%x = -p%x; chsvel%y = -p%y; chsvel%z = -p%z
end function chsvel
  
```




- ▶ We are fitting an infinite space into a finite box with periodic boundary conditions
- ▶ Wouldn't it be nice to define our operators with custom functionality?


```
interface operator(+)  
  function addpos(p1, p2)  
    type(position), intent(in) :: p1, p2  
    type(position) :: addpos  
  end function  
end interface operator(+)  
  
function addpos(p1, p2) ! Adds positions with periodic boundary conditions  
  implicit none  
  type(position), intent(in) :: p1, p2  
  type(position) :: addpos  
  real,parameter :: boxwidth = 128.0  
  
  addpos%x = modulo(p1%x+p2%x, boxwidth)  
  addpos%y = modulo(p1%y+p2%y, boxwidth)  
  addpos%z = modulo(p1%z+p2%z, boxwidth)  
end function addpos
```




- ▶ **interface operator(*op-name*)** lets you overload *op-name* with a generic procedure
  - ▶ Arguments must be **intent (in)** and can be either one or two
  - ▶ *op-name* may be an intrinsic operator, or a **.new\_name.**



- ▶ **interface operator(*op-name*)** lets you overload *op-name* with a generic procedure
  - ▶ Arguments must be **intent (in)** and can be either one or two
  - ▶ *op-name* may be an intrinsic operator, or a **.new\_name**.
- ▶ Precedence:
  - ▶ same for existing operators
  - ▶ highest for new unary operators
  - ▶ lowest for new binary operators




- ▶ **interface operator (*op-name*)** lets you overload *op-name* with a generic procedure
  - ▶ Arguments must be **intent (in)** and can be either one or two
  - ▶ *op-name* may be an intrinsic operator, or a **.new\_name**.
- ▶ Precedence:
  - ▶ same for existing operators
  - ▶ highest for new unary operators
  - ▶ lowest for new binary operators
- ▶ Now velocities may be added as intrinsic arithmetic types
- ▶ And defining subtraction is an easy job
- ▶ Positions may be added as usual intrinsic variables and boundary conditions are automatically imposed



- ▶ **interface operator** (*op-name*) lets you overload *op-name* with a generic procedure
  - ▶ Arguments must be **intent (in)** and can be either one or two
  - ▶ *op-name* may be an intrinsic operator, or a **.new\_name**.
- ▶ Precedence:
  - ▶ same for existing operators
  - ▶ highest for new unary operators
  - ▶ lowest for new binary operators
- ▶ Now velocities may be added as intrinsic arithmetic types
- ▶ And defining subtraction is an easy job
- ▶ Positions may be added as usual intrinsic variables and boundary conditions are automatically imposed
- ▶ Time for a module

# A Module Centered on Derived Types




```
module periodic_box
  implicit none
  real, private, parameter :: boxwidth = 128.0
  private addpos, addvel, chsvel, subvel, subpos

  type position
    real :: x, y, z
  end type position


  type velocity
    real :: x, y, z
  end type velocity

  interface operator(+)
    module procedure addpos
    module procedure addvel
  end interface operator(+)
! ...
contains
  function addpos(p1, p2) ! Adds positions with periodic boundary conditions on x
    type(position), intent(in) :: p1, p2
    type(position) :: addpos
    addpos%x = modulo(p1%x+p2%x, boxwidth)
    addpos%y = modulo(p1%y+p2%y, boxwidth)
    addpos%z = modulo(p1%z+p2%z, boxwidth)
  end function addpos

  function addvel
    !...
  end function addvel
! ...
end module periodic_box
```



- ▶ Again, modules are the best way of grouping related stuff
- ▶ Again, with modules and module procedures we don't need to write interface blocks
- ▶ Modules let us hide implementation details
- ▶ Best practice: put structure definitions and related functions and operators in modules
  - ▶ Anyway, they will be used together
  - ▶ When dealing with nested types with many related functions, a hierarchy of modules would probably help
  - ▶ Because, of course, you can **use** modules in a module



- ▶ Write a module that defines:
  - ▶ A new type *vector* made up of three real components
  - ▶ Operator `.cross.` for cross product
  - ▶ Operator `+` to sum two *vectors*
- ▶ Write a program to test your module

```

program test_class_vector
  use class_vector

  implicit none

  type(vector) :: v, w, z

  v=vector(1.d0,0.d0,0.d0)
  w=vector(0.d0,1.d0,0.d0)
  z=vector(0.d0,0.d0,1.d0)


  write(*,*) v+w.cross.z

end program test_class_vector
  
```

- ▶ Definition of cross product:

$$a \times b = (a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2) \hat{i} + (a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3) \hat{j} + (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) \hat{k}$$

- ▶ Then extend operators to have them work with array of vectors: it's elementary!



Managing Memory

Extending the Language

Derived Types

Operators Overloading


**Parameterized Types**

Extending Types, and Objects

Sketchy Ideas on Data Structures

Bridging the Gap with C

Conclusions




- ▶ What if we wanted different **kinds of points**?

- ▶ This is a possibility:

```
type point
  real( selected_real_kind(5) ) :: x, y, z
end type point
```

```
type widepoint
  real( selected_real_kind(12) ) :: x, y, z
end type widepoint
```

- ▶ But not very elegant, nor easy to manage




- ▶ In Fortran 2003, types may have kind type parameters:

```
type point(point_kind)
  integer, kind :: point_kind = kind(0.0)
  real(point_kind) :: x, y, z
end type point
```

```
type(point(point_kind=kind(0.0))) :: apoint
type(point) :: anotherpoint
type(point(selected_real_kind(12))) :: awiderpoint
```

- ▶ **kind** states that this type parameter behaves as a kind
- ▶ And it works as **kind** does for intrinsic types



- ▶ Structures may have array components

```

type segments(point_kind)
  integer, kind :: point_kind = kind(0.0)
  type(point(point_kind)), dimension(100) :: start_point
  type(point(point_kind)), dimension(100) :: end_point
end type segments
  
```

- ▶ Our `segments` type looks a bit rigid, doesn't it?


- ▶ Derived type parameters come to rescue:

```

type segments(point_kind, n)
  integer, kind :: point_kind = kind(0.0)
  integer, len :: n
  type(point(point_kind)), dimension(n) :: start_point
  type(point(point_kind)), dimension(n) :: end_point
end type segments
  
```

```

type(segments(n=100)) :: ahundredsegments
type(segments(n=1000)) :: athousandsegments
  
```



Managing Memory

Extending the Language

Derived Types

Operators Overloading


Parameterized Types

**Extending Types, and Objects**


Sketchy Ideas on Data Structures

Bridging the Gap with C


Conclusions




- ▶ So, we are able to define new types, and specialized procedures and operators to use them




- ▶ So, we are able to define new types, and specialized procedures and operators to use them
- ▶ This is what Computer Science priests term *Object-Based* programming




- ▶ So, we are able to define new types, and specialized procedures and operators to use them
- ▶ This is what Computer Science priests term *Object-Based* programming
- ▶ But **point**, **position**, and **velocity** have the same components
  - ▶ And that's always true, whatever the space dimensions
  - ▶ But they are conceptually (and dimensionally!) different things



- ▶ So, we are able to define new types, and specialized procedures and operators to use them
- ▶ This is what Computer Science priests term *Object-Based* programming
- ▶ But **point**, **position**, and **velocity** have the same components
  - ▶ And that's always true, whatever the space dimensions
  - ▶ But they are conceptually (and dimensionally!) different things
- ▶ And **particle**, and **atom** share identical components
  - ▶ And a **ion** would simply add a **charge** component



- ▶ So, we are able to define new types, and specialized procedures and operators to use them
- ▶ This is what Computer Science priests term *Object-Based* programming
- ▶ But **point**, **position**, and **velocity** have the same components
  - ▶ And that's always true, whatever the space dimensions
  - ▶ But they are conceptually (and dimensionally!) different things
- ▶ And **particle**, and **atom** share identical components
  - ▶ And a **ion** would simply add a **charge** component
- ▶ Wouldn't it be nice to 'inherit' from one type to another?
  - ▶ Yeah, and easier to manage, too!
  - ▶ And this is what CS priests call *Object-Oriented* programming, and is so trendy!



```
type point
  real :: x, y, z
end type point

type, extends(point) :: position
end type position


type, extends(point) :: velocity
end type velocity

type particle
  type(position) :: r
  type(velocity) :: v
  real :: mass
end type particle

type, extends(particle) :: atom
  integer :: an ! atomic number
end type atom

type, extends(atom) :: ion
  integer :: charge ! in units of elementary charge
end type ion
```

- ▶ **extends** means that the new type has the same components, and possibly more
- ▶ Now we still have to write procedures and operators, don't we?




- ▶ A type extension includes an implicit component with the same name and type as its parent type
  - ▶ this can come in handy when the programmer wants to operate on components specific to a parent type

```


type(ion) :: p                                ! declare p as a ion object

p%mass                                       ! access mass component for p
p%atom%mass                                 ! another way
p%atom%particle%mass                        ! ...
  
```

- ▶ We often say the child and parent types have a “is a” relationship
  - ▶ an atom “is” a particle
  - ▶ but a particle is not an atom because the atomic component may be found in atom but not in particle



- ▶ Consider the case you have to evolve the position of a particle according to a given velocity field
  - ▶ atoms or ions may behave in the (nearly) same way wrt this evolution
  - ▶ and you do not want to write two (nearly) identical procedures for the two types
  
- ▶ Polymorphic procedures are the right way
  - ▶ i.e., procedures which can take one or more polymorphic variables as arguments
  - ▶ “polymorphic variable” = variable whose data type is dynamic at runtime
  - ▶ the **class** keyword allows F2003 programmers to create polymorphic variables
  - ▶ use it for dummy arguments (the simplest usage, not the only one)



```

subroutine setMass(p, m)
class(particle) :: p
real, intent(in) :: m
p%mass = m
end subroutine setMass
  
```


- ▶ The **p** dummy argument is polymorphic, based on the usage of **class(particle)**
- ▶ The subroutine can operate on objects that satisfy the "is a" particle relationship
  - ▶ **setMass** can be called passing a particle, atom, ion, or any future type extension of particle

```


type(particle) :: pa      ! declare an instance of particle
type(atom)     :: at     ! declare an instance of atom
type(ion)      :: io     ! declare an instance of ion
  
```

```


call setMass(pa, mm)     ! set the mass for a particle
call setMass(at, mm)     ! set the mass for an atom
call setMass(io, mm)    ! set the mass for a ion
  
```




- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible



- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible
  - ▶ e.g., only mass, r, v are accessible for p declared as **class (particle)**




- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible
  - ▶ e.g., only mass, r, v are accessible for p declared as **class (particle)**
- ▶ To access the components of the dynamic type, the **select type** construct is required



- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible
  - ▶ e.g., only mass, r, v are accessible for p declared as **class (particle)**
- ▶ To access the components of the dynamic type, the **select type** construct is required
  - ▶ and optional arguments come in handy


# Selecting type




```

subroutine initialize(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, ccharge)
class(particle) :: p
real :: mm
type(position) :: rr
type(velocity) :: vv
integer, optional :: aan, ccharge
p%mass = mm
p%r = rr
p%v = vv
select type (p)
type is (particle)
! no further initialization required
class is (atom) ! atom or extensions (except ion)
if (present(aan)) then
    p%an = aan
else
    p%an = 1
endif
class is (ion) ! ion or extensions
if (present(aan)) then
    p%an = aan
else
    p%an = 1
endif
if (present(ccharge)) then
    p%charge = ccharge
else
    p%charge = 0
endif
class default ! give error for unexpected/unsupported type
stop 'initialize: unexpected type for p object!'
end select
end subroutine initialize


```



- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible
  - ▶ e.g., only mass, r, v are accessible for p declared as **class (particle)**
- ▶ To access the components of the dynamic type, the **select type** construct is required
  - ▶ and optional arguments come in handy
- ▶ There are two styles of type checks that we can perform




- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible
  - ▶ e.g., only mass, r, v are accessible for p declared as **class (particle)**
- ▶ To access the components of the dynamic type, the **select type** construct is required
  - ▶ and optional arguments come in handy
- ▶ There are two styles of type checks that we can perform
  - ▶ **type is**: satisfied if the dynamic type of the object is the same as the type specified in parentheses



- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible
  - ▶ e.g., only mass, r, v are accessible for p declared as **class (particle)**
- ▶ To access the components of the dynamic type, the **select type** construct is required
  - ▶ and optional arguments come in handy
- ▶ There are two styles of type checks that we can perform
  - ▶ **type is**: satisfied if the dynamic type of the object is the same as the type specified in parentheses
  - ▶ **class is**: satisfied if the dynamic type of the object is the same or an extension of the specified type in parentheses


# Selecting type




```

subroutine initialize(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, ccharge)
class(particle) :: p
real :: mm
type(position) :: rr
type(velocity) :: vv
integer, optional :: aan, ccharge
p%mass = mm
p%r = rr
p%v = vv
select type (p)
type is (particle)
    ! no further initialization required
class is (atom) ! atom or extensions (except ion)
    if (present(aan)) then
        p%an = aan
    else
        p%an = 1
    endif
class is (ion) ! ion or extensions
    if (present(aan)) then
        p%an = aan
    else
        p%an = 1
    endif
    if (present(ccharge)) then
        p%charge = ccharge
    else
        p%charge = 0
    endif
class default ! give error for unexpected/unsupported type
    stop 'initialize: unexpected type for p object!'
end select
end subroutine initialize

```




- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible
  - ▶ e.g., only mass, r, v are accessible for p declared as **class (particle)**
- ▶ To access the components of the dynamic type, the **select type** construct is required
  - ▶ and optional arguments come in handy
- ▶ There are two styles of type checks that we can perform
  - ▶ **type is**: satisfied if the dynamic type of the object is the same as the type specified in parentheses
  - ▶ **class is**: satisfied if the dynamic type of the object is the same or an extension of the specified type in parentheses
- ▶ Best practice: add a **class default** branch and print error when p is not an extension of **particle** type



- ▶ By default, only those components found in the declared type of an object are accessible
  - ▶ e.g., only mass, r, v are accessible for p declared as **class (particle)**
- ▶ To access the components of the dynamic type, the **select type** construct is required
  - ▶ and optional arguments come in handy
- ▶ There are two styles of type checks that we can perform
  - ▶ **type is**: satisfied if the dynamic type of the object is the same as the type specified in parentheses
  - ▶ **class is**: satisfied if the dynamic type of the object is the same or an extension of the specified type in parentheses
- ▶ Best practice: add a **class default** branch and print error when p is not an extension of **particle** type
  - ▶ an empty **type is (particle)** branch may be required to avoid getting error when p is only a particle


# Selecting type



```

subroutine initialize(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, ccharge)
class(particle) :: p
real :: mm
type(position) :: rr
type(velocity) :: vv
integer, optional :: aan, ccharge
p%mass = mm
p%r = rr
p%v = vv
select type (p)
type is (particle)
! no further initialization required
class is (atom) ! atom or extensions (except ion)
  if (present(aan)) then
    p%an = aan
  else
    p%an = 1
  endif
class is (ion) ! ion or extensions
  if (present(aan)) then
    p%an = aan
  else
    p%an = 1
  endif
  if (present(ccharge)) then
    p%charge = ccharge
  else
    p%charge = 0
  endif
class default ! give error for unexpected/unsupported type
  stop 'initialize: unexpected type for p object!'
end select
end subroutine initialize


```



- ▶ Objects in Fortran 2003
  - ▶ A Fortran 90/95 module can be viewed as an object because it can encapsulate both data and procedures
  - ▶ But, derived types in F2003 are considered objects because they now can encapsulate data as well as procedures
  - ▶ Modules and types work together...
- ▶ Procedures encapsulated in a derived type are called type-bound procedures (“methods” in OO jargon)

```
type particle
  type(position) :: r
  type(velocity) :: v
  real :: mass
  contains
    procedure :: initialize => initialize_particle
end type particle
```


- ▶ **initialize\_particle** is the name of the underlying procedure to be implemented
- ▶ Explicit interface is required: wrap in a module!



## ► Employing modules and types to design objects

```

module particle_mod
  type particle
    type(position) :: r
    type(velocity) :: v
    real :: mass
  contains
    procedure :: initialize => initialize_particle
  end type particle
  type, extends(particle) :: atom
  ...
  end type atom
  type, extends(atom) :: ion
  ...
  end type ion
contains
  ! insert the implementation or at least the interface of initialize_particle
  subroutine initialize_particle(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, ccharge)
  class(particle) :: p
  ...
  end subroutine initialize_particle
end module particle_mod
  
```



- ▶ `initialize` is the name to be used to invoke the type bound procedure

```

use particle_mod
type(particle) :: p           ! declare an instance of particle
call p%initialize(mas, pos, vel) ! initialize particle
  
```

- ▶ What about the first dummy argument of `initialize`?


- ▶ it is known as the *passed-object* dummy argument
- ▶ must be declared `class` and of the same type as the derived type that defined the type-bound procedure
- ▶ by default, it is the first dummy argument in the type-bound procedure: it receives the object that invoked the type-bound procedure

- ▶ It is possible to pass another argument in place of the first one

```
procedure, pass(p) :: initialize
```

- ▶ ...or to avoid passing it at all

```
procedure, nopass :: initialize
```




- ▶ A child type inherits or reuses components from their parent or ancestor types: this applies to both data and procedures


```

type(particle) :: pa           ! declare an instance of particle
type(atom)     :: at           ! declare an instance of atom
type(ion)      :: io           ! declare an instance of ion
call pa%initialize(mas, pos, vel) ! initialize a particle
call at%initialize(mas, pos, vel, anu) ! initialize an atom
call io%initialize(mas, pos, vel, anu, cha) ! initialize a ion
  
```

- ▶ **initialize** behaves accordingly to the passed arguments, i.e. using **optional** and **select type** features
- ▶ Sometimes, another approach may be more appropriate: overriding!




```
module particle_mod
type particle
  type(position) :: r
  type(velocity) :: v
  real :: mass
  contains
    procedure :: initialize => initialize_particle
end type particle
type, extends(particle) :: atom
  ...
  contains
    procedure :: initialize => initialize_atom
end type atom
type, extends(atom) :: ion
  ...
end type ion
contains
! insert the implementation or at least the interface of initialize
subroutine initialize_particle(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, cch)
class(particle) :: p
  ...
end subroutine initialize_particle
subroutine initialize_atom(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, cch)
class(atom) :: p
  ...
end subroutine initialize_atom
end module particle_mod
```




```
type(particle) :: pa           ! declare an instance of particle
type(atom)     :: at           ! declare an instance of atom
type(ion)      :: io           ! declare an instance of ion
call pa%initialize(mas, pos, vel) ! calls initialize_particle
call at%initialize(mas, pos, vel, anu) ! calls initialize_atom
call io%initialize(mas, pos, vel, anu, cha) ! calls initialize_atom
```

- ▶ Beware: an overriding type-bound procedure must have exactly the same interface as the overridden procedure except for the passed-object dummy argument which must be **class(new-type)**
  - ▶ optional arguments may hide useless arguments




```
module particle_mod
type particle
  type(position) :: r
  type(velocity) :: v
  real :: mass
  contains
    procedure :: initialize => initialize_particle
end type particle
type, extends(particle) :: atom
  ...
  contains
    procedure :: initialize => initialize_atom
end type atom
type, extends(atom) :: ion
  ...
end type ion
contains
! insert the implementation or at least the interface of initialize
subroutine initialize_particle(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, cch)
class(particle) :: p
  ...
end subroutine initialize_particle
subroutine initialize_atom(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, cch)
class(atom) :: p
  ...
end subroutine initialize_atom
end module particle_mod
```



```
type(particle) :: pa           ! declare an instance of particle
type(atom)     :: at           ! declare an instance of atom
type(ion)      :: io           ! declare an instance of ion
call pa%initialize(mas, pos, vel) ! calls initialize_particle
call at%initialize(mas, pos, vel, anu) ! calls initialize_atom
call io%initialize(mas, pos, vel, anu, cha) ! calls initialize_atom
```


- ▶ Beware: an overriding type-bound procedure must have exactly the same interface as the overridden procedure except for the passed-object dummy argument which must be **class (new-type)**
  - ▶ optional arguments may hide useless arguments
- ▶ Of course, it is still possible to explicitly invoke the version defined by a parent type instead of the overridden one




```
type(particle) :: pa           ! declare an instance of particle
type(atom)      :: at         ! declare an instance of atom
type(ion)       :: io         ! declare an instance of ion
call pa%initialize(mas, pos, vel) ! calls initialize_particle
call at%initialize(mas, pos, vel, anu) ! calls initialize_atom
call io%initialize(mas, pos, vel, anu, cha) ! calls initialize_atom
```

- ▶ Beware: an overriding type-bound procedure must have exactly the same interface as the overridden procedure except for the passed-object dummy argument which must be **class (new-type)**
  - ▶ optional arguments may hide useless arguments
- ▶ Of course, it is still possible to explicitly invoke the version defined by a parent type instead of the overridden one
- ▶ And it is possible to prevent any type extensions from overriding a particular type-bound procedure


```
procedure, non_overridable :: initialize
```




- ▶ Information hiding allows the programmer to view an object and its procedures as a “black box”
  - ▶ procedure overriding is a first example of information hiding, **initialize** has different “hidden” implementations depending on the calling object



- ▶ Information hiding allows the programmer to view an object and its procedures as a “black box”
  - ▶ procedure overriding is a first example of information hiding, **initialize** has different “hidden” implementations depending on the calling object
- ▶ Hiding data:
  - ▶ safer against data corruption: the user may modify data only through adequate procedures
  - ▶ changes to the data structure will not affect codes using our class provided that we don't change interfaces



- ▶ Information hiding allows the programmer to view an object and its procedures as a “black box”
  - ▶ procedure overriding is a first example of information hiding, **initialize** has different “hidden” implementations depending on the calling object
- ▶ Hiding data:
  - ▶ safer against data corruption: the user may modify data only through adequate procedures
  - ▶ changes to the data structure will not affect codes using our class provided that we don't change interfaces
- ▶ Hiding procedures: e.g., prevent users from calling low-level procedures




- ▶ Fortran 2003 adds “private” and “public” keywords for derived types
  - ▶ beware of the placement of the keywords, in modules and/or in types: confused?

```


module particle_mod
private ! hide the implementation of type-bound procedures
public :: average_position_particle ! allow access to particle averaging position
type, public :: particle
  private          ! hide the data underlying details
  type(position) :: r
  type(velocity) :: v
  real :: mass
contains
  private          ! hide the type bound procedures by default
  procedure       :: check_init => check_init_particle ! private type-bound procedure
  procedure, public :: initialize => initialize_particle ! allow access to TBP
end type particle
contains
! implementation of type-bound procedures
subroutine initialize_particle(p, mm, rr, vv, aan, cch)
...

subroutine check_init_particle(p)
...


subroutine average_position_particle(p1,p2)
class(particle) :: p1, p2
...
end subroutine average_position_particle
end module particle_mod
    
```




- ▶ Data Polymorphism:
  - ▶ as how polymorphic dummy arguments form the basis to procedure polymorphism...
  - ▶ ...polymorphic non-dummy variables form the basis to data polymorphism




- ▶ Data Polymorphism:
  - ▶ as how polymorphic dummy arguments form the basis to procedure polymorphism...
  - ▶ ...polymorphic non-dummy variables form the basis to data polymorphism
- ▶ Typed allocation




- ▶ Data Polymorphism:
  - ▶ as how polymorphic dummy arguments form the basis to procedure polymorphism...
  - ▶ ...polymorphic non-dummy variables form the basis to data polymorphism
- ▶ Typed allocation
- ▶ Unlimited Polymorphic Objects
  - ▶ you may encounter `class (*)`




- ▶ Data Polymorphism:
  - ▶ as how polymorphic dummy arguments form the basis to procedure polymorphism...
  - ▶ ...polymorphic non-dummy variables form the basis to data polymorphism
- ▶ Typed allocation
- ▶ Unlimited Polymorphic Objects
  - ▶ you may encounter `class (*)`
- ▶ Generic-type bound procedures
  - ▶ like generic interfaces, but for type-bound procedures



- ▶ Data Polymorphism:
  - ▶ as how polymorphic dummy arguments form the basis to procedure polymorphism...
  - ▶ ...polymorphic non-dummy variables form the basis to data polymorphism
- ▶ Typed allocation
- ▶ Unlimited Polymorphic Objects
  - ▶ you may encounter `class (*)`
- ▶ Generic-type bound procedures
  - ▶ like generic interfaces, but for type-bound procedures
- ▶ Abstract types and deferred bindings



- ▶ Data Polymorphism:
  - ▶ as how polymorphic dummy arguments form the basis to procedure polymorphism...
  - ▶ ...polymorphic non-dummy variables form the basis to data polymorphism
- ▶ Typed allocation
- ▶ Unlimited Polymorphic Objects
  - ▶ you may encounter `class (*)`
- ▶ Generic-type bound procedures
  - ▶ like generic interfaces, but for type-bound procedures
- ▶ Abstract types and deferred bindings
- ▶ Finalization



Managing Memory

Extending the Language

Derived Types

Operators Overloading

Parameterized Types


Extending Types, and Objects

**Sketchy Ideas on Data Structures**

Bridging the Gap with C

Conclusions

# A Recurrent Issue: SoA or AoS



```
► type flow
   real(rk) :: u(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: v(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: w(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: p(NX,NY,NZ)
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f
```


Or

```
type flow
   real(rk) :: u,v,w,p
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f(NX,NY,NZ)
```

Which one is best?

# A Recurrent Issue: SoA or AoS



```
► type flow
  real(rk) :: u(NX,NY,NZ)
  real(rk) :: v(NX,NY,NZ)
  real(rk) :: w(NX,NY,NZ)
  real(rk) :: p(NX,NY,NZ)
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f
```

Or


```
type flow
  real(rk) :: u,v,w,p
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f(NX,NY,NZ)
```

Which one is best?

► Both have merits

# A Recurrent Issue: SoA or AoS



```
► type flow
   real(rk) :: u(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: v(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: w(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: p(NX,NY,NZ)
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f
```

Or


```
type flow
   real(rk) :: u,v,w,p
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f(NX,NY,NZ)
```

Which one is best?

- Both have merits
- The choice strongly depends on the computer architecture

# A Recurrent Issue: SoA or AoS



```
► type flow
   real(rk) :: u(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: v(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: w(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: p(NX,NY,NZ)
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f
```

Or


```
type flow
   real(rk) :: u,v,w,p
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f(NX,NY,NZ)
```

Which one is best?

- Both have merits
- The choice strongly depends on the computer architecture
  - for cache-based CPUs the choice is difficult (it depends on the order of the accesses of your numerical scheme)

# A Recurrent Issue: SoA or AoS



```
▶ type flow
  real(rk) :: u(NX,NY,NZ)
  real(rk) :: v(NX,NY,NZ)
  real(rk) :: w(NX,NY,NZ)
  real(rk) :: p(NX,NY,NZ)
end type
```

```
type(flow) :: f
```



Or

```
type flow
  real(rk) :: u,v,w,p
end type
```



```
type(flow) :: f(NX,NY,NZ)
```

Which one is best?


- ▶ Both have merits
- ▶ The choice strongly depends on the computer architecture
  - ▶ for cache-based CPUs the choice is difficult (it depends on the order of the accesses of your numerical scheme)
  - ▶ but using GPUs or MICs the first one is usually better!



- ▶ Let's imagine we have to solve a PDE




- ▶ Let's imagine we have to solve a PDE
- ▶ On a dense, Cartesian, non uniform grid
  - ▶ Mesh axes are parallel to coordinate ones
  - ▶ Steps along each direction differ in size from point to point





```
type nonuniform_grid
  integer :: nx, ny, nz
  ! Grid steps
  real(rk), dimension(:), allocatable :: deltax
  real(rk), dimension(:), allocatable :: deltay
  real(rk), dimension(:), allocatable :: deltaz
end type
!...
type(nonuniform_grid) :: my_grid
integer :: alloc_stat
!...
allocate(my_grid%deltax(nx), my_grid%deltay(ny), &
         my_grid%deltaz(nz), STAT=alloc_stat)
if (alloc_stat > 0) then
  ! graceful failure
end if
```

- Related information is best kept together





```
type nonuniform_grid
  integer :: nx, ny, nz
  ! Grid steps
  real(rk), dimension(:), allocatable :: deltax
  real(rk), dimension(:), allocatable :: deltay
  real(rk), dimension(:), allocatable :: deltaz
end type
!...
type(nonuniform_grid) :: my_grid
integer :: alloc_stat
!...
allocate(my_grid%deltax(nx), my_grid%deltay(ny), &
         my_grid%deltaz(nz), STAT=alloc_stat)
if (alloc_stat > 0) then
  ! graceful failure
end if
```

- ▶ Related information is best kept together
- ▶ Grid size and grid steps are related information




- ▶ Let's imagine we have to solve a PDE



- ▶ Let's imagine we have to solve a PDE
- ▶ On a dense structured mesh
  - ▶ Could be continuously morphed to a Cartesian grid
  - ▶ Need to know coordinates of each mesh point

# Sketching a Mesh Description



```
type meshpoint
  real(rk) :: x, y, z
end type

type, extends(meshpoint) :: normal
end type

type mesh
  integer :: nx, ny, nz


  type(meshpoint), dimension(:,:,:), allocatable :: coords

  type(normal), dimension(:,:,:), allocatable :: xnormals
  type(normal), dimension(:,:,:), allocatable :: ynormals
  type(normal), dimension(:,:,:), allocatable :: znormals

  real(rk), dimension(:,:,:), allocatable :: volumes
end type
!...
type(mesh) :: my_mesh

! allocate my_mesh components with extents nx, ny, nz
! immediately checking for failures!
```

# A Recurrent Issue, Again



```
► real(rk) :: x(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: y(NX,NY,NZ)
   real(rk) :: z(NX,NY,NZ)
```


Or

```
type meshpoint
  real(rk) :: x, y, z
end type
```

```
type(meshpoint), dimension(NX,NY,NZ) :: coords
```

Which one is best?

# A Recurrent Issue, Again



- ▶ `real(rk) :: x(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: y(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: z(NX,NY,NZ)`

Or

```
type meshpoint
```

```
  real(rk) :: x, y, z
```


```
end type
```

```
type(meshpoint), dimension(NX,NY,NZ) :: coords
```

Which one is best?

- ▶ Again, both have merits

# A Recurrent Issue, Again



- ▶ `real(rk) :: x(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: y(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: z(NX,NY,NZ)`

Or


```
type meshpoint
  real(rk) :: x, y, z
end type
```

```
type(meshpoint), dimension(NX,NY,NZ) :: coords
```

Which one is best?

- ▶ Again, both have merits
  - ▶ The former (if done properly) allows hardware to play efficient tricks in memory accesses

# A Recurrent Issue, Again



- ▶ `real(rk) :: x(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: y(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: z(NX,NY,NZ)`

Or


```
type meshpoint
  real(rk) :: x, y, z
end type
```

```
type(meshpoint), dimension(NX,NY,NZ) :: coords
```

Which one is best?

- ▶ Again, both have merits
  - ▶ The former (if done properly) allows hardware to play efficient tricks in memory accesses
  - ▶ The latter brings in cache all values related to a grid point as soon as one component is accessed

# A Recurrent Issue, Again



- ▶ `real(rk) :: x(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: y(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: z(NX,NY,NZ)`

Or


```
type meshpoint
  real(rk) :: x, y, z
end type
```

```
type(meshpoint), dimension(NX,NY,NZ) :: coords
```

Which one is best?

- ▶ Again, both have merits
  - ▶ The former (if done properly) allows hardware to play efficient tricks in memory accesses
  - ▶ The latter brings in cache all values related to a grid point as soon as one component is accessed
- ▶ Here, we lean to the latter

# A Recurrent Issue, Again



- ▶ `real(rk) :: x(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: y(NX,NY,NZ)`  
`real(rk) :: z(NX,NY,NZ)`

Or


```
type meshpoint
  real(rk) :: x, y, z
end type
```

```
type(meshpoint), dimension(NX,NY,NZ) :: coords
```


Which one is best?

- ▶ Again, both have merits
  - ▶ The former (if done properly) allows hardware to play efficient tricks in memory accesses
  - ▶ The latter brings in cache all values related to a grid point as soon as one component is accessed
- ▶ Here, we lean to the latter
  - ▶ As in most numerical schemes,  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  components of the same mesh point are accessed together


# Multiblock Meshes and More




- ▶ A multiblock mesh is an assembly of connected structured meshes




- ▶ A multiblock mesh is an assembly of connected structured meshes
  - ▶ You could dynamically allocate a **mesh** array
  - ▶ Or build a **block** type including a **mesh** and connectivity information




- ▶ A multiblock mesh is an assembly of connected structured meshes
  - ▶ You could dynamically allocate a **mesh** array
  - ▶ Or build a **block** type including a **mesh** and connectivity information
  
- ▶ Adaptive Mesh Refinement



- ▶ A multiblock mesh is an assembly of connected structured meshes
  - ▶ You could dynamically allocate a **mesh** array
  - ▶ Or build a **block** type including a **mesh** and connectivity information
  
- ▶ Adaptive Mesh Refinement
  - ▶ You want your blocks resolution to adapt to dynamical behavior of PDE solution
  - ▶ Which means splitting blocks to substitute part of them with more resolved meshes




- ▶ A multiblock mesh is an assembly of connected structured meshes
  - ▶ You could dynamically allocate a **mesh** array
  - ▶ Or build a **block** type including a **mesh** and connectivity information
  
- ▶ Adaptive Mesh Refinement
  - ▶ You want your blocks resolution to adapt to dynamical behavior of PDE solution
  - ▶ Which means splitting blocks to substitute part of them with more resolved meshes
  
- ▶ Eventually, you'll need more advanced data structures



- ▶ A multiblock mesh is an assembly of connected structured meshes
  - ▶ You could dynamically allocate a **mesh** array
  - ▶ Or build a **block** type including a **mesh** and connectivity information
- ▶ Adaptive Mesh Refinement
  - ▶ You want your blocks resolution to adapt to dynamical behavior of PDE solution
  - ▶ Which means splitting blocks to substitute part of them with more resolved meshes
- ▶ Eventually, you'll need more advanced data structures
  - ▶ Like lists
  - ▶ Like binary trees, oct-trees, n-ary trees

# If You Read Code Like This...




```
type block_item
  type(block), pointer :: this_block

  type(block_item), pointer :: next
end type

!...
do while (associated(p))
  call advance_block_in_time(p%this_block)
  p => p%next
end do
```

# If You Read Code Like This...




```
type block_item
  type(block), pointer :: this_block

  type(block_item), pointer :: next
end type

!...
do while (associated(p))
  call advance_block_in_time(p%this_block)
  p => p%next
end do
```

- ▶ It is processing a singly-linked list of mesh blocks
- ▶ You know how to handle it, now

# And If You Read Code Like This...



```

type block_tree_node
  type(block), pointer :: this_block

  integer :: children_no
  type(block_tree_node), pointer :: childrens


  type(block_tree_node), pointer :: next_sibling
end type

!...
recursive subroutine tree_advance_in_time(n)
  type(block_tree_node) :: n
  type(block_tree_node), pointer :: p
  integer :: i

  p => n%childrens
  do i=0,n%children_no
    call tree_advance_in_time(p)
    p => p%next_sibling
  end do

  call advance_block_in_time(n%this_block)
end subroutine tree_advance_in_time
  
```

# And If You Read Code Like This...



```

type block_tree_node
  type(block), pointer :: this_block

  integer :: children_no
  type(block_tree_node), pointer :: childrens


  type(block_tree_node), pointer :: next_sibling
end type

!...
recursive subroutine tree_advance_in_time(n)
  type(block_tree_node) :: n
  type(block_tree_node), pointer :: p
  integer :: i


  p => n%childrens
  do i=0,n%children_no
    call tree_advance_in_time(p)
    p => p%next_sibling
  end do

  call advance_block_in_time(n%this_block)
end subroutine tree_advance_in_time
    
```


- ▶ It is processing a tree of mesh blocks (AMR, probably)
- ▶ You need to learn more on abstract data structures
- ▶ Don't be afraid, it's not that difficult



- ▶ Write a program that:




- ▶ Write a program that:
  - ▶ reads an 'arbitrarily' long column of real numbers from an ASCII file



- ▶ Write a program that:
  - ▶ reads an 'arbitrarily' long column of real numbers from an ASCII file
  - ▶ store the values in a double-linked list

```
type line_list
  real :: a
  type(line_list), pointer :: next
  type(line_list), pointer :: previous
endtype line_list
```




- ▶ Write a program that:
  - ▶ reads an 'arbitrarily' long column of real numbers from an ASCII file
  - ▶ store the values in a double-linked list

```
type line_list
  real :: a
  type(line_list), pointer :: next
  type(line_list), pointer :: previous
endtype line_list
```

- ▶ Start by declaring the first and current pointers

```
type(line_list), pointer :: first=>null(), current=>null()
```




- ▶ Write a program that:
  - ▶ reads an 'arbitrarily' long column of real numbers from an ASCII file
  - ▶ store the values in a double-linked list

```
type line_list
  real :: a
  type(line_list), pointer :: next
  type(line_list), pointer :: previous
endtype line_list
```
- ▶ Start by declaring the first and current pointers

```
type(line_list), pointer :: first=>null(), current=>null()
```
- ▶ Next, allocate and initialize the **first** pointer

```
allocate(first) ; first%next => null(); first%previous => null()
current => first
```



- ▶ Write a program that:
  - ▶ reads an 'arbitrarily' long column of real numbers from an ASCII file
  - ▶ store the values in a double-linked list

```
type line_list
  real :: a
  type(line_list), pointer :: next
  type(line_list), pointer :: previous
endtype line_list
```


- ▶ Start by declaring the first and current pointers

```
type(line_list), pointer :: first=>null(), current=>null()
```

- ▶ Next, allocate and initialize the **first** pointer

```
allocate(first) ; first%next => null(); first%previous => null()
current => first
```

- ▶ Then loop over the lines of the file until a invalid read occurs



- ▶ Write a program that:
  - ▶ reads an 'arbitrarily' long column of real numbers from an ASCII file
  - ▶ store the values in a double-linked list

```

type line_list
  real :: a
  type(line_list), pointer :: next
  type(line_list), pointer :: previous
endtype line_list
  
```

- ▶ Start by declaring the first and current pointers

```


type(line_list), pointer :: first=>null(), current=>null()
  
```

- ▶ Next, allocate and initialize the **first** pointer

```

allocate(first) ; first%next => null(); first%previous => null()
current => first
  
```

- ▶ Then loop over the lines of the file until a invalid read occurs
- ▶ For each valid read, add an element to the list and advance...




Managing Memory


Extending the Language

Bridging the Gap with C

Conclusions



- ▶ You may want to call a C function from a Fortran program
- ▶ Or call a Fortran procedure from a C program
- ▶ And you don't want to translate and re-debug
- ▶ Or you can't, as you don't have sources
  
- ▶ You may also want to share global data among C and Fortran program units
  
- ▶ This has been done in the past with non-standard tricks
- ▶ Fortran 2003 offers a better, standard way
- ▶ Let's look at it in steps




- Imagine you have this C function:

```
double avg_var(int n, const double a[], double *var) {  
    double avg = 0.0;  
    double avg2 = 0.0;  
    for(int i=0;i<n;i++) {  
        avg += a[i];  
        avg2 += a[i]*a[i];  
    }  
    avg = avg/n;  
    *var = avg2/n - avg*avg;  
    return avg;  
}
```

and you want to call it from your Fortran code like:

```
avg = avg_var(m,b,var)
```



- Imagine you have this C function:

```
double avg_var(int n, const double a[], double *var) {  
    double avg = 0.0;  
    double avg2 = 0.0;  
    for(int i=0;i<n;i++) {  
        avg += a[i];  
        avg2 += a[i]*a[i];  
    }  
    avg = avg/n;  
    *var = avg2/n - avg*avg;  
    return avg;  
}
```

and you want to call it from your Fortran code like:


```
avg = avg_var(m,b,var)
```

- Or you have your favorite, thoroughly tested Poisson solver:

```
interface  
    subroutine myPoissonSolver(l, m, n, f)  
        integer, intent(in) :: l, m, n  
        real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(inout) :: f(l,m,n)  
    end subroutine myPoissonSolver  
end interface
```

and you want to call it from your C code like:

```
myPoissonSolver(nx, ny, nz, field);
```



- ▶ We could think that Fortran interfaces and C declarations are enough

- ▶ And write, to call C from Fortran:

```

interface
  function avg_var(n, a, var)
    integer, intent(in) :: n
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(in) :: a(*)
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(out) :: var
    real(kind(1.0D0)) :: avg_var
  end function avg_var
end interface
  
```

- ▶ And to call Fortran from C, add on Fortran side:

```

interface
  subroutine myPoissonSolver(l, m, n, f)
    integer, intent(in) :: l, m, n
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(inout) :: f(l,m,n)
  end subroutine myPoissonSolver
end interface
  
```


and on the C side, the declaration:

```
void myPoissonSolver(int nx, int ny, int nz, field[nz][ny][nx]);
```


- ▶ This is the right track, but still half way from our destination

## Thou Shalt Not Mangle Names


- ▶ Fortran compilers mangle procedure names
  - ▶ All uppercase or all lowercase
  - ▶ Compilers may append/prepend one or two `_` characters
  - ▶ And for module procedures is even worse
  - ▶ Used to be sorted out on the C side, in non-portable ways



## Thou Shalt Not Mangle Names




- ▶ Fortran compilers mangle procedure names
  - ▶ All uppercase or all lowercase
  - ▶ Compilers may append/prepend one or two `_` characters
  - ▶ And for module procedures is even worse
  - ▶ Used to be sorted out on the C side, in non-portable ways
- ▶ Enter Fortran 2003 `bind` attribute



- ▶ Fortran compilers mangle procedure names
  - ▶ All uppercase or all lowercase
  - ▶ Compilers may append/prepend one or two `_` characters
  - ▶ And for module procedures is even worse
  - ▶ Used to be sorted out on the C side, in non-portable ways
- ▶ Enter Fortran 2003 `bind` attribute
- ▶ For C to Fortran:

```
interface
  function avg_var(n, a, var) bind(c)
    integer, intent(in) :: n
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(in) :: a(*)
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(out) :: var
    real(kind(1.0D0)) :: avg_var
  end function avg_var
end interface
```



- ▶ Fortran compilers mangle procedure names
  - ▶ All uppercase or all lowercase
  - ▶ Compilers may append/prepend one or two `_` characters
  - ▶ And for module procedures is even worse
  - ▶ Used to be sorted out on the C side, in non-portable ways

▶ Enter Fortran 2003 **bind** attribute

▶ For C to Fortran:

```

interface
  function avg_var(n, a, var) bind(c)
    integer, intent(in) :: n
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(in) :: a(*)
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(out) :: var
    real(kind(1.0D0)) :: avg_var
  end function avg_var
end interface
  
```

▶ For Fortran to C, Fortran side:

```


interface
  subroutine myPoissonSolver(l, m, n, f) bind(c)
    integer, intent(in) :: l, m, n
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(inout) :: f(l,m,n)
  end subroutine myPoissonSolver
end interface
  
```

and on the C side, the declaration:


```
void myPoissonSolver(int nx, int ny, int nz, field[nz][ny][nx]);
```

## Thou Shalt Care for Argument Passing


- ▶ Fortran passes arguments by reference
  - ▶ Under the hood, it's like a C pointer
  - ▶ Works for C arrays and pointers to scalar variables
  - ▶ But usually scalars are passed by value in C



## Thou Shalt Care for Argument Passing




- ▶ Fortran passes arguments by reference
  - ▶ Under the hood, it's like a C pointer
  - ▶ Works for C arrays and pointers to scalar variables
  - ▶ But usually scalars are passed by value in C
- ▶ Enter Fortran 2003 `value` attribute



- ▶ Fortran passes arguments by reference
  - ▶ Under the hood, it's like a C pointer
  - ▶ Works for C arrays and pointers to scalar variables
  - ▶ But usually scalars are passed by value in C
  
- ▶ Enter Fortran 2003 **value** attribute
- ▶ For C to Fortran:

```
interface
  function avg_var(n, a, var) bind(c)
    integer, value :: n
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(in) :: a(*)
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(out) :: var
    real(kind(1.0D0)) :: avg_var
  end function avg_var
end interface
```



- ▶ Fortran passes arguments by reference
  - ▶ Under the hood, it's like a C pointer
  - ▶ Works for C arrays and pointers to scalar variables
  - ▶ But usually scalars are passed by value in C
- ▶ Enter Fortran 2003 **value** attribute
- ▶ For C to Fortran:

```

interface
  function avg_var(n, a, var) bind(c)
    integer, value :: n
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(in) :: a(*)
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(out) :: var
    real(kind(1.0D0)) :: avg_var
  end function avg_var
end interface
  
```

- ▶ For Fortran to C, Fortran side:


```

interface
  subroutine myPoissonSolver(l, m, n, f) bind(c)
    integer, value :: l, m, n
    real(kind(1.0D0)), intent(inout) :: f(l,m,n)
  end subroutine myPoissonSolver
end interface
  
```


and on the C side, still the declaration:


```
void myPoissonSolver(int nx, int ny, int nz, field[nz][ny][nx]);
```

- ▶ Fortran is quite liberal on data sizes
  - ▶ Implementations have a lot of freedom
  - ▶ And C is also quite liberal




- ▶ Fortran is quite liberal on data sizes
  - ▶ Implementations have a lot of freedom
  - ▶ And C is also quite liberal
  
- ▶ Enter Fortran 2003 `iso_c_binding` module





- ▶ Fortran is quite liberal on data sizes
  - ▶ Implementations have a lot of freedom
  - ▶ And C is also quite liberal
- ▶ Enter Fortran 2003 `iso_c_binding` module
- ▶ For C to Fortran:

```
interface
  function avg_var(n, a, var) bind(c)
    use iso_c_binding
    integer(c_int), value :: n
    real(c_double), intent(in) :: a(*)
    real(c_double), intent(out) :: var
    real(c_double) :: avg_var
  end function avg_var
end interface
```



- ▶ Fortran is quite liberal on data sizes
  - ▶ Implementations have a lot of freedom
  - ▶ And C is also quite liberal
  
- ▶ Enter Fortran 2003 `iso_c_binding` module
- ▶ For C to Fortran:

```

interface
  function avg_var(n, a, var) bind(c)
    use iso_c_binding
    integer(c_int), value :: n
    real(c_double), intent(in) :: a(*)
    real(c_double), intent(out) :: var
    real(c_double) :: avg_var
  end function avg_var
end interface
  
```

- ▶ For Fortran to C, Fortran side:


```

interface
  subroutine myPoissonSolver(l, m, n, f) bind(c)
    use iso_c_binding
    integer(c_int), value :: l, m, n
    real(c_double), intent(inout) :: f(l,m,n)
  end subroutine myPoissonSolver
end interface
  
```

and on the C side, still the declaration:

```
void myPoissonSolver(int nx, int ny, int nz, field[nz][ny][nx]);
```

## More from `iso_c_binding`




- ▶ `iso_c_binding` defines named constants holding kind type parameter values for intrinsic types for the platform
- ▶ `integer(c_int)` is the kind value corresponding to a C `int`
- ▶ Negative values are used for unsupported C types, so the compiler will flag the problem


- ▶ A few of them:

Type	Kind	C type
<code>integer</code>	<code>c_int</code>	<code>int</code>
	<code>c_short</code>	<code>short int</code>
<code>real</code>	<code>c_float</code>	<code>float</code>
	<code>c_double</code>	<code>double</code>
<code>complex</code>	<code>c_float_complex</code>	<code>float _Complex</code>
	<code>c_double_complex</code>	<code>double _Complex</code>
<code>logical</code>	<code>c_bool</code>	<code>_Bool</code>
<code>character</code>	<code>c_char</code>	<code>char</code>


- ▶ Fortran 2008 adds `c_sizeof()`, check with your compiler!




- ▶ Fortran has multidimensional arrays
- ▶ C has arrays of arrays (of arrays...)
- ▶ Thus the mapping of array indexes to actual data layout in memory is inverted
  - ▶ Fortran array  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$
  - ▶ maps to C array  $\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{N}][\mathbf{M}][\mathbf{L}]$



- ▶ Fortran has multidimensional arrays
- ▶ C has arrays of arrays (of arrays...)
- ▶ Thus the mapping of array indexes to actual data layout in memory is inverted
  - ▶ Fortran array  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$
  - ▶ maps to C array  $\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{N}][\mathbf{M}][\mathbf{L}]$
- ▶ Before C99, the leading dimension of an array function parameter could not be specified in C
  - ▶ C array parameter  $\mathbf{a}[\ ]$
  - ▶ maps to Fortran assumed size array parameter  $\mathbf{a}(\star)$



- ▶ Fortran has multidimensional arrays
- ▶ C has arrays of arrays (of arrays...)
- ▶ Thus the mapping of array indexes to actual data layout in memory is inverted
  - ▶ Fortran array **a (L, M, N)**
  - ▶ maps to C array **a [N] [M] [L]**
- ▶ Before C99, the leading dimension of an array function parameter could not be specified in C
  - ▶ C array parameter **a []**
  - ▶ maps to Fortran assumed size array parameter **a (\*)**
- ▶ In C99, Variable Length Arrays were introduced
  - ▶ C99 array parameter **a [nz] [ny] [nx]**
  - ▶ maps to Fortran array parameter **a (nx, ny, nz)**



- ▶ **bind** also helps for derived types and global data
- ▶ For derived types, each component must be interoperable

Fortran

```

type, bind(c) :: particle
  integer(c_int) :: n
  real(c_float) :: x,y,z
  real(c_float) :: vx,vy,vz
end type particle
  
```

C

```

typedef struct particle {
  int n;
  float x,y,z;
  float vx,vy,vz;
} particle;
  
```

- ▶ For module variables or common blocks, use

Fortran

```

integer(c_long), bind(c) :: n

real(c_double) :: m,k
common /com_mk/ m,k
bind(c) :: /com_mk/
  
```

C


```

extern long n;

extern struct mk {
  double m, k;
} com_mk;
  
```

- ▶ Note: common blocks become C **structs**

# Fortran Pointers vs. C Pointers



- ▶ As of argument passing, not a problem
- ▶ But Fortran pointers are not interoperable with C
- ▶ Fortran pointers sport richer semantics, notably:
  - ▶ multidimensional arrays
  - ▶ non-contiguous memory areas
- ▶ C functions returning a pointer must have `type(c_ptr)` type (from `iso_c_binding`)
- ▶ Ditto for C pointer variables and pointer members of C `structs`:

## Fortran

```


type, bind(c) :: block
  integer(c_int) :: n_neighbors
  type(c_ptr) :: neighbors
  type(c_ptr) :: grid
end type block
  
```


## C

```


typedef struct {
  int n_neighbors;
  int *neighbors;
  mesh *grid;
} block;
  
```

- ▶ **iso\_c\_binding** module provides much needed help






- ▶ `iso_c_binding` module provides much needed help
- ▶ `c_loc(x)` returns a valid C pointer to the content of variable `x`
- ▶ `c_f_pointer(cptr, fptr[, shape])` performs the opposite translation, writing the result in the Fortran pointer `fptr`
  - ▶ An optional `shape` argument like `(/n/)` or `(/1,m,n/)` gives it a shape for array pointers




- ▶ `iso_c_binding` module provides much needed help
- ▶ `c_loc(x)` returns a valid C pointer to the content of variable `x`
- ▶ `c_f_pointer(cptr, fptr[, shape])` performs the opposite translation, writing the result in the Fortran pointer `fptr`
  - ▶ An optional `shape` argument like `(/n/)` or `(/1,m,n/)` gives it a shape for array pointers
- ▶ If `f_proc` is an interoperable Fortran procedure, `c_funloc(f_proc)` returns a valid C pointer (`type(c_funptr)`) to it
- ▶ `c_f_procpointer(cfptr, fpptr)` performs the opposite translation, writing the result in the Fortran procedure pointer `fpptr`



- ▶ Obviously, C and Fortran sources must be separately compiled and then linked

```
user@cineca$> gcc -c fun_cmd.c
user@cineca$> gfortran -c main_cmd.f90
user@cineca$> gfortran fun_cmd.o main_cmd.o -o main_cmd
```

- ▶ Easy, if calling C functions from a Fortran program
  - ▶ Fortran Runtime Library is usually built on top of C one




- ▶ Obviously, C and Fortran sources must be separately compiled and then linked

```
user@cineca$> gcc -c fun_cmd.c
user@cineca$> gfortran -c main_cmd.f90
user@cineca$> gfortran fun_cmd.o main_cmd.o -o main_cmd
```

- ▶ Easy, if calling C functions from a Fortran program
  - ▶ Fortran Runtime Library is usually built on top of C one
- ▶ Less so if calling Fortran procedures from a C program
  - ▶ Fortran compiler might insert calls to its Runtime Library
- ▶ Best practice:

```
user@cineca$> gcc -lgfortran procedures.o main.c
```

- ▶ Your mileage may vary, browse your compiler manuals



## ► Write the Fortran interface to C qsort

```

module qsort_c_to_fortran
  use iso_c_binding
  integer, parameter :: sp = kind(1.0)
  interface
    !Write the Fortran interface to C qsort!
    !void qsort(void *base,
    ! size_t nmemb,
    ! size_t size,
    ! int (*compar)(const void *,const void *));
  end interface
contains
  function compare_reals(a,b) bind(c)
    integer(c_int) :: compare_reals
    real(c_float) :: a,b
    if(a>b) then
      compare_reals=1
    else if(a<b) then
      compare_reals=-1
    else
      compare_reals=0
    endif
  end function compare_reals
end module qsort_c_to_fortran

program test_qsort_c
  use qsort_c_to_fortran
  integer(c_size_t), parameter :: n=7
  real(c_float), pointer :: a(:)


  allocate(a(n))
  call random_number(a)
  print*, 'Unordered a: '
  print*, a

  call qsort(c_loc(a(1)), n, c_sizeof(a(1)), &
    c_funloc(compare_reals));

  print*, 'Ordered a: '
  print*, a

end program test_qsort_c

```




Managing Memory


Extending the Language

Bridging the Gap with C


Conclusions




- ▶ More Fortran practice
  - ▶ Time was tight, and that's your job




- ▶ More Fortran practice
  - ▶ Time was tight, and that's your job
- ▶ More about programming
  - ▶ Code development management tools
  - ▶ Debugging tools
  - ▶ Look among CINECA HPC courses



- ▶ More Fortran practice
  - ▶ Time was tight, and that's your job
- ▶ More about programming
  - ▶ Code development management tools
  - ▶ Debugging tools
  - ▶ Look among CINECA HPC courses
- ▶ More Fortran
  - ▶ Full object oriented programming
  - ▶ Floating point environment
  - ▶ Direct I/O
  - ▶ Asynchronous I/O
  - ▶ Submodules
  - ▶ Even more format edit descriptors
  - ▶ A few more statements and quite a few intrinsics
  - ▶ Coarrays



-  J3 US Fortran Standards Committee  
<http://www.j3-fortran.org/>
-  ISO WG5 Committee  
<http://www.nag.co.uk/sc22wg5/>
-  Fortran 2003 Standard Final Draft  
Search Internet for n3661.pdf
-  Fortran Wiki  
<http://fortranwiki.org/>
-  M. Metcalf, J. Reid, M. Cohen  
*Fortran 95/2003 Explained*  
Oxford University Press, corrected ed., 2008
-  M. Metcalf, J. Reid, M. Cohen  
*Modern Fortran Explained*  
Oxford University Press, 2011
-  S. Chapman  
*Fortran 95/2003 for Scientists & Engineers*  
McGraw-Hill, 3d ed., 2007
-  Adams, J.C., Brainerd, W.S., Hendrickson, R.A., Maine, R.E., Martin, J.T., Smith, B.T.  
*The Fortran 2003 Handbook*  
Springer, 2009



Salvatore Filippone's Home Page

[www.ce.uniroma2.it/people/filippone.html](http://www.ce.uniroma2.it/people/filippone.html)



Parallel Sparse Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines

[www.ce.uniroma2.it/psblas/index.html](http://www.ce.uniroma2.it/psblas/index.html)



Numerical Engine (for) Multiphysics Operators

[www.ce.uniroma2.it/nemo/index.html](http://www.ce.uniroma2.it/nemo/index.html)



Portable Fortran Interfaces to the Trilinos C++ Package


[trilinos.sandia.gov/packages/fortrilinos/](http://trilinos.sandia.gov/packages/fortrilinos/)



Stefano Toninel

*Development of a New Parallel Code for Computational Continuum Mechanics  
Using Object-Oriented Techniques*

PhD Thesis, University of Bologna, 2006.



These slides are ©CINECA 2013 and are released under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) Creative Commons license, version 3.0.

Uses not allowed by the above license need explicit, written permission from the copyright owner. For more information see:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>

Slides and examples were authored by:

- ▶ Federico Massaioli
- ▶ Marco Rorro
- ▶ Michela Botti
- ▶ Francesco Salvatore