

Luca Ferraro Stefano Tagliaventi

CINECA Roma - SCAI Department

Rome, 13-15 April 2015

Outline

Object Oriented Design

- OO languages allow for definition of new, very rich, powerful, domain specific types and operations
- Particularly C++, with all its features
- This is not as easy as it may seem from the first examples one meets
- Object Oriented programming is all about design
- A design choice in one class may have annoying consequences elsewhere
- Fundamental OOP principle:

The sins of the fathers are to be laid upon the children

W. Shakespeare, "The Merchant of Venice", act. III, sc. V

Positions in Space

- We want a type that represents positions in a 3D space
- And we want all arithmetic operations that make sense:
 - unary + and -
 - binary + and -
 - multiplication times a scalar and division by a scalar
 - dot product
 - and combined operator-assignment like +=

Design and Implementation Choices

- The three components of a position are well defined: let's have them public
- Let's use double precision
- Let's define two constructors:
 - 1 a default constructor, doing nothing
 - 2 a constructor that initializes the three components
- For arithmetic operators, let's use a traditional approach:
 - 1 define unary ones as methods (they are so simple!)
 - 2 define combined operator-assignment as methods (they modify the object!)
 - 3 define all other binary operators in terms of the previous ones
- And let's make them inline, as they are small and used very often
 - It's automatic on methods defined inside the class

position.h: Fundamentals

```
#ifndef POSITION H
#define POSITION H
struct position {
    double x, v, z:
    position() {}
    position(double a, double b, double c) : x(a), y(b), z(c) {}
    position operator+() const { return *this; }
    position operator-() const { return position(-x, -v, -z); }
    position& operator+= (position r) { x += r.x; y += r.y; z += r.z; return *this; }
    position& operator == (position r) { x -= r.x; v -= r.v; z -= r.z; return *this; }
    position& operator*= (double s) { x *= s; y *= s; z *= s; return *this; }
    position& operator/= (double s) { x /= s; y /= s; z /= s; return *this; }
1:
#endif
```

Arithmetic Operators Alternatives

- Implemented as position client functions
 - + read and write access are separated
 - were data members private, dot product would not work
 - looking at the class definition is not enough
- Implemented as position member functions
 - + all supported operations listed in class definition
 - + all operations have complete access and work
 - left operand passed by reference!
- Implemented as position friend functions
 - + all supported operations listed in class definition
 - + all operations have complete access and work
 - both operands passed by value
- Remember:
 - · member functions are inherited on derivation
 - client and friend functions are not

position.h: Operators as Clients

```
struct position {
    double x, v, z:
    position() {}
    position(double a, double b, double c) : x(a), y(b), z(c) {}
    position operator+() const { return *this; }
    position operator-() const { return position(-x, -v, -z); }
    position& operator+= (position r) { x += r.x; y += r.y; z += r.z; return *this; }
    position& operator == (position r) { x -= r.x: y -= r.y: z -= r.z: return *this: }
    position& operator*= (double s) { x *= s; y *= s; z *= s; return *this; }
    position& operator/= (double s) { x /= s; y /= s; z /= s; return *this; }
1:
inline position operator+ (position r1, position r2) {
   r1 += r2:
   return r1;
inline double operator* (position r1, position r2) {
    return r1.x*r2.x + r1.y*r2.y + r1.z*r2.z;
```

position.h: Operators as Methods

```
struct position {
    double x, v, z:
    position() {}
    position(double a, double b, double c) : x(a), y(b), z(c) {}
    position operator+() const { return *this; }
    position operator-() const { return position(-x, -v, -z); }
    position& operator+= (position r) { x += r.x; y += r.y; z += r.z; return *this; }
    position& operator = (position r) { x -= r.x; y -= r.y; z -= r.z; return *this; }
    position& operator*= (double s) { x *= s; y *= s; z *= s; return *this; }
    position& operator/= (double s) { x /= s; y /= s; z /= s; return *this; }
    position operator+ (position r) const:
    double operator* (position r) const;
};
inline position position::operator+ (position r) const {
    position temp(*this);
    temp += r;
    return temp:
inline double position::operator* (position r) const {
    return x*r.x + y*r.y + z*r.z;
```

position.h: Operators as Friends

```
struct position {
    double x, v, z:
    position() {}
    position(double a, double b, double c) : x(a), y(b), z(c) {}
    position operator+() const { return *this; }
    position operator-() const { return position(-x, -y, -z); }
    position& operator+= (position r) { x += r.x; y += r.y; z += r.z; return *this; }
    position& operator== (position r) { x -= r.x; y -= r.y; z -= r.z; return *this; }
    position& operator *= (double s) { x *= s; v *= s; z *= s; return *this; }
    position& operator/= (double s) { x /= s: v /= s: z /= s: return *this: }
    friend position operator+ (position r1, position r2);
    friend double operator* (position r1, position r2);
};
inline position operator+ (position r1, position r2) {
    r1 += r2:
    return r1:
inline double operator* (position r1, position r2) {
    return r1.x*r2.x + r1.v*r2.v + r1.z*r2.z:
```

Hands-on Session #1

- Write the operator functions for:
 - binary position subtraction
 - multiplication times a scalar and division by a scalar
 - · equality and inequality
- Write a program that exercises the position class:
 - · testing all methods and operators
 - verifying operator associativity and precedence in complicated expressions
 - · verifying illegal expressions are rejected by the compiler

Operator Arguments

- Operator arguments ar almost always defined as:
 - references, when content is changed by the operator
 - const references, when it isn't
- This is not a matter of style
 - Indispensable, in the first case
 - · Wise, in the second
- Expression evaluation involves intermediate values
 - Which have to be properly constructed and destructed
 - I.e. suitable constructors and destructors are called
- Here, we dispensed with const references
 - · For the sake of simplicity
 - Because constructors are so simple that the compiler can easily optimize the code
- This is not true for more complicated types: use const references in real codes!

Consistency

- OO Programming amounts to extending the base language into a domain specific language
- Consistency is key to facilitate use of new data types and code reuse
- Consistency must be ensured on two sides:
 - 1 the application domain
 - 2 the base language
- Let's analyze the issue by adding cross product and modulus to position class

Methods as Messages

Let's add in the class definition two methods:

- · So that:
 - r1.cross (r2) returns the cross product
 - and r1.abs() returns the modulus
- This style is typical of programmers overexposed to other OO languages, like Smalltalk, that think of methods as messages sent to an object
- Unfortunately:
 - it's inconsistent with the application domain
 - it's inconsistent with C++ style of arithmetic
 - may be confusing and ambiguous to users

Functions Can be Our Friends

Let's add to position.h the two functions:

and make the former (or possibly both) a friend of position class

- This is:
 - + quite consistent with the application domain
 - + definitely consistent with C++ style of arithmetic
 - + and if both are friends, you'll spot them all at a glance in the class definition

A Tempting Alternative

• Let's add in position class:

```
position& operator%= (position r) {
    x = y*r.z - z*r.y,
    y = z*r.x - x*r.z,
    z = x*r.y - y*r.x);
    return *this;
}

friend position operator% (position r1, position r2);

and to position.h the function:
inline position operator% (position r1, position r2) {
    r1 %= r2;
    return r1;
}
```

• This is:

- very consistent with the application domain, even if the operator symbol differs
- +/- slightly inconsistent with C++ style of arithmetic, as the % operator is the integer modulo

Hands-on Session #2

- In a 3D space, velocities have the same components and arithmetics of positions
- Let's try the following:

```
#include "position.h"

struct velocity : public position {
    velocity()
    velocity(double a, double b, double c) : position(a,b,c)
};
```

- · Of course, friend functions will not be inherited
- · Nonetheless:
 - try to exercise the velocity class
 - verify that a velocity value cannot be assigned to a position object or viceversa
 - check which position methods can be successfully used with velocity values and objects

The Code Reuse Problem

Inheriting **velocity** from **position** class has some drawbacks:

- velocity values can be assigned to position variables
- Base class methods that return an object of the base class can't be immediately used on the derived class
- As we said, we got a bonus conversion from velocity to its base class, and this cannot be hidden
- Adding two velocities to get a position is not physically sound

Using a Common Base Clase

- The obvious solution: have both position and velocity inherit from a common base class vect and delegate actual computations to it
- position and velocity will be two separate branches of the inheritance tree, so no conversion between them will be possible
- To avoid using vect as the base class and convert it on its derived:
 - declare protected all its methods, so that no operations can be performed outside its derived classes
 - declare protected its constructors, so that no object can be created outside its derived classes

vect.h: Part 1 of 3

```
#ifndef VECT H
#define VECT H
#include <cmath>
struct vect {
   double x, v, z:
protected:
   vect() {}
    vect(double a, double b, double c) : x(a), v(b), z(c) {}
    vect operator+() const { return *this; }
    vect operator-() const { return vect(-x, -v, -z); }
    vect& operator+= (vect v) { x += v.x; y += v.y; z += v.z; return *this; }
    vect& operator== (vect v) { x -= v.x; y -= v.y; z -= v.z; return *this; }
    vect& operator*= (double s) { x *= s: v *= s: z *= s: return *this: }
    vect& operator/= (double s) { x /= s; y /= s; z /= s; return *this; }
    vect operator+ (vect v) const:
    vect operator- (vect v) const:
    double operator* (vect v) const;
    vect operator* (double s) const:
    friend vect operator* (double s. vect v):
    vect operator/ (double s) const;
    bool operator == (vect v) const:
    bool operator!= (vect v) const:
    friend double abs(vect v):
1:
```

vect.h: Part 2 of 3

```
inline vect vect::operator+ (vect v) const {
    vect temp(*this);
   temp += v;
    return temp;
inline vect vect::operator- (vect v) const {
   vect temp(*this);
   temp -= v;
   return temp;
inline double vect::operator* (vect v) const {
    return x*v.x + y*v.y + z*v.z;
inline vect vect::operator* (double s) const {
   vect temp(*this);
   temp *= s;
   return temp;
inline vect operator* (double s, vect v) {
   v *= s;
   return v;
inline vect vect::operator/ (double s) const {
    vect temp(*this);
   temp /= s;
    return temp;
```

vect.h: Part 3 of 3

```
inline bool vect::operator== (vect v) const {
    return x==v.x && y==v.y && z==v.z;
}
inline bool vect::operator!= (vect v) const {
    return !(*this==v);
}
inline double abs(vect v) {
    return sqrt(v*v);
}
```

position: Deriving from vect

```
struct position : public vect {
protected:
   position(vect r) : vect(r) {}
public:
   position() {}
   position(double a, double b, double c) : vect(a,b,c) {}
   position operator+() const { return *this; }
   position operator-() const { return this->vect::operator-(); }
   position& operator+= (position r) { this->vect::operator+=(r); return *this; }
   position& operator = (position r) { this -> vect:: operator == (r); return *this; }
   position& operator *= (double s) { this->vect::operator *= (s): return *this: }
   position& operator/= (double s) { this->vect::operator/=(s): return *this: }
   position operator+ (position r) const { return this->vect::operator+(r): }
   position operator- (position r) const { return this->vect::operator-(r): }
   double operator* (position r) const { return this->vect::operator*(r); }
   position operator* (double s) const { return this->vect::operator*(s); }
   friend position operator* (double s. position r);
   position operator/ (double s) const { return this->vect::operator/(s); }
   bool operator== (position r) const { return this->vect::operator==(r); }
   bool operator!= (position r) const { return this->vect::operator!=(r): }
   friend double abs(position r);
};
inline position operator* (double s, position r) {
   r *= s:
   return r:
inline double abs(position r) {
```

velocity: Deriving from vect

```
struct velocity : public vect {
protected:
   velocity(vect r) : vect(r) {}
public:
   velocity() {}
   velocity(double a, double b, double c) : vect(a,b,c) {}
   velocity operator+() const { return *this; }
   velocity operator-() const { return this->vect::operator-(); }
   velocity& operator+= (velocity v) { this->vect::operator+=(v); return *this; }
   velocitv& operator== (velocity v) { this->vect::operator==(v); return *this; }
   velocity& operator*= (double s) { this->vect::operator*=(s): return *this: }
   velocity& operator/= (double s) { this->vect::operator/=(s): return *this: }
   velocity operator+ (velocity v) const { return this->vect::operator+(v): }
   velocity operator- (velocity v) const { return this->vect::operator-(v): }
   double operator* (velocity v) const { return this->vect::operator*(v); }
   velocity operator* (double s) const { return this->vect::operator*(s); }
   friend velocity operator* (double s. velocity v):
   velocity operator/ (double s) const { return this->vect::operator/(s); }
   bool operator== (velocity v) const { return this->vect::operator==(v); }
   bool operator!= (velocity v) const { return this->vect::operator!=(v): }
   friend double abs(velocity v);
};
inline velocity operator* (double s. velocity v) {
   v *= s:
   return v:
inline double abs(velocity v) {
```

The Power of Syntax Checking

- We could derive from vect more classes:
 - acceleration
 - momentum
 - force
 - angular velocity
 - · angular momentum
 - torque
 - electric field
 - magnetic field
- Compiler will perform syntax checking, and ensure no misuses are made
- But we can do more... let's define a time class

A Class to Represent Physical Time

```
class time {
    double val:
public :
   time() {}
   time(double t) : val(t) {}
    time operator+() const { return *this; }
    time operator-() const { return time(-val); }
// <op>= member operators
   member arithmetic operators (sum, difference,
//
                                 multiplication and division by a scalar)
// all comparison member operators
// friend << and >> operators for iostreams
    friend position operator* (velocity v, time t);
    friend position operator* (time t, velocity v);
    friend velocity operator/ (position r, time t);
1:
position operator* (velocity v, time t) {
    return v*t.val:
position operator* (time t, velocity v) {
    return v*t.val:
velocity operator/ (position r, time t) {
    return r/t.val:
```

Dimensionally Consistent Arithmetic

- Let's also enroll the three mixed type operators in position and velocity friends
- Note: depending on include file structure this will involve forward declarations like:

```
class time;
struct velocity;
or other pairs from the three classes, to be added in suitable
places
```

- And we'll get a physical quantities arithmetic whose dimensional consistency is checked by the compiler
 - Have a look at Units or MPL in the Boost library (for braves only)

Consistent Extension and Code Reuse

- Better yet, we could:
 - define a base class for a generic physical scalar implementing the basic arithmetic and I/O
 - protecting all its members, including constructors, like we did with vect
 - derive time, mass, charge, pressure, temperature, ... classes from it, like we did with position and velocity from vect
 - define suitable mixed-type operations according to the rule of physics
- And we'd get all the benefits of code reuse and syntax checking

Outline

Class Templates

- Like functions, classes can be parameterized
- Class templates generate multiple specific classes from a single definition
- Templates are a form of overloading
 - · And can be themselves overloaded
- Templates can be combined together
 - A template class can inherit from another template class
 - A template class method can be a template in itself
- Templates are one of the most powerful features of C++
 - They are the foundation of generic programming
 - They can be an alternative to inheritance

float VS. double

- We based our vectors on doubles, but we could need a float version to use less memory
- We could duplicate the code for floats, but this is bad for code management
- Let's make vect and its descendants parametric in the coordinate type
- And let's make it safely, and consistently with C++ rules for arithmetic
- We'll have to proceed in steps

vect.h: Template Version Part 1 of 3

```
#ifndef VECT H
#define VECT H
#include <cmath>
template<class T> struct vect {
    T x, y, z;
protected:
   vect() {}
    vect(T a, T b, T c) : x(a), v(b), z(c) {}
    vect operator+() const { return *this; }
    vect operator-() const { return vect(-x, -v, -z); }
    vect& operator+= (vect v) { x += v.x; y += v.y; z += v.z; return *this; }
    vect& operator== (vect v) { x -= v.x; y -= v.y; z -= v.z; return *this; }
    vect& operator*= (T s) { x *= s: v *= s: z *= s: return *this: }
    vect& operator/= (T s) { x /= s; y /= s; z /= s; return *this; }
    vect operator+ (vect v) const:
    vect operator- (vect v) const:
    T operator* (vect v) const;
    vect operator* (T s) const:
    template<class F> friend vect<F> operator* (F s, vect<F> v);
    vect operator/ (T s) const;
    bool operator == (vect v) const;
    bool operator!= (vect v) const:
    template<class F> friend F abs(vect<F> v);
1:
```

vect.h: Template Version Part 2 of 3

```
template<class T> inline vect<T> vect<T>::operator+ (vect<T> v) const {
    vect<T> temp(*this):
    temp += v:
    return temp;
template<class T> inline vect<T> vect<T>::operator- (vect<T> v) const {
    vect<T> temp(*this);
    temp -= v:
    return temp;
template<class T> inline T vect<T>::operator* (vect<T> v) const {
    return x*v.x + y*v.y + z*v.z;
template<class T> inline vect<T> vect<T>::operator* (T s) const {
    vect<T> temp(*this);
    temp *= s:
    return temp:
template<class F> inline vect<F> operator* (F s, vect<F> v) {
    v *= s:
    return v:
```

vect.h: Template Version Part 3 of 3

```
template<class T> inline vect<T> vect<T>::operator/ (T s) const {
    vect<T> temp(*this);
    temp /= s;
    return temp;
}

template<class T> inline bool vect<T>::operator== (vect<T> v) const {
    return x==v.x && y==v.y && z==v.z;
}

template<class T> inline bool vect<T>::operator!= (vect<T> v) const {
    return !(*this==v);
}

template<class F> inline F abs(vect<F> v) {
    return std::sqrt(v*v);
}

#endif
```

vect Template: Remarks

- class T is a template type parameter
 - It doesn't need to be a class
 - It can be any type
- Method declarations do not need to be in template form, it's automatic
- Method definitions *inside* the class definition do not need to be in template form, it's automatic
- Method definitions *outside* the class definition must be in template form
- Friend function templates must be declared in template form inside the class
- Of course, we have to 'templatize' position and velocity too
- And we'll make double the default, using a default template argument

position: Template Version

```
template<class T=double> struct position : public vect<T> {
protected:
   position(vect<T> r) : vect<T>(r) {}
public:
   position() {}
   position(double a, double b, double c) : vect<T>(a,b,c) {}
   position operator+() const { return *this; }
   position operator-() const { return this->vect<T>::operator-(); }
   position& operator+= (position v) { this->vect<T>::operator+=(v); return *this; }
   position& operator == (position v) { this -> vect < T > :: operator == (v); return *this; }
   position& operator *= (T s) { this->vect < T>:: operator *= (s): return *this: }
   position& operator/= (T s) { this->vect<T>::operator/=(s): return *this: }
   position operator+ (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator+(v): }
   position operator- (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator-(v): }
   T operator* (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator*(v); }
   position operator* (T s) const { return this->vect<T>::operator*(s); }
   template<class F> friend position<F> operator* (F s, position<F> v);
   position operator/ (T s) const { return this->vect<T>::operator/(s); }
   bool operator== (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator==(v); }
   bool operator!= (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator!=(v): }
   template<class F> friend F abs(position<F> v);
};
template<class F> inline position<F> operator* (F s. position<F> v) {
   v *= s:
   return v:
template<class F> inline F abs(position<F> v) {
```

velocity: Template Version

```
template<class T=double> struct velocity : public vect<T> {
protected:
   velocity(vect<T> r) : vect<T>(r) {}
public:
   velocity() {}
   velocity(double a, double b, double c) : vect<T>(a,b,c) {}
   velocity operator+() const { return *this; }
   velocity operator-() const { return this->vect<T>::operator-(); }
   velocity& operator+= (velocity v) { this->vect<T>::operator+=(v); return *this; }
   velocity& operator == (velocity v) { this -> vect < T >:: operator == (v); return *this; }
   velocitv& operator*= (T s) { this->vect<T>::operator*=(s): return *this: }
   velocitv& operator/= (T s) { this->vect<T>::operator/=(s): return *this: }
   velocity operator+ (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator+(v): }
   velocity operator- (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator-(v): }
   T operator* (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator*(v); }
   velocity operator* (T s) const { return this->vect<T>::operator*(s); }
   template<class F> friend velocity<F> operator* (F s, velocity<F> v);
   velocity operator/ (T s) const { return this->vect<T>::operator/(s); }
   bool operator== (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator==(v); }
   bool operator!= (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator!=(v): }
   template<class F> friend F abs(velocity<F> v);
};
template<class F> inline velocity<F> operator* (F s, velocity<F> v) {
   v *= s:
   return v:
template<class F> inline F abs(velocity<F> v) {
```

Hands-on Session #4

- Write a program to exercise the position class template
- Then try to mix in the same expression:
 - floats with position < double > S
 - doubleS With position<float>S
 - position<float>S With position<double>S
- Verify that position<> is equivalent to position<double>
- And try combinations like position<unsigned int> or position<char>

The Perils of Class Templates

- Now we can represent coordinates in single and double precision
- And, if we are not careful enough, we could represent unsigned and char coordinates
- Or, for that matter, we could happen to represent coordinates with velocitys, or whatever abstract type that supports some sort of exotic arithmetic
 - · very subtle bugs are possible
 - particularly if a template is not explicitly instantiated, and members are instantiated on demand
- To make our classes more robust, let's allow only position<float> and position<double> objects
- To this purpose, we need to exploit:
 - one more feature: template specialization
 - a common C++ idiom: traits classes

Template Specialization

- It's a form of overloading
- A specialized template is a specific implementation for specific values of template arguments
- Very useful to complete the generic template with specific ones optimized for particular cases
- Specialized template and functions prevail on less specialized ones in overload resolution
- More on this later, let's use it now to avoid position template abuses
- Brute force solution:
 - defining only two specialized templates, vect<float> and vect<double>
 - but this is code replication, bad for source code management
- Clever solution: traits classes

vect.h: using Traits

```
#ifndef VECT H
#define VECT H
#include <cmath>
template<class T> struct vectComponentTrait {};
template<> struct vectComponentTrait<double> {
    typedef double component;
};
template<> struct vectComponentTrait<float> {
    typedef float component;
};
template<class T> struct vect {
    typename vectComponentTrait<T>::component x;
    T v. z:
protected:
//...
```

...everything else unchanged

vect Component Traits

- vectComponentTrait<> template defines a suitable type for a vect component
 - But it does so only for argument types we allow
 - And doesn't define anything in the general case
- vectComponentTrait<>::component is used to define vect<> first member
 - typename tells the compiler that it is a type indeed
- Thus, an instantiation of the vect<> template will:
 - succeed, if the argument type is float or double
 - · fail at compile time otherwise
- · Please, notice:
 - · no code duplication
 - no other changes to vect<> or its descendants
 - to add support for one more type, just add one more specialization of vectComponentTrait<>

More on Traits Classes

- Traits classes are widely used in Standard C++ Library and in many other libraries
- They usually don't have data members, only:
 - type members
 - · static methods
- Traits classes static methods are useful to abstract a unified interface from a bunch of heterogeneous classes
- Traits classes with more than one type member help to manage mixed precision computations
- Do you remember the issues we had with gcd() and lcm() on mixed precision types?
 - To avoid troubles, we had to hide the template
 - And use it explicitly in (too) many wrapper functions
- Now we know more, let's write an elegant solution

numbertheory.h 3.0 - Part 1 of 2

```
#ifndef NUMBERTHEORY H
#define NUMBERTHEORY H
template<class T, class F> struct numth traits {}:
template<> struct numth traits<int, int> {
    typedef int narrow t;
    typedef int wide t:
};
template<> struct numth traits<int, long> {
    typedef int narrow_t;
    typedef long wide t;
1:
template<> struct numth traits<long, int> {
    typedef int narrow t:
   typedef long wide_t;
};
template<> struct numth_traits<long, long> {
    typedef long narrow t;
   typedef long wide t;
1:
```

numbertheory.h 3.0 - Part 2 of 2

```
// Greatest Common Divisor
template <class T. class F>
typename numth traits<T,F>::narrow t gcd(T aa, F bb) {
  typename numth traits<T.F>::wide t a = abs(aa);
 typename numth traits<T,F>::wide t b = abs(bb);
 if (a == 0)
   return b:
 if (b == 0)
   return a:
 do {
    typename numth traits<T,F>::wide t t = a % b;
   a = b:
   b = t:
  } while (b != 0);
  return a:
// Least Common Multiple
template <class T, class F>
typename numth_traits<T,F>::wide_t lcm(T a, F b) {
 if (a == 0 || b == 0)
   return 0:
 return a*(b/gcd(a,b));
#endif
```

Mixed Precision Arithmetic and Consistency

- Excluding mixed precision computations is very annoying
- We have three options
- Defining implicit conversions and rely on them
 - Inconsistent with C++ rules!
 - Arithmetic operations on a float and a double shall be performed in double
 - Automatic down-conversion shall only happen on assignment
- 2 Do as in C++ does with complex<> values
 - Mixed precision only allowed on =, +=, -=, *=, /=
 - Explicit conversions needed otherwise
- Implement mixed precision arithmetic for all binary operators
 - Let's go for option #2

vect: Mixed Precision Arithmetic

```
template<class T> struct vect {
   typename vectComponentTrait<T>::component x;
   T v. z:
protected:
   vect() {}
   vect(T a, T b, T c) : x(a), v(b), z(c) {}
   template<class F> vect(const vect<F> &v) : x(v.x), v(v.v), z(v.z) {}
   vect operator+() const { return *this; }
   vect operator-() const { return vect(-x, -v, -z); }
   template<class F>
       vect & operator = (vect < F > v) { x = v.x; v = v.v; z = v.z; return *this; }
   template <class F>
       vect& operator+= (vect<F> v) { x += v.x: v += v.v: z += v.z: return *this: }
   template <class F>
       vect& operator-= (vect<F> v) { x -= v.x; y -= v.y; z -= v.z; return *this; }
   template <class F>
       vect& operator*= (F s) { x *= s; y *= s; z *= s; return *this; }
   template <class F>
       vect& operator/= (F s) { x /= s; y /= s; z /= s; return *this; }
   vect operator+ (vect v) const;
   vect operator- (vect v) const;
   T operator* (vect v) const:
   vect operator* (T s) const;
   template<class F> friend vect<F> operator* (F s, vect<F> v);
   vect operator/ (T s) const:
   bool operator == (vect v) const:
   bool operator!= (vect v) const;
```

Remarks

- A template copy constructor has been added
- Thus, instantiating vect<double> would automatically generate, as needed:

```
vect<double> vect<double>(const vect<float> &v);
vect<double> vect<double> &v);
```

 And instantiating vect<float> would automatically generate, as needed:

```
vect<float> vect<float> (const vect<float> &v);
vect<float> vect<float> (const vect<double> &v);
```

- Ditto for =, +=, -=, *=, /=
- Now we need to change position and velocity definitions accordingly
- And make their copy constructor explicit to avoid unintended 'automagic' behavior

position: Mixed Precision Arithmetic

```
template<class T=double> struct position : public vect<T> {
protected:
    position(vect<T> r) : vect<T>(r) {}
public:
    position() {}
    position(double a, double b, double c) : vect<T>(a,b,c) {}
    template<class F> explicit position(const position<F> &v) : vect<T>(v) {}
    position operator+() const { return *this; }
    position operator-() const { return this->vect<T>::operator-(): }
    template<class F> position& operator= (position<F> v)
                            { this->vect<T>::operator=(v): return *this: }
    template <class F> position& operator+= (position<F> v)
                            { this->vect<T>::operator+=(v): return *this: }
    template <class F> position& operator-= (position<F> v)
                            { this->vect<T>::operator-=(v); return *this; }
    template <class F>
        position& operator *= (F s) { this->vect <T>::operator *= (s): return *this: }
    template <class F>
        position& operator/= (F s) { this->vect<T>::operator/=(s); return *this; }
    position operator+ (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator+(v); }
    position operator- (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator-(v); }
    T operator* (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator*(v): }
    position operator* (T s) const { return this->vect<T>::operator*(s); }
    template<class F> friend position<F> operator* (F s, position<F> v);
    position operator/ (T s) const { return this->vect<T>::operator/(s): }
    bool operator == (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator == (v): }
    bool operator!= (position v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator!=(v); }
    template<class F> friend F abs(position<F> v);
```

velocity: Mixed Precision Arithmetic

```
template<class T=double> struct velocity : public vect<T> {
protected:
    velocity(vect<T> r) : vect<T>(r) {}
public:
    velocity() {}
    velocity(double a, double b, double c) : vect<T>(a,b,c) {}
    template<class F> explicit velocity(const velocity<F> &v) : vect<T>(v) {}
    velocity operator+() const { return *this; }
    velocity operator-() const { return this->vect<T>::operator-(): }
    template<class F> velocity& operator= (velocity<F> v)
                            { this->vect<T>::operator=(v): return *this: }
    template <class F> velocity& operator+= (velocity<F> v)
                            { this->vect<T>::operator+=(v): return *this: }
    template <class F> velocitv& operator-= (velocitv<F> v)
                            { this->vect<T>::operator-=(v); return *this; }
    template <class F>
        velocitv& operator*= (F s) { this->vect<T>::operator*=(s): return *this: }
    template <class F>
        velocity& operator/= (F s) { this->vect<T>::operator/=(s); return *this; }
    velocity operator+ (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator+(v); }
    velocity operator- (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator-(v); }
    T operator* (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator*(v): }
    velocity operator* (T s) const { return this->vect<T>::operator*(s); }
    template<class F> friend velocity<F> operator* (F s, velocity<F> v);
    velocity operator/ (T s) const { return this->vect<T>::operator/(s): }
    bool operator== (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator==(v); }
    bool operator!= (velocity v) const { return this->vect<T>::operator!=(v); }
    template<class F> friend F abs(velocity<F> v);
```

Enough for Now

- Implementing full mixed precision arithmetic is the next logical step
- Quite similar to gcd() and lcm() mixed precision arguments issue
- · Unsurprisingly, traits classes come to rescue
- · Homework assignment:
 - test that the traits based templates we implemented work as intended
 - test that the simplified mixed precision arithmetic version we implemented works as intended
 - implement full mixed precision aritmetic using traits classe as we did in gcd() and lcm()

Template Parameters

```
template<int N, class T> class vertex : public position<T> {
  protected:
    position<T> *edge[N];
  public:
    vertex() : position<T>() {
        for(int i=0; i<N; ++i)
            edge[i] = NULL;
    }
    int edgesno() { return N; }
    // more methods, friends...
}</pre>
```

- Templates are not restricted to a single parameter
- And template parameters do not need to be types
- They can also be constant expressions of int type, to:
 - size internal data structures
 - bound a constant into methods of an object at creation
 - ...
- Or constant expressions of pointer or reference type
- Actually, non-type template parameters turn templates into a powerful, Turing complete, declarative language

Non-Type Parameters and Specialization

- Non-type parameters too support overloading and specialization
- Let's imagine we want to write a generic code to do plasma physics simulations in 2D and 3D spaces
- In two dimensions, we only have the x and y coordinates
- · We could:
 - add an int D parameter to vect and its descendants
 - write two specialized versions with 2 or 3 components respectively
 - adapt all operators and functions accordingly (the cross product is now a scalar!)
 - and create objects of position<2, double> or position<3, float> type
- But now for something completely different...

polynomial.h: Part 1 of 2

```
#ifndef POLYNOMIAL H
#define POLYNOMIAL H
#include <cstring>
#include <stdexcept>
template<class T=double> class polynomial {
    int order;
    T *coeff:
    T horner(T x) const;
public:
    polynomial() : order(-1), coeff(NULL) { }
    polynomial(int n) : order(n), coeff(new T[n+1]) {
        for (int i = 0; i < n+1; ++i)
            coeff[i] = 0.0:
    polynomial(int n, const T c[]) : order(n), coeff(new T[n+1]) {
       memcpv(coeff, c, (n+1)*sizeof(T));
    }
    ~polynomial() { delete[] coeff; }
    polynomial(const polynomial& p);
    polynomial& operator= (const polynomial& p):
    int degree() const { return order; }
    T& operator[] (int i) { return coeff[i]; }
    T operator() (T x) const { return horner(x); }
};
```

polynomial.h: Part 2 of 2

```
// deep copy constructor
template<class T> polynomial<T>::polynomial(const polynomial<T>& p)
                                           : order(p.order), coeff(NULL) {
    if (order >= 0) {
        coeff = new T[order+1]:
        memcpy(coeff, p.coeff, (order+1)*sizeof(T));
// deep assignment
template<class T> polvnomial<T>& polvnomial<T>::operator= (const polvnomial<T>& p) {
    if (this == &p) return *this;
    order = p.order;
    delete[] coeff: coeff = NULL:
    if (order >= 0) {
        coeff = new T[order+1];
        memcpy(coeff, p.coeff, (order+1)*sizeof(T));
    return *this;
// polynomial evaluation with horner algorithm
template < class T > T polynomial < T > :: horner (T x) const {
    if (!coeff) throw std::domain error("uninitialized polynomial"):
    T p = coeff[order];
    for (int i=order-1: i>=0: --i)
        p = p*x + coeff[i]:
    return p;
```

polynomial: Miscellaneous Remarks

- All constructors put the pointer to coefficients storage in a consistent state
- Copy constructor and assignment must be explicitly defined because of deep copy
- delete on a null pointer does not cause errors
- The [] operator is overloaded to allow accessing coefficients like it were an array
- Yes, all operators can be overloaded
 - arithmetic (+, -, *, /, %, ~, |, &, ^, <<, >>)
 - increment and decrement (++, --)
 - assignment (=, +=, -=, *=, /=, %=, &=, |=, ^=, <<=, >>=)
 - comparison (==, !=, <, >, <=, >=)
 - logical (!, | |, &&, ^^)
 - address of, dereferencing, and access (&, *, ->, [])
 - function call (()) and more

Let's Get Real

- We'll never evaluate a 10 thousands degree polynomial with this class
- Most polynomials in use are of low degree
- And high degree ones require special numeric care
- Or have so many zero coefficients to make this approach inefficient
- Moreover, when iteration count is known at compile time, compilers generate better code for loops
- Let's add an integer parameter to the template, to fix the degree at compile time
- We'll loose some runtime flexibility, but the class will become very simple

polynomials of Fixed Degree

```
#ifndef POLYNOMIAL H
#define POLYNOMIAL H
#include <cstring>
template<int N, class T=double> class polynomial {
    T coeff[N+1];
    T horner(T x) const {
        T p = coeff[N];
        for (int i=N-1: i>=0: --i)
            p = p*x + coeff[i];
        return p;
    }
public:
    polynomial() {
        for (int i = 0; i < N+1; ++i)
            coeff[i] = 0.0:
    }
    polynomial(const T c[]) {
        memcpy(coeff, c, (N+1)*sizeof(T));
    }
    T& operator[] (int i) { return coeff[i]; }
    T operator() (T x) const { return horner(x); }
};
#endif
```

Remarks and New Ideas

- Coefficients are now stored inside the object: no need for deep copies
- No dynamic memory allocation:
 - default destructor is OK
 - no need to throw exceptions
- Too simple? Wait...
- Most polynomial approximations are of very low degree
- And we could suspect that the function call and the loop cost more than the calculations
- Should we build specialized template of the class for low degrees to make them more efficient?
 - NO! duplicating code is bad
 - Let's use template metaprogramming

Template Metaprogramming

- We said templates are a declarative language
- In declarative languages, loops are performed by recursion
 - A final step is defined, for the first or the last iteration
 - All other iterations are defined in terms of the next or previous one
- The basic idea is:
 - 1 Define a function template with an integer parameter
 - 2 Having it perform one iteration and invoke itself recursively incrementing or decrementing the parameter
 - 3 Making a specialized version for the ending interations
 - 4 Make all these templates expand inline
- Unfortunately, we need a special kind of template specialization not allowed in function templates
- We'll have to use a helper class template

polynomial.h: Expanding the Loop

```
#ifndef POLYNOMIAL H
#define POLYNOMIAL H
#include <cstring>
template<int N. class T=double> class polynomial {
   T coeff[N+1]:
   // U is the polinomial type, M is max degree, C is current iteration
   template < class U, int M, int C > struct horner { // private template struct
       static U eval(const U *c, U x) { // with a static method
           return horner<0,M,C-1>::eval(c, x)*x + c[M-C]; // using recursion
   1:
   template<class U, int M> struct horner<U,M,O> { // partial specialization
       static U eval(const U *c. U x) { return c[M]; }
   };
public:
   polynomial() {
       for (int i = 0; i < N+1; ++i)
           coeff[i] = 0.0:
   polynomial(const T c[]) {
       memcpv(coeff, c, (N+1)*sizeof(T));
    }
   T& operator[] (int i) { return coeff[i]; }
   T operator() (T x) const { return horner<T,N,N>::eval(coeff, x); }
};
```

A Few Details

- The helper class templates are private members of the class to avoid namespace pollution
- coeff must be passed in because its address is unknown at compile time and eval() is a static method
- As methods are defined inside a class, they will be expanded inline
- A template specializing only part of its parameters has a special syntax
- And is termed a partial specialization

Hands-on Session #5

- Write a program to exercise the polynomial class template
- Test that all functionalities work as desired
- Test that template metaprogramming works
 - By compiling with -c option
 - And looking at symbols in the object file (using nm command)
 - Then compiling with -c -O2 or -c -O3
 - And looking again at symbols in the object file
- Beware: if you don't invoke the functor, no template will be instantiated
 - And no symbols of interest will show up in the object
- Then test what happens if out of bounds indexes are passed to the [] operator

Want to Know More?

- Template metaprogramming is incredibly powerful
 - Likewise, you can define if/then/else, switch-like structures,
 ...
 - Gives way much more flexibility than the macro preprocessor
 - It's abundantly used in libraries (notably, the STL)
 - You may encounter it in very complex codes
- Template metaprogramming is at least as difficult as powerful
 - It stresses compilers and programmers
 - C++ standard and bibles are silent or very obscure
 - Search for info and help on the web

Outline

Standard Template Library

- Research effort started in 1979, to explore generic implementations abstracting widely used data organizations and manipulations
- Developed in different languages, in the same decade C++ was developed
- Until both efforts joined in 1993
- STL revolutionized OO programming
- It sports:
 - container data structures hosting other objects
 - iterators to access their contents
 - generic algorithms operating on data in a container
 - functors to be applied by the latter

Containers

- A panoply of options:
 - vector<>
 - list<>
 - deque<>
 - set<>
 - map<>
 - ...
 - #include <container> and start using it
- STL containers shine at managing objects whose number changes dynamically
- Far easier to use and flexible than C++ built-in arrays:
 - · manage memory for you
 - · keep track of how many objects they hold
 - · and more...
- May appear slower than C++ built-in arrays
 - · But they are not, if wisely used
 - Their methods implement code you should anyway write

Containers Memory Organization

- contiguous-memory containers like vector<>, string<>, deque<>:
 - store all N elements consecutively in one or more chunks of memory
 - on insertion/deletion of an element, only elements in the same chunk have to be shifted
 - thus sequential access is very fast (O(1)), while insertions and deletions can be slow (O(N))
- node-based containers like list<> or map<>:
 - store each element in an independent chunk of memory
 - on insertion/deletion of an element, only pointers in neighboring ones are affected
 - they trade sequential access speed (O(N)) for fast insertions and deletions (O(1))

Choosing the Proper Container

- Do you need to insert or delete elements in arbitrary positions?
 - Containers such as lists, queues or deques will perform better
- Do you only add elements at the end?
 - A vector could be ok
- Do you need constant time, fast access?
 - A vector, no doubts
- Has internal data to be layout-compatible with C?
 - Only vectors will do
- Is it crucial that on insertion or deletion other elements do not move in memory?
 - · Vectors and contiguous-memory containers will not do

Collecting Atoms

- We want to collect in a container representations of atoms in a box we are simulating
 - An Atom class is used to represent each atom
- · We want direct access to any atom, using an index
- Number of atoms in our box is constant during the simulation
- But only known after reading a complex input file
- Our (quite obvious) choice: a vector<Atom>

A Sketch to Read Atoms

```
// prepare an empty vector container for atoms
vector<Atom> system;

// read atomic positions and properties
// and store them in system vector
while (!in.eof() || in.good()) {
   Atom current_atom();
   in >> current_ atom;
   system.push_back(current_atom);
}

// print out a report for DEBUGGING
cerr << "System has " << system.size() << "atoms" << endl;</pre>
```

Automatic Incremental Growth

- STL containers automatically grow to accommodate additional items
- push_back () method inserts an element at the end
- This operation might involve a preliminary resize, i.e.:
 - 1 allocation of a new, bigger memory block
 - 2 copy of all elements from old to new block
 - 3 destruction of objects in old block
 - 4 deallocation of old memory block
- Beware!
 - Steps 1 to 3 can be very expensive
 - Any pointer/reference to a container's element might be invalidated!

reserve() in Advance

```
// prepare an empty vector container for atoms
vector<Atom> system;
system.reserve(educated_guess);

// read atomic positions and properties
// and store them in system vector
while (!in.eof() || in.good()) {
   Atom current_atom();
   in >> current_ atom;
   system.push_back(current_atom);
}

// print out a report for DEBUGGING
cerr << "System has " << system.size() << "atoms" << endl;</pre>
```

Reserving Space in Advance

- reserve (size_t n) method reserves space in advance for n items of element type
- If we reserve enough space:
 - no reallocation will be needed on push_back() calls
 - a lot of memory reallocations and data copies will be spared
 - a lot of constructor and destructor calls will be spared too
- Related methods:
 - capacity () returns how many elements fit in memory presently allocated by the container
 - size() tells how many elements are in the container
 - empty() ... guess it

An Experiment

Trimming Memory Usage

- Many STL implementations will double a vector<>
 memory on automatic resize
 - No problem going from 100KB to 200KB
 - An issue if going from 1GB to 2GB
- You can free unused space with: vector<Atom>(system).swap(system);
 - vector<Atom> (system) creates an unamed temporary copy of system
 - Copy constructor allocates just enough memory for existing elements
 - swap (system) method call swaps memory blocks between the two
 - At; the temporary is destructed and memory freed
- Beware: next push_back() will result in a resize

Hands-on Session #1

- pop_back () method removes last element from a vector
- Take the bingo class inheriting from rng
- Use a vector for the list of numbers to draw
- Use another vector for the list of already drawn numbers
- Dispense with m field and rewrite the class relying on vectors

What do you think of the new code?

Inter-Atomic Interactions

- atom_i interacts with atom_i if their distance is less than R
- Atomic positions evolve in time
 - Some atoms will depart, some will come closer
 - Interacting pairs will change
- For each atom, at each simulation time step, we need to list all atoms it interacts with
- This is a costly process
 - Comparing distances for each pair has $O(N^2)$ complexity
 - R might depend on interacting atomic species and force field
 - Unbearable for medium to large systems
 - Newton's Third Law can halve it, but is not enough

Linked-Cells

- A widely used technique
- Simulation domain is split into regular cells
- Interaction lists for each atom are computed considering:
 - 1 atoms belonging to the same cell
 - 2 atoms belonging to 26 (in 3D) neighboring cells
- Using lists of atoms located in each cell, complexity reduces to O(N)
- Still, atom moves, diffusing from cell to cell
- We need suitable data structures to represent:
 - atoms in a cell
 - · the cell itself
 - the collection of cells composing our domain
 - interaction lists

Our Choices

- The cell itself
 - A very simple class
- · Collection of cells
 - · Direct access to neighbouring cells is needed
 - Let's use vectors
- Atoms in a cell
 - We'll scan them sequentially for interactions
 - At each time step, some of them will change cell
 - Let's use a list
- Interaction lists
 - · Rebuilt from scratch at each time step
 - Lists or vectors?
 - Let's opt for vectors, more cache friendly and quick to access

Linked-Cells

```
vector< vector<Atom *> > interactions(system.size());
struct cell {
 unsigned n atoms;
 list<Atom *> atom list: // atoms belonging to current cell
 cell() : n atoms(0) {}
 unsigned size() const { return n atoms: }
};
// compute cell size and n_x, n_y, n_z from R and box size
vector< vector<cell> > linkedCells(n z); // resize() at construction
// linkedCells build up
for (int k=0: k < n z: ++k) {
   linkedCells[k].resize(n y);
   for (int j=0; j < n_y; ++j) {
       linkedCells[k][j].resize(n_x)
       for (int i=0; i < n x; ++i)
           linkedCells[k][j][i] = cell(); // invokes member constructors
```

Containers are Composable

- We got:
 - a vector<>
 - Of vector<>S
 - Of vector<>S
 - Of structs
- Beware!
 - This looks like a built-in array:
 cell linkedCells[n_z][n_y][n_z]
 - But is very different!
 - Each vector<> in a vector< vector <> > may have different size
 - If you forget, you might insert bugs in your code

Copies or Pointers?

- Containers store copies of the template parameter type
- Easy answer: use less memory
 - Use pointers to system elements for atoms in cells and interaction lists
 - · Use values for container of cells
- Object-smart answer: copies of objects might be costly
 - Copy constructor or copy assignment must be called
- Inheritance-smart answer: copy leads to 'slicing'
 - If you put a derived class object in a container for its base class and try to copy it back
 - Unless you make copy assignments and constructors virtual, which adds to costs
 - · Pointers are safer in this respect
- Beware: destruction of pointer containers does not destruct pointees
 - · Which is what we need, with atoms

Lists

- Lists are better for frequent insertions and deletions
 - Each element is an independent chunk of memory
 - No O(N) resize costs, insertion/deletion is O(1)
 - Pointers to unaffected elements still valid afterwards
- They provide many insertion and deletion methods
 - push_front() / push_back() insert a new item at beginning/end of list (O(1))
 - pop_front() / pop_back() delete an item at beginning/end of list (O(1))
 - insert (pos) / erase (pos) insert/delete the item at position pos of list (O(N)!)
 - remove (val) deletes all items with value val
- Beware of size() in node-based containers!
 - It's (O(N))
 - That's why we cache size in n_atoms member of cell
 - Always use O(1) my_list.empty() instead of (my_list.size() == 0)

Putting Atoms in Cells

```
const double invDimCell_x = n_x/BoxSide_x;
const double invDimCell_y = n_y/BoxSide_y;
const double invDimCell_z = n_z/BoxSide_z;

for (int idx=0; idx<system.size(); idx++) {
    Atom& atom = system[idx];

    int i = invDimCell_x * atom.pos.x;
    int j = invDimCell_y * atom.pos.y;
    int k = invDimCell_z * atom.pos.z;

    linkedCells[i][j][k].atom_list.push_back(&atom);
    linkedCells[i][j][k].n_atoms++;
}</pre>
```

lists Aren't vectors

- Lists don't provide capacity () method
 - They don't need it!
- Ditto for reserve () method
- Lists provide special member functions for moving elements
 - Generally faster since they only change pointers
- Lists don't support subscript operator [i] nor at (i)
 - To avoid performance noxious abuses
- So, how to run through a list or list portion?

Iterators

- Elements of a list are accessed through iterators
- A generic technique
 - Usable with any container kind (also for vectors)
 - They mimic pointers
 - In fact, pointers are good iterators for contiguous-memory containers
 - Trickier ones are needed for node-based containers
- Basic syntax
 - begin () method returns iterator 'pointing' to first container element
 - end() method returns iterator 'pointing' right 'after' last container element
 - use end () for comparisons only
 - j++ / -- j advances/steps back the iterator
 - *j returns the element it 'points' to
- #include <iterator> for more iterator flavors

Building Interaction Lists

```
for(int i=0; i < system.size(); i++) {
    Atom& atom i = system[i];
    int iC = invDimCell x * atom i.pos.x:
    int jC = invDimCell y * atom i.pos.y;
    int kC = invDimCell z * atom i.pos.z;
    cell& here = linkedCells[kC][iC][iC];
    interactions[i].clear() // destroy all element from the vector, capacity not affect
    // cell side slightly larger than maxInteractionRadius
    interactions[i].reserve(ceil(here.n atoms*acos(-1)/6.0)*8);
    // define an iterator to explore the interaction lists
    list<Atom *>::iterator i:
    for (j = here.atoms.begin(); j != here.atoms.end(); j++)
        if ( *i != &atom i && mvShortRangeField.interact(atom i, *i) )
            interactions[i].push back(*i);
    // loops on neighboring cells atoms ...
```

More STL Containers

- We already met valarray<>s
- deque<>
 - Double ended queue
 - Similar to vector<>, can easily add/remove elements on both ends
- map<> and multimap<>
 - Associative containers good for (key,value) pairs
 - Keep elements sorted according to some criterion
- set<> and multiset<>
 - Associative containers mimicking logical sets
 - Elements search has O(log(N)) complexity
- And more...

valarray<> VS. vector<>

- Do not mistake one for the other
- Both are composable
 - Different elements may be containers of different size
- valarray<> supports elementwise arithmetic
 - vector<> does not
- vector<> supports automatic resize
 - valarray<> does not
- Both support manual resize using resize () method
 - But a valarray<> loses its contents!
 - While a vector<> does not

The Illusion of Container-Independent Code

- Sequence containers provide push_front() and/or push_back()
 - Associative containers do not
- Contiguous-memory containers offer random-access iterators and subscripting
 - Node-based containers do not
- Many methods are defined for one category of containers only
- Even basics as insert or erase have different signatures and semantics
- And apparently identical methods have wildly different performances
- Different containers are different:
 - they have strengths and weaknesses
 - and were not designed to be interchangeable

Some Container-Independent Code

- However, if:
 - you only use methods supported by all containers
 - and do not modify the container content
- Then you can write some container-independent template function, like this:

 But you'll have to use the typename keyword to instance container-specific iterators or nested types

STL Algorithms

- Containers by themselves aren't that much appealing
- Real STL power lies in generic algorithms that serve most fundamental programmer's needs
 - traversal, sorting, searching, inserting, removing, etc
- Commonalities:
 - implemented as template functions
 - · operating through iterators
 - element types inferred from iterator types
- Able to operate on containers portions
 - Beginning iterator 'points' to first element to operate upon
 - End iterator 'points' right 'after' the last one
- To exploit them #include:
 - algorithm for general ones
 - numeric for the few numerically specialized ones
 - functional for function objects (a.k.a. functors)

Initializing Containers

- A common task consist in assigning values to the container's elements
 - Elements can be set to a constant value using fill()
 - Or using a more specialized functor passed to generate()
- Both relay on **operator**= of container's elements
 - A natural task for POD, mind for user defined types
 - · Container's elements must be already initialized
- Another common task is to print the values of elements to stdout
 - A standard for () loop can do the job
 - or you can combine copy with ostream_iterator

Assignment and Print

```
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
#include <limits>
#include <cmath>
#include <algorithm>
#include <iterator>
vector<double> pi(100):
fill(pi.begin(), pi.end(), acos(-1.0));
template<class T>
class RandClass {
    T maxv:
 public:
    explicit RandClass(const T &maxvalue = numeric limits<T>::max() ) : maxv(maxvalue)
    T operator() (void) const { return (maxv/(RAND MAX + 1.0)) *rand(); }
1:
vector<int> v(90);
const int max value = 90:
generate(v.begin(), v.end(), RandClass(max value) );
copy(pi.begin(), pi.end(), ostream_iterator<double>(cout, " ")); cout « endl;
copy(v.begin(), v.end(), ostream iterator<int>(cout, " ")); cout « endl;
```

Sort Algorithm

- Sort is among most known and frequently used algorithms
 - Requires random-access iterators (works best for vectors)
 - For lists, don't use the algorithm, use sort () method
- sort () reorders container elements:
 - using comparison operators for the element type
 - or an optional comparison function argument
 - or an optional compare functor object
- sort () has $N \log(N)$ complexity on average
 - But its worst case is O(N²)
- stable_sort() variant is $2N \log(N)$
- Partial sorts also available

Sorting

```
vector<int> v(90);
    ... // initialize v with different numbers
sort(v.begin(), v.end()) // after sort, v is modified with its elements sorted

int builtin[90];
    ... // initialize builtin with different numbers
sort(&builtin[0], &builtin[90]) // good also for built-in arrays

vector<Atom> momenta(system); // Note: costly copy for illustration purposes only
class compareAtomMomenta { // order by decreasing momentum
    public:
        bool operator() (const Atom &a, const Atom &b) const
        { return a.mass*abs(a.vel) > b.mass*abs(b.vel); }
};
sort(momenta.begin(), momenta.end(), compareAtomMomenta());
```

Search Algorithms

- Searches among elements are also very common
- find() returns first occurrence of an element matching the search
 - Match performed using (==) operator
 - Returns container end() if no match
- find_if() accepts a predicate functor to specify complex matching criteria
 - A predicate must return a bool

Searching

```
int match = 90: // la paura!
vector<int>::iterator matchIterator =
  find(bingoExtractions.begin(), bingoExtractions.end(), match);
class less than 4 neighbors {
  public:
    bool operator() (const vector<Atom *> &v) const
      { return v.size() < 4:}
vector<vector<Atom *> >::iterator firstUnder4 =
  find if (interactions.begin(), interactions.end(), less than 4 neighbors()):
class atomIsCarbon {
 public:
    bool operator() (const Atom &a) const
      { return a.symbol == "C";}
vector<Atom>::iterator firstCarbonAtom =
  find if(system.begin(), system.end(), atomIsCarbon());
```

Specializing and Extending Predicates

- less_than_4_neighbors is ugly code
 - And we'd probably need to compare against a different number of neighbours
- atomIsCarbon is not generic
 - And we'd like to pass the species to be searched for as argument
- STL provides some helpers
 - Functional template predicates: equal_to, greater, greater_equal, less, less_equal, etc
 - Binders and template predicates: bind2nd(y), bind1st(x), unary_function, binary_function
- Can be combined to extend predicates and define new operations
- To access them, #include <functional>

Specializing Predicates

```
vector<int> v(90):
 generate(v.begin(), v.end(), Rand<int>(maxv));
  sort(v.begin(), v.end()); // after sort, v is modified with its elements sorted
 copy(v.begin(), v.end(), ostream iterator<double>(cout, " ")); cout « endl;
 1 1 3 5 5 6 7 9 11 12 12 14 14 17 17 19 20 21 21 23 24 25 25 26 26 29 30
  31 31 31 31 32 33 35 36 36 39 39 41 42 44 46 46 46 47 47 47 47 48 49 54
  56 57 57 57 59 60 61 61 64 64 66 68 69 69 69 70 71 72 72 74 75 75 76 79
  80 80 80 81 82 82 82 83 83 85 85 87 87 89
 counts = count if(v1.begin(), v1.end(), bind2nd(equal to<int>(), 5) );
 // result counts = 2
  //10 <= x
 counts = count if(v.beqin(), v.end(), bind1st(less equal<int>(), 10) );
 // result counts = 82
 // x <= 10
 counts = count if(v.beqin(), v.end(), bind2nd(less equal<int>(), 10) );
 // result counts = 8
 vector<double> v2:
  ... // build a signal in v2
 double clamp = 0.5:
  replace if(v1.begin(), v1.end, clamp, bind1st( less equal<double>(), clamp));
```

Extending Predicates with User Defined Types

- Specializing predicates on PODs is easy
- We need more control when dealing with user-defined types
- STL provides common base classes to help users build their own predicates

```
template <class Arg, class Res> struct unary_function {
   typedef Arg argument_type;
   typedef Res result_type;
};

template <class Arg, class Arg2, class Res> struct binary_function {
   typedef Arg first_argument_type;
   typedef Arg2 second_argument_type;
   typedef Res result_type;
};
```

Extending Predicates to User Defined Types

```
class less neighbors than : public unary function< vector<Atom*>, bool> {
    int arg2;
  public:
    explicit less_neighbors_than (const int &x) : arg2(x) { }
    bool operator() (const vector<Atom *> &v) const
      { return v.size() < arg2;}
vector<vector<Atom *> >::iterator firstUnder4 =
  find if (c.begin(), c.end(), less neighbors than(4));
class atomSpecieIs : public unary function<Atom.bool> {
    string specie;
  public:
    explicit atomSpecieIs (const string &x) : specie(x) { }
    bool operator() (const Atom &a) const
      { return a.chemsymbol == specie; }
vector<Atom>::iterator firstCarbonAtom =
  find if(system.begin(), system.end(), atomSpecieIs("C"));
```

Member Function and Pointer Function Adapters

- Most algorithms invoke built-in or user-defined operators
- We may want to invoke a method or any other function on each element in a sequence
- STL provides function adapters
 - mem_fun () call a method on each element pointer
 - mem_fun_ref() call a method on each element reference
 - ptr_fun () takes a pointer to a non-member function
- A set of algorithms map function objects or adapters on sequences
 - for_each () applies an adapter on each element
 - same for transform(), that can modify elements or generate a sequence of results
 - arithmetic function objects plus, minus, multiplies, etc...
- Again, #include <functional>

Applying Operations to Elements

```
// call method with no argument
for each(system.begin(), system.end(), mem fun ref(&Atom::reset) );
// call method with argument timestep
for_each(system.begin(), system.end(),
         bind2nd(mem fun ref(&Atom::evolve), timestep) );
// compute the center of mass of the system
class centerOfMass {
   position c;
   double tot m:
  public:
    centerOfMass() : c(0.0,0.0,0.0), tot m(0.0) { } // initialize
    void operator () (Atom &a) { c += a.mass * a.pos:
                                 tot m += a.mass: } // accumulate
   position result() const { return c/tot m; } // return sum
centerOfMass CoM:
for each(system.begin(), system.end(), CoM);
cout << "Center of mass is " << CoM.result() << endl:
```

Composing and Transforming Elements

```
// transform A based on operand, result in B
transform(A.begin(), A.end(), B.begin(), bind1st(plus(), 100) );
// combine A and B with operand, result in C
transform(A.begin(), A.end(), B.begin(), C.begin(), plus());
// C = A + B with insertion (each result is pushed back)
transform(A.begin(), A.end(), B.begin(), back inserter(C.begin()), plus());
// runs through a container of pointers deleting pointees
template<class T> struct Delete ptr {
    T* operator() (T *p) {
      delete p: return NULL:
    1:
void purgePointerContainer (vector<mvClass *> &somePointerContainer) {
    transform(somePointerContainer.begin(), somePointerContainer.end(),
        somePointerContainer.begin(), Delete ptr<myClass>());
```

remove() VS. erase()

- Want to remove all elements with value val?
- For lists, the best way is using remove() method mylist.remove(88);
- For associative containers, use erase() method associative.erase(88);
- For contiguous-memory containers, you must combine erase() methods with remove() algorithm
 v.erase(remove(v.begin(), v.end(), 88), v.end());
- remove() algorithm doesn't really removes elements
 - Shifts back (by copy!) following elements
 - And returns an iterator 'pointing' to new logical end
 - This behavior makes it compatible with built-in arrays
 - Erase will do the rest

Predicated Removal

- Want to remove all elements satisfying predicate?
 template<class T>
 bool predicate(T x); // returns wheter x is "bad" for us
- For lists, the best way is using remove_if() method mylist.remove_if(predicate);
- For contiguous-memory containers, you must combine erase() method with remove_if() algorithm
 v.erase(v.remove_if(v.begin(), v.end(), predicate), v.end());
- Again, remove_if() doesn't really remove elements
 - Simply shifts back (by copy!) following elements
 - And returns an iterator 'pointing' to new logical end

And More Algorithms

- Too many to cover
- Please find them in books and reference manuals

Outline

What We Left Out

- Much more C++ practice
 - That's your job
- Much more C++
 - Much more of new and delete
 - Much more of streams
 - Much more of STL
 - Run-Time Type Information (RTTI) support
 - Much more of everything
- Much more OO Programming
 - Much more on design
 - Much more on implementation
 - Much more on structured exception handling
 - Much more on template metaprogramming

The Present of C++

- C++11 is the official name of next C++ Standard (not covered in this course)
- The overall aims for the C++11 effort were:
 - make C++ a better language for systems programming and library building
 - make cncurrent systems programming type-safe and portable
 - make C++ a easier to teach and learn language
- C++ new features includes:
 - · several additions to the core language
 - extensions to the C++ standard library (STL)

C++ 11 Core Language Improvements

- Type long long int
- Changes to plain old data definitions
- Generalized constant expressions
- User defined literals
- Rvalue references and move semantic
- Range based for loops
- · Lambda functions
- Explicit conversion operators
- Extern templates
- Template aliases and variadic
- Memory model for multithreading
- ...

C++ 11 Standard Library Improvements

- Type traits for metaprogramming
- Smart pointers
- Tuples
- Hashes
- Regular expressions
- Extensible random number facilities
- Multithreading facilities
- ...

C++ Scientific Libraries

- Boost
 - Heterogeneous collection covering scientific and programming problems
 - http://www.boost.org
- Blitz++
 - Array arithmetic with Fortran performance (thanks to template metaprogramming)
 - http://www.oonumerics.org/blitz/
- Lapack++
 - Lapack C++ wrapper
 - http://lapackpp.sourceforge.net/
- Trilinos
 - Many packages for large-scale, complex multiphysics problems
 - http://trilinos.sandia.gov/
- Many more on http://www.oonumerics.org/oon/
 - · Pick up those actively maintained and with live users' forums

C++

ANSI WG21

The C++ Standard Committee

http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/

comp.lang.c++

comp.lang.c++ Frequently Asked Questions

http://www.faqs.org/faqs/by-newsgroup/comp.lang.c++.htm

B. Stroustrup
Stroustrup's Home Page

http://www2.research.att.com/ bs/

B. Stroustrup

The C++ Programming Language

Addison-Wesley, 3rd ed., 1997

Addison-Wesley, 4th ed., 2013 (C++11)

cplusplus.com
The C++ Resources Network

http://www.cplusplus.com

N. Josuttis

The C++ Standard Library: A Tutorial and Reference

Addison-Wesley, 1999

R. Lischner STI Pocket Reference

C++ Programming

B. Eckel
Thinking in C++

http://www.mindview.net/Books/TICPP/ThinkingInCPP2e.html

S. Meyers

Effective C++: 55 Specific Ways to Improve Your Programs and Designs
Effective STL: 50 Specific Ways to Improve Your Use of the Standard
Template Library
More Effective C++: 35 New Ways to Improve Your Programs and Designs

Addison-Wesley

E. Gamma, R. Helm, R. Johnson, J. Vlissides

Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software

Addison-Wesley, 1994

Y. Shapira Solving PDEs in C++ - SIAM, 2006 Mathematical Objects in C++ - CRC Press, 2009

J. Lakos

Large-Scale C++ Software Design

Addison-Wesley, 1996

Rights & Credits

These slides are ©CINECA 2014 and are released under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) Creative Commons license, version 3.0.

Uses not allowed by the above license need explicit, written permission from the copyright owner. For more information see:

```
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/
```

Slides and examples were authored by:

- Michela Botti
- Federico Massaioli
- Luca Ferraro
- Stefano Tagliaventi